

[RBRVS Home](#)
[About](#)
[Updates](#)
[Reports](#)
[FAQ](#)
[Site Map](#)

Updates

Update #11

July 3, 1998

2001

[September 7](#)

Positive Response to Time Survey

2000

[September 22](#)

[August 29](#)

[June 1](#)

[April 3](#)

The Commission is pleased with the preliminary results of the time survey currently underway. An extensive number of OHIP services are being surveyed by Ontario physicians to determine current, accurate time estimates. Once validated, these time estimates will be used in the evaluation of codes. An initial analysis has been undertaken and a second mailing will follow shortly. The second phase of the time survey will provide more targeted data in response to the results of this first phase.

Survey results are being cross-validated with other data sources. In addition, as another validation measure, the time survey report will be shared with each Clinical Section for review and comment.

1999

[November 24](#)

[October 13](#)

[September 3](#)

[July 23](#)

[February 15](#)

Section submissions regarding methodology to be used

Most Sections responded in writing to the Commission's report detailing the methodology to be used in producing the relative value schedule. The input received in those submissions and in last month's meetings with Section representatives is being considered carefully. The Commission will be issuing a report in response to the input received and the concerns raised.

A number of Sections have not yet submitted a profile of their membership (e.g. gender, location, type of practice, range of sub-speciality etc.). These membership profiles are designed to assist the Commission in the code evaluation process. Those who have not yet done so are asked to send in a brief description of their Section membership or to call Katie Williams at the Commission.

1998

[November 18](#)

[October 6](#)

[September 25](#)

[September 18](#)

[September 9](#)

Commission meeting with the National Advisory Committee

Members of the Commission's National Advisory Committee met in Toronto a couple of weeks ago to review in detail the methodology to be used, to provide suggestions on a number of outstanding issues and to test aspects of the approach. As in previous meetings, the contribution of committee members was thoughtful and enlightening. It may be worthwhile mentioning that while the committee is composed of physicians from a wide range of specialities, no committee member has, or is asked to, provide specialty-specific input. The National Advisory Committee acts as an advisor to the Commission on general issues of approach and methodology. For advice specific to specialities, the

[July 3](#) Commission consults with the OMA Clinical Sections, not the National Advisory Committee.

[May 29](#) **Reference code session and survey code evaluations to take place in Fall**

[April 8](#)
[March 30](#)
[February 4](#) Many Sections communicated to the Commission that lengthy meetings in the summer months would not be feasible. While this puts significant pressure on the progress of the Commission's work, the Commission has decided to hold the cross-sectional reference code session as well as the survey code evaluations sessions in the early fall. As soon as the details have been finalized, Sections will be notified.

1997

[November 19](#)

[October 23](#)

[September 4](#)

[August 7](#)

[July 29](#)

[July 2](#)