



## **JUDICIAL APPOINTMENTS ADVISORY COMMITTEE**

---

---

# **ANNUAL REPORT**

**for the Period from**

**1 January 2002 to 31 December 2002**

---

---

Toronto, Ontario  
February, 2003

**JUDICIAL APPOINTMENTS ADVISORY COMMITTEE**

---

---

**A N N U A L    R E P O R T**

**for the Period from**

**1 January 2002 to 31 December 2002**

---

---

Toronto, Ontario  
February, 2003

Persons wishing to comment on the procedures or selection criteria of the Judicial Appointments Advisory Committee are invited to write to:

The Chair  
Judicial Appointments Advisory Committee  
3<sup>rd</sup> Floor  
720 Bay Street  
Toronto, Ontario  
M5G 2K1  
Telephone: (416) 326-4060  
Fax: (416) 326-4065

Previous publications of the Judicial Appointments Advisory Committee:

- < *Interim Report* (September, 1990);
- < *Final Report and Recommendations* (June, 1992);
- < *Annual Report* for the Period from 1 July 1992 to 31 December 1993 (January, 1994);
- < *Annual Report* for the Period from 1 January 1994 to 28 February 1995 and for the Period from 1 March 1995 to 31 December 1995 (January, 1996);
- < *Annual Report* for the Period from 1 January 1996 to 31 December 1996 (January, 1997);
- < *Annual Report* for the Period from 1 January 1997 to 31 December 1997 (January, 1998);
- < *Annual Report* for the Period from 1 January 1998 to 31 December 1998 (January, 1999);
- < *Annual Report* for the Period from 1 January 1999 to 31 December 1999 (January, 2000);
- < *Annual Report* for the Period from 1 January 2000 to 31 December 2000 (February, 2001);
- < *Annual Report* for the Period from 1 January 2001 to 31 December 2001 (January, 2002).

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

|                                                                       |        |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------|--------|
| LETTER OF TRANSMITTAL .....                                           | v      |
| EXECUTIVE SUMMARY .....                                               | vii    |
| INTRODUCTION .....                                                    | ix     |
| <br><b>PART I</b> .....                                               | <br>1  |
| ANALYSIS OF JUDICIAL APPOINTMENTS MADE .....                          | 1      |
| 1.0 Judges Appointed: 1 January 2002 - 31 December 2002 .....         | 1      |
| 2.0 Overview of Appointments: 1 January 1989 - 31 December 2002 ..... | 1      |
| <br><b>PART II</b> .....                                              | <br>5  |
| LEGISLATION .....                                                     | 5      |
| 1.0 The Courts of Justice Statute Law Amendment Act .....             | 5      |
| <br><b>PART III</b> .....                                             | <br>7  |
| CONFIDENTIALITY .....                                                 | 7      |
| 1.0 Introduction .....                                                | 7      |
| 2.0 Information on Process and Procedures .....                       | 7      |
| 3.0 Information on Persons who are applying for Appointment .....     | 7      |
| 4.0 Seeking Information .....                                         | 8      |
| 5.0 What is to be done .....                                          | 8      |
| <br><b>PART IV</b> .....                                              | <br>9  |
| CRITERIA FOR APPOINTMENT .....                                        | 9      |
| 1.0 Criteria for Evaluating Candidates .....                          | 9      |
| <br><b>PART V</b> .....                                               | <br>11 |
| JUDICIAL APPOINTMENT PROCESS AND POLICIES .....                       | 11     |
| 1.0 The Judicial Candidate Information Form .....                     | 11     |
| 2.0 Law Society and Other Outstanding Complaints and Claims .....     | 12     |
| 3.0 Criminal Record .....                                             | 13     |
| 4.0 Conflict of Interest Guidelines .....                             | 13     |
| 5.0 General .....                                                     | 14     |
| 6.0 Changes in Committee Membership .....                             | 16     |

**Table of Contents (continued)**

|                                                                  |    |
|------------------------------------------------------------------|----|
| 7.0 Support Staff .....                                          | 17 |
| 8.0 Communications, Education and Marketing .....                | 17 |
| <b>PART VI</b> .....                                             | 19 |
| LOOKING TO THE FUTURE .....                                      | 19 |
| 1.0 Recommendations of Candidates .....                          | 19 |
| 2.0 Outreach .....                                               | 19 |
| 3.0 A Representative Committee .....                             | 20 |
| <b>CONCLUSION</b> .....                                          | 23 |
| Judicial Appointments Advisory Committee Biographies .....       | 25 |
| <b>APPENDICES</b>                                                |    |
| Pamphlet - "Where Do Judges Come From?" .....                    | 33 |
| Judicial Appointments made - January 2002 to December 2002 ..... | 35 |
| Judicial Appointments made - January 1989 to December 2002 ..... | 37 |

## LETTER OF TRANSMITTAL

February 28, 2003

The Honourable Norman W. Sterling  
Attorney General for Ontario  
720 Bay Street, 11<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Toronto, Ontario  
M5G 2K1

Dear Mr. Attorney:

The Judicial Appointments Advisory Committee has the honour of presenting to you this report on its activity for the period from 1 January 2002 to 31 December 2002, pursuant to section 43 of the *Courts of Justice Act*. It covers all significant matters related to the recommendation to the Attorney General of suitable candidates for judicial appointment to the Ontario Court of Justice.

Respectfully yours,

F. Clifford Fraser  
Chair



## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

1 January 2002 to 31 December 2002

The Judicial Appointments Advisory Committee was set up as a pilot project by the then Attorney General, the Honourable Ian Scott, in January 1989. Since then, the Attorney General, the Honourable David S. Young, and his predecessors, have appointed 195 judges based on Committee recommendations. Of these, 13 appointments were made between 1 January 2002 and 31 December 2002.

The highlights of Committee activity are as follows:

- ☐ **Appointments:** Each of the 13 appointments has been made from among candidates recommended by the Committee in accordance with the first criterion, being that of professional excellence, and then on the other criteria set out in this Report.
- ☐ **Legislation:** Amendments to the *Courts of Justice Act* that came into force on 28 February 1995 established the Judicial Appointments Advisory Committee and clothed it with legislative authority. These amendments set out in detail the composition, procedures, criteria for selection, and independent function of the Committee.
- ☐ **Confidentiality:** The Committee continues to request the Government to pass legislation exempting its confidential information so that it shall be protected by the exemption of the *Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act*.
- ☐ **Procedure:** The Committee continually reviews its procedures and policies which are set forth in detail in this report.

Candidates will generally not be considered for an interview if they have any complaints registered with the Law Society. The candidate is responsible for ensuring the removal of such complaints; however, if the Committee receives sufficient information as to the complaint being frivolous or lacking in foundation, then such a complaint will not be a bar to the candidate being considered.

Candidates will generally not be considered for an interview if they have any outstanding Errors and Omissions claims registered with the Lawyers' Professional Indemnity Company. The candidate is responsible for ensuring the removal of such claims; however, if the Committee receives sufficient information that the claim is not substantiated, then such a claim will not be a bar to the candidate being considered.

The Committee would be prepared to consider the application of a candidate who is involved in any other civil claim or proceeding if, after receiving details of the proceeding, the members are of the opinion that the nature of the claim is such that it should not prevent the candidate from being considered for a judicial appointment.

The Committee must be informed of any outstanding civil judgments, arrears in family support payments, any past or present proposals to creditors or assignments in bankruptcy, and any sanctioning by The Law Society of Upper Canada or any other Law Society.

The Committee will not consider a candidate who has a criminal record.

## INTRODUCTION

On 15 December 1988, the then Attorney General, the Honourable Ian Scott, announced in the Ontario Legislature the establishment of the Judicial Appointments Advisory Committee as a pilot project, and set out its mandate:

First, to develop and recommend comprehensive, sound and useful criteria for selection of appointments to the judiciary, ensuring that the best candidates are considered; and second, to interview applicants selected by it or referred to it by the Attorney General and make recommendations.

On February 28, 1995, the *Courts of Justice Act* established the Committee by legislation. All appointments to the Ontario Court of Justice must be made by the Attorney General from amongst a list of applicants recommended to him by the Committee, and chosen in accordance with its own process of criteria, policies and procedures.

In 2002, the Committee met 14 times to select candidates and conduct interviews. Over 65 applicants have been interviewed and 43 have been recommended, from which the Attorney General has selected and appointed 13 judges. The total number of applicants from the inception of the Committee to December 31, 2002 is 2,181, of whom 628 (29%) are women.



## **PART I**

### **ANALYSIS OF JUDICIAL APPOINTMENTS MADE**

#### **1.0 Judges Appointed: 1 January 2002 - 31 December 2002**

During this period, there have been 13 judges appointed as a result of recommendations made by the Committee. Added to the 182 appointments previously made, this number makes a total of 195 judges appointed since the Committee began its work in 1989. However, with various transfers, etc., the current number of judges presiding in the Ontario Court of Justice as a result of the Committee's recommendations is 181. The complement of the Ontario Court of Justice is 260 judges. Thus, 75% of all the present judges have been selected through the Committee process.

Of the 13 new appointments this calendar year, four were female, 12 came from private practice, and one was formerly a Crown counsel. A list of these judges will be found in Appendix II.

The ages of appointees range from 41 to 56 years, and the average age is 48 years.

#### **2.0 Overview of Appointments: 1 January 1989 - 31 December 2002**

The reader will find a list of all judges appointed under the Committee process in Appendix III; the Appendix lists the names in alphabetical order together with location and date of appointment.

The demographics of these appointments are set out in the following tables which show the timing of the various appointments, the legal background of the appointees, and the numbers selected for appointment from under-represented groups.

[illegible]

The Committee continues to encourage applications from members of under-represented groups. Each advertisement for a judicial vacancy states that:

The Judiciary of the Ontario Court of Justice should reasonably reflect the diversity of the population it serves. Applications from members of minority groups are encouraged.

The advertisement appears in the *Ontario Reports*, which has a wide circulation amongst lawyers in the province. It is also posted on the Ontario Courts website at [www.ontariocourts.on.ca](http://www.ontariocourts.on.ca) and on the Bar-eX Communications Inc. website at [www.bar-ex.com](http://www.bar-ex.com).

In addition, advance notice of a judicial vacancy is provided to approximately 170 legal and non-legal associations, such as: the Ontario Bar Association, the Advocacy Research Centre for Persons with Disabilities (formerly ARCH), the Aboriginal Legal Services of Toronto, the Canadian Association of Black Lawyers and the Metro Toronto Chinese & Southeast Asian Legal Clinic, with a request that the material be brought to the attention of their members. Committee members are prepared to and do attend association meetings of groups, legal or non-legal, to discuss the appointment process and answer questions concerning Committee procedures and criteria. Our desire is to make sure that the profession and public are fully informed about the process of judicial appointment.



## PART II LEGISLATION

### 1.0 The Courts of Justice Statute Law Amendment Act

The amendments to the *Courts of Justice Act* were given Royal Assent in June 1994 and proclaimed on 28 February 1995. Section 43 deals with the Judicial Appointments Advisory Committee and it is included here in full, for ease of reference:

*“Judicial Appointments Advisory Committee*

43. (1) A committee known as the Judicial Appointments Advisory Committee in English and as Comité consultatif sur les nominations à la magistrature in French is established.

*Composition*

- (2) The Committee is composed of,
- (a) two provincial judges, appointed by the Chief Judge of the Provincial Division;
  - (b) three lawyers, one appointed by The Law Society of Upper Canada, one by the Canadian Bar Association-Ontario and one by the County and District Law Presidents' Association;
  - (c) seven persons who are neither judges nor lawyers, appointed by the Attorney General;
  - (d) a member of the Judicial Council, appointed by it.

*Criteria*

- (3) In the appointment of members under clauses (2) (b) and (c), the importance of reflecting, in the composition of the Committee as a whole, Ontario's linguistic duality and the diversity of its population and ensuring overall gender balance shall be recognized.

*Terms of Office*

- (4) The members hold office for three-year terms and may be reappointed.

*Staggered terms*

- (5) Despite subsection (4), the following applies to the first appointments made under subsection (2):
- 1. One of the provincial judges holds office for a two-year term.
  - 2. The lawyer appointed by the Canadian Bar Association-Ontario holds office for a two-year term and the lawyer appointed by the County and District Law Presidents' Association holds office for a one-year term.
  - 3. Two of the persons who are neither judges nor lawyers hold office for two-year terms and two hold office for one-year terms.

*Chair*

- (6) The Attorney General shall designate one of the members to chair the Committee for a three-year term.

*Term of Office*

- (7) The same person may serve as chair for two or more terms.

*Function*

- (8) The function of the Committee is to make recommendations to the Attorney General for the appointment of provincial judges.

*Manner of Operating*

- (9) The Committee shall perform its function in the following manner:
1. When a judicial vacancy occurs and the Attorney General asks the Committee to make a recommendation, it shall advertise the vacancy and review all applications.
  2. For every judicial vacancy with respect to which a recommendation is requested, the Committee shall give the Attorney General a ranked list of at least two candidates whom it recommends, with brief supporting reasons.
  3. The Committee shall conduct the advertising and review process in accordance with criteria established by the Committee, including assessment of the professional excellence, community awareness and personal characteristics of candidates and recognition of the desirability of reflecting the diversity of Ontario society in judicial appointments.
  4. The Committee may make recommendations from among candidates interviewed within the preceding year, if there is not enough time for a fresh advertising and review process.

*Qualification*

- (10) A candidate shall not be considered by the Committee unless he or she has been a member of the bar of one of the provinces or territories of Canada for at least ten years or, for an aggregate of at least ten years, has been a member of such a bar or served as a judge anywhere in Canada after being a member of such a bar.

*Recommendation by Attorney General*

- (11) The Attorney General shall recommend to the Lieutenant Governor in Council for appointment to fill a judicial vacancy only a candidate who has been recommended for that vacancy by the Committee under this section.

*Rejection of List*

- (12) The Attorney General may reject the Committee's recommendations and require it to provide a fresh list.

*Annual Report*

- (13) The Committee shall submit to the Attorney General an annual report of its activities.

*Tabling*

- (14) The Attorney General shall submit the annual report to the Lieutenant Governor in Council and shall then table the report in the Assembly."

## **PART III CONFIDENTIALITY**

### **1.0 Introduction**

The Judicial Appointments Advisory Committee has developed two fundamental principles on the issue of confidentiality of committee information. These are:

- (a) information about committee process is completely open to any person whomsoever,
- (b) information about particular candidates is completely confidential unless released by candidates themselves.

### **2.0 Information on Process and Procedures**

The *Courts of Justice Act*, by virtue of the amendments made in 1995, sets out very clearly that the Committee is to have 13 members of which the majority shall be lay persons, i.e., neither judges nor lawyers. The appointing bodies are required to recognize that the Committee should reflect the diversity of Ontario's population and maintain linguistic duality, minority and gender balances.

The criteria for, and the manner of, selection of candidates are outlined in this Report.

Committee members individually speak to organizations and at legal conferences to publicize the process of appointments and believe that the process should be completely open and transparent.

### **3.0 Information on Persons who are applying for Appointment**

By contrast to the preceding section, the Committee goes to great lengths to protect the privacy of the applicant. These measures include:

- (1) keeping most sensitive information securely stored in the private homes of members, or with the Secretary;
- (2) keeping applicants apart on interview days;
- (3) destroying or shredding notes as soon as possible after use;

- (4) advising references that their names will not be associated with their confidential comments;
- (5) advising lawyers, judges and court officials contacted for discreet inquiries that their names will not be associated with their confidential comments;
- (6) maintaining strict non-access to our files, including government personnel not associated with the Committee;
- (7) holding all meetings and interviews in non-government locations.

#### **4.0 Seeking Information**

The Committee has had one major application from a citizen seeking information about a successful candidate. This application commenced in 1993 and formally concluded in 1997 at which time the Ontario Court of Appeal, overruling the Divisional Court, held that private notes of the Committee members were **not** available to the public under the *Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act* (FIPPA). Details of this litigation are to be found in our Annual Reports of 1996 and 1997.

#### **5.0 What is to be done**

The Committee has requested and continues to request the Government to amend the *Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act*. The Committee wants to exempt the confidential candidate information from the operation of that Act. There is a precedent for this to be found in S.O. 1994 c.12 under which all records of the Ontario Judicial Council are only to be disclosed if that Council approves such disclosure.

## **PART IV CRITERIA FOR APPOINTMENT**

It is important that eligible members of the Bar and the public be aware of the criteria used by the Committee in the selection of candidates for recommendation, and for convenience, those criteria are reiterated again in this Annual Report.

The current Summary Statement of the criteria is as follows:

### **1.0 Criteria for Evaluating Candidates**

#### **Professional Excellence**

- ☐ A high level of professional achievement in the area(s) of legal work in which the candidate has been engaged. Experience in the field of law relevant to the jurisdiction of the Ontario Court of Justice on which the applicant wishes to serve is highly desirable but not essential.
- ☐ Involvement in professional activities that keeps one up to date with changes in the law and in the administration of justice.
- ☐ An interest in or some aptitude for the administrative aspects of a judge's role.
- ☐ Good writing and communications skills.

#### **Community Awareness**

- ☐ A commitment to public service.
- ☐ Awareness of and an interest in knowing more about the social problems that give rise to cases coming before the courts.
- ☐ Sensitivity to changes in social values relating to criminal and family matters.
- ☐ Interest in methods of dispute resolution alternatives to formal adjudication and interest in community resources available for participating in the disposition of cases.

### **Personal Characteristics**

- ☐ An ability to listen.
- ☐ Respect for the essential dignity of all persons regardless of their circumstances.
- ☐ Politeness and consideration for others.
- ☐ Moral courage and high ethics.
- ☐ An ability to make decisions on a timely basis.
- ☐ Patience.
- ☐ Punctuality and good regular work habits.
- ☐ A reputation for integrity and fairness.
- ☐ Compassion and empathy.
- ☐ An absence of pomposity and authoritarian tendencies.

### **Demographics**

- ☐ The Judiciary of the Ontario Court of Justice should be reasonably representative of the population it serves. This requires overcoming the under-representation in the judicial complement of women, visible, cultural, and racial minorities and persons with a disability.

## PART V JUDICIAL APPOINTMENT PROCESS AND POLICIES

### 1.0 The Judicial Candidate Information Form

1. All candidates must complete a typed Judicial Candidate Information Form (revised) which has been designed to elicit information that is not usually included in a standard *curriculum vitae*, such as the nature of the legal work and experience gained in various positions the candidates have held, including pre-law experience. Also, applicants are required to express their reasons for wanting to become a judge and provide an appraisal of their own qualifications for being a judge.

Candidates who send in their standard *curriculum vitae* and do not complete the Committee's form are not considered.

2. Candidates are required to provide 14 copies of the Judicial Candidate Information Form together with an authorized Security Release Form and two executed Release of Information Forms in the first instance, and for subsequent applications, 14 copies of a letter requesting consideration.
3. A candidate must apply by application or letter for each and every advertised vacancy that is of interest. The Committee does not automatically consider applications on file. It is preferred that a candidate submit a new application after one year to reflect any changes in the application.
4. A Judicial Candidate Information Form is kept on file for one year. At the end of one year, a candidate is advised that his or her form is out of date and in order to maintain a current application, 14 copies of a new revised form should be submitted.
5. All responses to an advertisement to be considered for a judicial vacancy are acknowledged. However, the Committee does not advise candidates that they have not been selected for an interview. Instead, the acknowledgement letter states: "*If you are selected for an interview, you will be contacted during the week of .....*".
6. Candidates who have been interviewed within the previous twelve-month period may not necessarily be re-interviewed but will still be equally considered by the Committee in determining its list of recommendations, provided that he or she has applied to be considered for the vacancy advertised.

7. Candidates who are interviewed and/or candidates who have been interviewed on a previous occasion and who have requested to be considered for a particular advertised vacancy **are not** advised as to whether they have been included in the list submitted to the Attorney General. Also, the Committee does not advise applicants when its work has been completed and a list of recommended candidates has been submitted to the Attorney General.

### References

1. The Committee requests that a candidate does not send or have submitted letters of support.
2. The Committee requires a candidate to provide the names, **complete addresses including Postal Codes, home telephone** and business telephone numbers of his or her named references. Care should be taken to provide the correct information before submitting the form. Since the members who check the references do so during evenings and weekends, it is essential that **home telephone** numbers be provided.
3. All named references receive a letter from the Committee advising them that a candidate has provided their names for reference purposes and that they may be contacted by a member of the Committee. They are advised that they do not have to write to the Committee. Attached to the letter is a list of current Committee members.
4. The Committee maintains strict confidentiality with respect to the information provided by named references and obtained by confidential inquiries.

## 2.0 Law Society and Other Outstanding Complaints and Claims

1. Complaints as to Practice: Candidates will generally not be considered for an interview if they have any complaints registered with the Law Society. The candidate is responsible for ensuring the removal of such complaints; however, if the Committee receives sufficient information as to the complaint being frivolous or lacking in foundation, then such a complaint will not be a bar to the candidate being considered.
2. Errors and Omissions Claims: Candidates will generally not be considered for an interview if they have any outstanding Errors and Omissions claims registered with the Lawyers' Professional Indemnity Company. The candidate is responsible for ensuring the removal or resolution of such claims; however, if the Committee

receives sufficient information that the claim is not substantiated, then such a claim will not be a bar to the candidate being considered.

3. If the candidate has been sanctioned by The Law Society of Upper Canada or any other Law Society, the Committee wants to know the circumstances. The Committee will then decide whether the candidate should still be considered for a judicial appointment.
4. Civil Claims or Judgments: Members of the Committee would be prepared to consider the application of a candidate who is involved in a civil claim or proceeding if, after receiving details of the proceeding, the members are of the opinion that the nature of the claim is such that it should not prevent the candidate from being considered for a judicial appointment.
5. Other Financial Matters: The Committee must be informed of any outstanding civil judgments, arrears in family support payments, any past or present proposals to creditors or assignments in bankruptcy, or serious financial difficulties of each candidate.
6. The Committee must also be informed by the candidate if he or she is the subject of any current court order.

### **3.0 Criminal Record**

The Committee will not consider a candidate who has a criminal record. It is the responsibility of the candidate to obtain a pardon.

### **4.0 Conflict of Interest Guidelines**

1. Members of the Committee cannot apply to be considered for a judicial appointment for a period of two years from the date they cease to serve as a member of the Committee.
2. No current member of the Committee can act as a reference for a candidate seeking a provincial judicial appointment.
3. Members of the Committee who have a conflict or a perceived conflict in the nature of a potential bias or prejudice in regard to a candidate must declare such conflict and refrain from taking part in the entire process for the vacancy which the candidate has applied for.

## **5.0 General**

### **Re-Interviewing Candidates**

The Committee does not maintain a pool of candidates who have previously been recommended but not appointed, or interviewed but not recommended.

It is not essential to re-interview a candidate who has been interviewed in the previous twelve months. That candidate will be compared objectively and ranked along with all other persons interviewed for that vacancy so long as the candidate has requested in writing to be considered for that advertised vacancy. Nevertheless, the Committee may, in its discretion, re-interview a previously interviewed candidate, and, in fact, does so on a fairly frequent basis.

### **Notice of Vacancies and Transfer after Appointment**

When a vacancy in the complement of the Ontario Court of Justice occurs, the Chief Justice of the Ontario Court of Justice, after considering the judicial resources required throughout Ontario, determines the location of the vacancy to be filled and advises the Attorney General accordingly. The Attorney General then requests the Committee to commence its process to identify candidates suitable for judicial appointment in order to make recommendations to him.

Because of the many requests for transfer, the Chief Justice has advised the Committee that while he retains the discretion to assign judges according to the needs of the Court at any time, it is the general policy of the Ontario Court of Justice that no personal request for permanent re-assignment will be considered for a period of at least five years following a judge's appointment. The determination of a judicial vacancy involves a review and assessment of the needs of the Court and a long-term commitment to the community in which the vacancy is declared. It is a commitment that is made both by the Court and by the judge who is appointed to that position. Generally speaking, where a judge is appointed to sit at a base court location and the judge does not live within that community or near to it, the Court will expect the judge to move either to the community or to within a reasonable distance of it shortly after the judge's appointment. The Court will, as set out in the *Judge's Manual* in those circumstances, pay for the cost of transportation for the judge and the judge's family, and for moving expenses. Once a judge has been on the bench for a period of five years, the judge may request a re-assignment to another base court location. If a vacancy subsequently arises, that request will be considered along with requests received from other judges

who wish to move to the same location. Other factors will also be taken into account, including the needs of the locations involved, the views of the regional senior judges and of the judges at the affected locations.

**Set out below is a step-by-step account of how the Committee arrives at its recommendations:**

### **Advertising the Vacancy**

All vacancies are advertised in the *Ontario Reports*. Three weeks are allowed for applications to be received. In addition to advertising, the Committee contacts approximately 170 legal and non-legal associations with advance notice of the vacancy with a request that they bring the copy of the advertisement to the attention of their members. The advertisements are also posted on the Ontario Courts website at [www.ontariocourts.on.ca](http://www.ontariocourts.on.ca) as well as on the Bar-eX Communications Inc. website at [www.bar-ex.com](http://www.bar-ex.com).

### **Review of Applications by Members**

Each member is provided with a list of all candidates who respond to an advertisement plus copies of all new and updated Judicial Candidate Information Forms. Members carefully review and assess the application forms and list candidates whom they feel should proceed to the second stage of reference checks and confidential inquiries. This list is submitted to the committee secretary who compiles a master list of candidates who have been selected by three or more members for the purpose of making reference checks and confidential inquiries. If any member of the Committee ascertains that a possible suitable applicant for a judicial appointment has not been selected for reference checks and confidential inquiries, the member may request that the applicant's name be added to the list.

### **References and Confidential Inquiries**

Each member is provided with a list of candidates who have been selected by three or more Committee members for the purposes of reference checks and confidential inquiries. These inquiries are made of the judiciary, court officials, lawyers, law associations, community and social service organizations, plus the named references provided by the candidate. Once the reference checks and confidential inquiries are completed, the Committee meets to discuss the information obtained and to select candidates to be interviewed.

This selection meeting takes place three to four weeks after the members have received the list of candidates to be considered. Interviews take place approximately two weeks after the selection meeting.

### **Interviews and Recommendations to the Attorney General**

The number of candidates to be interviewed for a judicial vacancy will normally be a maximum of 16 over a two-day period. Each interview will last approximately 30 minutes. The entire Committee sits for each interview but for questioning purposes, the Committee members take alternate interview turns. Following each interview, the Committee discusses the merits of the candidate interviewed. After the last interview for that particular vacancy, the Committee discusses the merits of the candidates interviewed, plus the merits of the candidates interviewed on a prior occasion within the year and who have applied to be considered for the current vacancy. A ranked list, together with only the application form submitted by each ranked candidate, is then delivered to the Attorney General.

The letter containing the ranked list of candidates for the Attorney General is delivered to him when the requested Law Society, LawPRO and CPIC checks have been received and clearances obtained. These clearances are usually received approximately three weeks after the interviews have taken place.

It is at this point that the Committee's work is complete. A candidate is not notified whether or not his or her name has been put forward in the ranked list to the Attorney General as this recommendation is personal and confidential for the Attorney General.

It should also be noted that the Committee has established a procedure to avoid delays in filling vacancies that occur unexpectedly, such as from sudden resignation, illness or death. In such cases, when so requested by the Attorney General, it may recommend candidates who have previously applied for the area of the judicial vacancy and who have been interviewed, without advertising the vacancy. This procedure will only apply to areas where there has been an advertised competition within a twelve month period. However, the policy of advertising is the procedure of preference and will only be departed from in limited circumstances.

## **6.0 Changes in Committee Membership**

During 2002, Mr. Harrison Arrell and Mr. William Trudell were respectively re-appointed to a further term of three years by the County and District Law Presidents' Association and The Law Society of Upper Canada.

Madam Justice Annemarie Bonkalo was re-appointed for another three-year term by Chief Justice Brian W. Lennox.

Mr. Jean Mongenais' term of office expired on February 28, 2002. Mr. Mongenais, of Windsor, was appointed as a member to the Committee in February, 1996. Mr. Mongenais is fluently bilingual and was able to assist the Committee in that regard, as well as participating in all other aspects of the work of the Committee. During Mr. Mongenais' tenure on the Committee, he showed a deep commitment to the judicial appointment process and worked diligently and contributed enormously to the work of the Committee. He represented his community and his region well. Mr. Mongenais will be missed.

## **7.0 Support Staff**

Priscilla Chu has been the Committee Secretary since December 6, 1999. Her work and dedication has proved invaluable in maintaining a high level of proficiency in all areas of the Committee's work. Ms. Chu anticipates the needs of the Committee and, like the Committee members, works arduously. Her contribution to the Committee cannot be over emphasized. Her knowledge of all aspects of the process and smooth co-ordination allow the Committee members to concentrate on the selection of appointments to the Judiciary.

The Committee also wishes to acknowledge the professionalism and commitment of Ms. Carol Chan. Her organizational skills, coupled with a congenial manner, have provided exemplary secretarial and clerical service to the Committee.

Finally, the Committee would like to extend its appreciation to the Honourable David S. Young, Attorney General for Ontario. It also wishes to acknowledge the co-operation that it has received from Mr. Mike Nicol, Special Advisor to the Attorney General on Public Appointments and Policy; Mr. Warren Dunlop, Manager of Judicial Support Services of the Ministry; Ms. Marilyn McDonald at The Law Society of Upper Canada; Ms. Cathy Blair at the Toronto Police Services and Ms. Caron Wishart and Ms. Kathi MacDonald at the Lawyers' Professional Indemnity Company.

## **8.0 Communications, Education and Marketing**

### **The Committee**

- < notified approximately 170 organizations, including law schools, that the Committee would be pleased to attend any meetings of any group to explain its mandate, criteria and procedures. This offer extends to both legal and non-legal organizations.

- < prepared a pamphlet entitled “Where Do Judges Come From?” for distribution to encourage applications and explain its procedures and process and the method of appointment of judges to the Ontario Court of Justice. This pamphlet has been distributed widely and is available to the public at various government offices and court facilities. See Appendix I;
- < has appeared and spoken at various legal meetings and to associations, including The Women’s Law Association of Ontario and County and District Law Associations;
- < has appeared and spoken at schools and universities;
- < has taken action on published misconceptions such as editorials by forwarding letters to the Editor;
- < presented a continuing legal education program on the appointment process and procedures at the Annual Institute of OBA.

### **Initiatives**

In January, Mr. Douglas Grenkie, Mr. Sid Ikeda and Mr. Leslie Modolo, in conjunction with representatives from the Office of the Commissioner for Federal Judicial Affairs, presented a joint program on the provincial and federal judicial appointment processes at the 2002 Institute of Continuing Legal Education of the Ontario Bar Association.

Also in January, Mr. Cliff Fraser, Justice Annemarie Bonkalo and Mr. William Trudell attended an in-house seminar on the judicial appointment process organized by the Department of Justice.

## **PART VI LOOKING TO THE FUTURE**

### **1.0 Recommendations of Candidates**

The Committee believes that trial experience is important. However, it also believes that all its criteria must be applied in assessing the merits of each applicant. Accordingly, the Committee from time to time has recommended and will continue to recommend suitable individuals who are not trial lawyers but who have achieved a professional excellence in other areas of law.

The Committee has continued the increased number of interviews for each vacancy. With the inclusion for consideration of all candidates who have been interviewed in the previous twelve months, a larger number of qualified candidates from diverse backgrounds are being recommended to the Attorney General. Professional excellence remains of paramount importance to the Committee.

### **2.0 Outreach**

The Committee has firmly accepted outreach as one of its roles, and will continue to invite candidates from the various under-represented sections of the legal community to seek appointment. It is looking for ways to communicate with all eligible candidates to encourage them to consider a public service through appointment to the Ontario Court of Justice.

Although there has been a steady increase in the number of students from traditionally under-represented communities entering the legal profession, the Committee recognizes that there are a number of barriers, both physical and societal, to be overcome before there will be a large enough pool to enable Ontario to reach its goal of a truly representative judiciary.

The Committee has found that applicants from the various under-represented groups do not re-apply if unsuccessful in their first application for a particular judicial vacancy. The Committee encourages all lawyers with the requisite qualifications to apply and continue to apply if they are desirous of seeking a judicial appointment.

The following table shows the percentage of applications from women on an annual basis:

| Year         | Total of New Applications Received | Female Applicants | Percent of Female Applicants |
|--------------|------------------------------------|-------------------|------------------------------|
| 1989         | 338                                | 42                | 12%                          |
| 1990         | 318                                | 137               | 43%                          |
| 1991         | 116                                | 44                | 37%                          |
| 1992         | 186                                | 58                | 31%                          |
| 1993         | 113                                | 39                | 34%                          |
| 1994         | 137                                | 51                | 37%                          |
| 1995         | 85                                 | 22                | 26%                          |
| 1996         | 235                                | 52                | 22%                          |
| 1997         | 108                                | 30                | 28%                          |
| 1998         | 148                                | 38                | 26%                          |
| 1999         | 142                                | 36                | 25%                          |
| 2000         | 126                                | 36                | 29%                          |
| 2001         | 100                                | 33                | 33%                          |
| <b>2002</b>  | <b>29</b>                          | <b>10</b>         | <b>34%</b>                   |
| <b>TOTAL</b> | <b>2181</b>                        | <b>628</b>        | <b>29%</b>                   |

The Committee believes that the profession, community groups and the public in general have a duty to encourage appropriate lawyers to submit applications.

### 3.0 A Representative Committee

It is important to have representation on the Committee that is as diverse as possible. Subsection 43(3) of the amended Act establishes criteria for Committee members as follows:

In the appointment of members ..., the importance of reflecting, in the composition of the Committee as a whole, Ontario's linguistic duality and the diversity of its population and ensuring overall gender balance shall be recognized.

In 2002, the Committee membership consisted of nine men and three women. Although it may not be possible for the Committee to reflect all groups at all times, a good balance certainly enriches its deliberations. It is important that this continue.

Although the Attorney General makes the majority of appointments to the Committee, it is equally important that the remaining members appointed by The Law Society of Upper Canada, the Chief Justice, the Ontario Bar Association, the County and District Law Presidents' Association and the Ontario Judicial Council also continue to be reflective of the population of the Province of Ontario.



## CONCLUSION

The Committee has established criteria and procedures that have resulted in a fair and impartial process for the appointment of judges to the Ontario Court of Justice, one that it hopes has assisted in removing any perception of unwarranted political bias or patronage in appointments to the judiciary. It will continue to re-evaluate its criteria and procedures. The Committee has worked to ensure that the candidates recommended to the Attorney General possess all the required qualities set out in its criteria and are well regarded by their peers and community.

The Committee will continue its pursuit of excellence in recommending candidates for appointment as judges to the Ontario Court of Justice. It will continue to encourage applicants from under-represented groups such that the provincial judiciary shall reasonably reflect the diversity of the population it serves. The quality of the applicants it sees is impressive.

The majority of the Committee members are lay persons who work during the day and give extraordinarily of their time and abilities to the workings of the Committee. Despite a heavy workload, Committee members work tirelessly to maintain a high level of interest in the process and derive a great deal of personal satisfaction in being part of this rewarding work.

All of which is respectfully submitted,

F. Clifford Fraser  
Chair



## MEMBERS:

### **F. Clifford Fraser, Whitby: (Lay Member) (Chair)**

Mr. Fraser retired in 1994 as Vice President, Operations after 43 years of service with State Farm Insurance Companies. In 1999, he retired as President and Chief Executive Officer of Property and Casualty Insurance Compensation Corporation. He was appointed in 1970 by the Insurance Bureau of Canada as Chairman of a special committee to develop Automobile Insurance Tort Reform, now known as No-Fault automobile Insurance. Variations of this study are now operating in several Canadian Provinces. Mr. Fraser is currently a Director on the Board of Property and Casualty Insurance Compensation Corporation. He was a Past Director on the Board of: Insurance Bureau of Canada, Vehicle Information Centre of Canada, Insurance Crime Prevention Bureau, Ontario Chamber of Commerce, Scarborough General Hospital, Valley National Bank, Wayne, New Jersey, USA and the Past Chair of the Toronto Board of Trade - Insurance Committee and the Property and Casualty Insurance Compensation Corporation. Mr. Fraser is a recipient of The Queen's Golden Jubilee Medal awarded for his significant contribution to Canada.

### **J. Douglas Grenkie, Q.C., Morrisburg, (*Past Chair*)**

Called to the Ontario Bar in 1970, Mr. Grenkie is a general practitioner in Morrisburg and a partner in the firm of Gorrell, Grenkie, Leroy & Remillard with offices in Morrisburg, Cardinal and Ingleside. He is also a partner in the firm of Cass, Grenkie in Chesterville. Mr. Grenkie is an active member of the Morrisburg & District Lions Club and the S.D.&G Cornwall Shrine Club (Karnak Temple Montreal). He is a former President of the East District of the Cancer Society, Ontario Division, the founding President of the Upper Canada Playhouse and Past President of the Canadian Bar Association - Ontario. Also, Mr. Grenkie is the Conference Director of the OBA Foreign Conference Committee, and is appointed by the OBA to the Committee.

### **Regional Senior Justice Timothy Culver, Hamilton**

Justice Culver was called to the Bar in 1974. He was in private practice until 1994, when he was appointed a judge of the Ontario Court of Justice, Provincial Division, presiding in Kitchener. Prior to his appointment, Justice Culver was a Past President and Director of the Halton County Law Association, a member of the Canadian Bar Association, Ontario Branch, a former Director of Burlington Youth Residences, the Burlington Art Center, and Vice-President of the Burlington Chapter of the Heart and Stroke Foundation, and a number of other volunteer boards and agencies. Justice Culver was also awarded the Commemorative Medal for the 125<sup>th</sup> Anniversary of the Confederation of Canada, by the Honourable Ray Hnatyshyn, the Governor General of Canada, in 1992, in recognition of “significant contribution to compatriots community and to Canada”. He has been active in judicial management since his appointment, having acted as Local Administrative Judge in both Kitchener and in Hamilton. He was the Area Director for Central West Region for the Ontario Conference of Judges, and a Chair of the Conditions of Service Committee, and a member of the Pension and Benefits Committee. He is a member of the Chief Justice’s Executive Committee, a member of the Justices of the Peace Review Council and Chair of the Local Administrative Judges’ Committee. He was appointed by the Chief Justice of the Ontario Court of Justice.

### **Madam Justice Annemarie E. Bonkalo, Toronto**

Justice Bonkalo was called to the Bar in 1978 and joined the Ministry of the Attorney General as an assistant Crown Attorney for Brampton. She was appointed as a judge to the Provincial Court (Criminal Division) in 1990 and has presided in Brampton and Toronto. Justice Bonkalo was a member of the executive of the Ontario Judges Association as an elected delegate and as a member of the Constitutional Committee and Chair of the Mentor Committee. Currently, she is the Administrative Judge at the College Park court in Toronto. Justice Bonkalo is appointed to the Committee by the Chief Justice of the Ontario Court of Justice.

### **Madam Justice P.H. Marjoh Agro, Milton**

Prior to her appointment as a judge of the Ontario Court of Justice in 1994, Justice Agro was in the private practice of law in Hamilton for 21 years. In that time she was also a part-time Provincial Crown Attorney and an agent for the Official Guardian of Ontario. She has been a trustee of the Hamilton Law Association, a member of the Canadian Bar Association, Vice- President of the Status of Women Committee of the City of Hamilton, a trustee of Chedoke McMaster Hospitals, a Director of the Chedoke McMaster Hospitals Foundation, a Director of the Horsemen's Benevolent and Protective Association of Ontario and a member of the Ontario Racing Commission and has received a citation from the Province of Ontario under the seal of Premier William Davis for her contribution to thoroughbred racing. Upon her appointment to the Bench, Justice Agro presided in the tri-counties of Brant, Haldimand & Norfolk. She is currently presiding in Halton, having a base court in Milton. Justice Agro has served as Local Administrative Judge in the tri-counties, and was the Secretary and later Vice-President of the Ontario Family Law Judges Association. Currently, Justice Agro is the Past President of the Ontario Conference of Judges, a member of the Judicial Benefits Committee of the Conference, the Ontario delegate to the Canadian Association of Provincial Court Judges, a member of the Family Rules Committee and the Ontario Judicial Council. The Judicial Council appointed Justice Agro to this Committee.

### **Harrison Arrell, Hamilton: (Lawyer)**

Harrison Arrell has practised civil litigation in Hamilton since his call to the Bar in 1976. He has been actively involved with various legal associations throughout the Province including the Hamilton Law Association, the Advocates Society and the Hamilton Medical-Legal Society. He is Past Chair of the County and District Law Presidents' Association for Ontario and currently the Vice President of the Canadian Defence Lawyers Association. In 1997 Mr. Arrell was the recipient of the Bicentennial Award from The Law Society of Upper Canada. Mr. Arrell has also been actively involved in various community associations including Extend-A-Family, Crime Stoppers and the Disabled and Aged Regional Transportation System of Hamilton. He is a past instructor at Mohawk College in Hamilton, and

is appointed by the County and District Law Presidents' Association to this Committee.

**William M. Trudell, Toronto: (Lawyer)**

Mr. Trudell attended the University of Windsor, first graduating class and was called to the Bar in 1973. From 1973 - 1976, he practised law with Osler, Hoskin & Harcourt. From 1977 - present, he has been in private practice restricted to Criminal litigation and Solicitor representation at The Law Society of Upper Canada regarding matters of Discipline/Admission and Re-admission. From 1983 - 1986, Mr. Trudell was a Director of the John Howard Society; from 1983 - 1989, he was a Director of the Criminal Lawyers' Association and from 1989 - 1997, he served as Vice President of the Criminal Lawyers' Association. Mr. Trudell was also a Director of the Advocates' Society from 1990 - 1993, and is the present Chair of the Canadian Council of Criminal Defence Lawyers and was a founding Director of that organization in 1992. He is appointed by The Law Society of Upper Canada to the Committee.

**Glenn H. Carter, Toronto: (Lay Member)**

Mr. Carter possesses a broad range of experience from the public service, personal business and volunteer pursuits. He worked in the Ontario Justice System for over 20 years, occupying a number of senior executive management positions, which included membership on various Law Society committees dealing with Legal Aid, Clinic Funding, and Law Foundation issues. In retirement, he is engaged in a number of entrepreneurial activities including a farm/recreation operation and an historic print business specializing in reproduction art, posters and maps. He is a past board member of the Central Chapter of TD Canada Trust's Friends of the Environment. He sits on the management board of the St. George's Society, a longstanding Toronto charity and benevolent organization. He is a member of the St. Andrew's Society, the Royal Canadian Legion and the Officers' Association of the Toronto Scottish Regiment. He is a member of the President's Circle, and graduate, of the University of Toronto.

**Tonie L. Chaltas, Toronto: (Lay Member)**

Tonie Chaltas is the Senior Vice President at Hill and Knowlton Canada where she manages the Toronto Public Affairs business unit which provides a wide range of clients with specialized expertise in public affairs, stakeholder and issues management and strategic communications. Tonie also leads a multi-disciplinary team of professionals on the Bruce Power Account, which provided communications support around a multi-billion dollar procurement of Canada's first public private partnership in nuclear generation and continues to lead this team on their continuing work with Bruce Power. Tonie received her Bachelor of Commerce degree from Dalhousie University in Halifax, Nova Scotia. She is a founding member of several groups such as Words on Work, Women in Politics and the Women Business Leaders Alliance. In 1998, Tonie was a delegate on the first Women's Business Team Canada Trade Mission.

**Sid K. Ikeda, Toronto: (Lay Member)**

Sid Kiyoshi Ikeda attended public school in Vancouver, in the Internment Camp as well as in Toronto. He continued his education at night school to obtain a First Class Chief Engineer Certificate. He had held various management jobs throughout his forty years with Eaton's and retired in 1996 as the National Environment and Energy Manager. He is the owner and President of Ikeda Consultant Company. Mr. Ikeda is very active in the community and sits on the board/committee of volunteer organizations. He is currently the Special Ambassador for the Japanese Canadian Cultural Centre and served as its president for nine years. He is the founder and chairman of the Japanese and Canadian Community Network Organization, a founding member, former president and present director for the Canadian Multicultural Council-Asians in Ontario, a former director and present member of the Rotary Club of Toronto-Forest Hill, the Ontario Volunteer Business Ambassador for the Government of Ontario, a member on Chief Fantino's Advisory Council of the Metropolitan Police, a former chairman and present director for the Toronto Buddhist Church, a director for the Momiji Health Care Society, a director for the Canada-DPR Korea Association and a founding member of Community Leaders Forum.

### **Leslie Modolo, Mississauga: (Lay Member)**

Mr. Modolo graduated from Sir George Williams University with a Major in Political Science. His business career has been spent almost entirely in the distribution of motion pictures of an educational, cultural and scientific nature, as well as management and skills training videos, and occupational health and safety training programs. Until his retirement, he was President and owner of his own company with nation-wide distribution coverage. During his career, he was President of The Educational Media Producers and Distributors Association of Canada, as well as a member of the Federal Task Force on the Non-Theatrical Film Industry in Canada. Presently, he is actively involved in volunteer work and the study of foreign languages.

### **John Thompson, Whitby: (Lay Member)**

Mr. Thompson began his career in the telecommunications industry in 1970 with Bell Canada. In 1975, Mr. Thompson graduated with a business degree from York University. He began his own company, Edutel Canada, in 1994 where, in his capacity as Director of Business Development, Mr. Thompson has developed and delivered training courses for such companies as Bell Canada, Cantel, Bell Mobility, among others. Mr. Thompson is a seasoned professional and an entrepreneur, who knows the telecommunications industry and the selling profession. In addition to Mr. Thompson's undergraduate degree, he has diplomas in training and course development, as well as in management. Mr. Thompson is committed to community service and is a member of the Rotary Club of Oshawa, a member on the Board for the Durham Family Court Clinic and other local community groups. He and his family are active members of their local church.

**Jean Mongenais, Windsor: (Lay Member) (*Retired  
February 28, 2002*)**

Monsieur Mongenais, a former high school teacher of physics, basic French and mathematics, is presently the Editor and General Manager of Le Rempart, a weekly community newspaper, a Court Interpreter and a graduate of the Faculty of Law, University of Windsor. Monsieur Mongenais has participated in many community organizations including l'Association de la Jeunesse Franco-Ontarienne, l'Association Canadienne-Francaise de l'Ontario, The Windsor-Essex Bilingual Clinic and The Windsor Advisory Committee for the Disabled. He is currently Chair of Harmony in Action, Education and Activity Centre for mentally and physically disabled adults.



## APPENDIX I

### OUTREACH

Committee members are available to speak to your organization about the Committee and the selection process. Requests for presentations should be forwarded to:

The Secretary  
Judicial Appointments Advisory Committee  
3<sup>rd</sup> Floor, 720 Bay Street  
Toronto, Ontario M5G 2K1  
Telephone: (416) 326-4060  
Fax: (416) 326-4065

### COMMITTEE MEMBERS

|                                                                                                                      |  |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|
| Mr. Frederick Clifford Fraser (Chair)<br>Whitby, Ontario                                                             |  |
| The Honourable Justice T. Culver<br>Regional Senior Justice<br>Appointment of the Chief Justice<br>Hamilton, Ontario |  |
| The Honourable Justice Annemarie Bonkalo<br>Appointment of the Chief Justice<br>Toronto, Ontario                     |  |
| The Honourable Justice P.H. Marjoh Agro<br>Appointment of Ontario Judicial Council<br>Milton, Ontario                |  |
| Mr. Harrison Arrell<br>Appointment of County & District Law<br>Presidents' Association<br>Hamilton, Ontario          |  |
| Mr. Douglas Grenkie, Q.C.<br>Appointment of Ontario Bar Association<br>Morrisburg, Ontario                           |  |
| Mr. William Trudell<br>Appointment of The Law Society of Upper Canada<br>Toronto, Ontario                            |  |
| Mr. Glenn H. Carter<br>Toronto, Ontario                                                                              |  |
| Ms. Tonie L. Chaltas<br>Toronto, Ontario                                                                             |  |
| Mr. Sid Kiyoshi Ikeda<br>Toronto, Ontario                                                                            |  |
| Mr. Leslie Modolo<br>Mississauga, Ontario                                                                            |  |
| Mr. John W. Thompson<br>Whitby, Ontario                                                                              |  |



# WHERE DO JUDGES COME FROM?

THE PROCESS OF  
APPOINTMENT OF  
ONTARIO PROVINCIAL JUDGES

*This leaflet is also available in the French language.*

*This leaflet, the current Judicial Candidate Information Form and policies and process are also available on the Ontario Courts website @ <http://www.ontariocourts.on.ca>.*

“Judges ought to be more learned than witty; more reverend than plausible, and more advised than confident. Above all things, integrity is their portion and proper virtue.”

*Lord Chancellor Bacon  
Essays: Of Judicature (1597)*

## **The Judicial Appointments Advisory Committee of Ontario**

### **A Brief History**

In 1988, Attorney General Ian Scott announced a three-year pilot project to try a different model of appointment for Provincial Court Judges. The Judicial Appointments Advisory Committee (JAAC) began its work under the chairmanship of Professor Peter Russell with a mandate: "First, to develop and recommend comprehensive, sound and useful criteria for selection of appointments to the judiciary, ensuring that the best candidates are considered; and, second, to interview applicants selected by it or referred to it by the Attorney General and make recommendations."

Between 1990 and 1995, the size of the pilot committee grew from 9 to 13 persons and the committee worked at developing criteria and procedures which were reviewed, refined and eventually publicized. In 1992, under the chairmanship initially of Professor Emily Carasco and then Associate Chief Judge Robert Walmsley, the Committee issued a Final Report and prepared recommendations for draft legislation to ensure that judges in future will be appointed by a process independent of political considerations.

JAAC was formally established on February 28, 1995 by proclamation of the Courts of Justice Act amendment passed in 1994.

The Committee began a programme of public information to tell interested people how the appointment system works.

The Judicial Appointments Advisory Committee is required to provide the Legislature with an Annual Report.

### **WHO SHOULD APPLY?**

To qualify for consideration, applicants must have at least 10 years membership at the Bar in one of the provinces or territories of Canada. Applicants must have a sound knowledge of the law, an understanding of the social issues of the day and an appreciation for the cultural diversity of Ontario.

While courtroom experience is a distinct asset, the Committee also considers suitable candidates whose experience includes work with administrative tribunals, academia and in the social policy field.

Applications are encouraged from women, aboriginal peoples, francophones, persons with disabilities, and visible and ethnocultural minorities.

Applicants with Errors and Omissions claims or complaints on file with the Law Society of Upper Canada or any other Society will generally not be considered until such claims have been cleared. The candidate is responsible for ensuring the removal of such claims or complaints; however, if the Committee receives sufficient information as to the claim or complaint being frivolous or lacking in foundation, then such a claim or complaint will not be a bar to the candidate being considered.

Applicants who are involved in civil claims or proceedings would be considered if the Committee is of the opinion that the nature of such a claim does not prevent the candidate from being considered.

The Committee must be informed of any outstanding civil judgments, arrears in family support payments and any past or present proposals to creditors or assignments in bankruptcy.

The Committee will not consider a candidate who has a criminal record.

### **PROCESS**

Vacancies on the Bench are advertised in the **Ontario Reports** as the need arises. Candidates must submit 14 copies of a prescribed application form. These applications are reviewed by the Committee and a short list is prepared. The Judicial Appointments Advisory Committee meets to select candidates for interviews from the short list.

After reference checks, confidential inquiries and interviews, the Committee sends a ranked list of its recommendations to the Attorney General who is required to make the appointment from that list.

### **COMPOSITION OF THE COMMITTEE**

The Legislation requires the composition of the Committee to reflect the diversity of Ontario's population, including gender, geography, racial and cultural minorities. In addition to seven (7) lay members who are appointed by the Attorney General, six (6) from the legal community are appointed by the Chief Justice of the Ontario Court of Justice, the Law Society of Upper Canada, Canadian Bar Association - Ontario and the County and District Law Presidents' Association respectively. All members serve for a term of three (3) years.

### **CONFIDENTIALITY**

The selection process including the application form is treated with total confidentiality.

### **INDEPENDENCE**

The Committee is independent of the Ministry of the Attorney General and the Government.

**APPENDIX II**

**JUDICIAL APPOINTMENTS RECOMMENDED BY  
THE JUDICIAL APPOINTMENTS ADVISORY COMMITTEE  
JANUARY 2002 - DECEMBER 2002**

| <b>Name</b>                  | <b>Location</b> | <b>Effective Date</b> |
|------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------------|
| Andre, Irving W.             | Brampton        | 13 November 2002      |
| Clark, Steven R.             | Brampton        | 13 February 2002      |
| Devlin, Mary Teresa E.       | Oshawa          | 13 November 2002      |
| Lipson, Timothy R.           | Toronto         | 20 March 2002         |
| Pringle, Leslie Catherine    | Toronto         | 20 March 2002         |
| Pugsley, Bruce Edmund        | Brampton        | 13 February 2002      |
| Radley-Walters, Sydney Grant | Pembroke        | 20 February 2002      |
| Shaw, Anne-Marie             | Newmarket       | 16 September 2002     |
| Spence, Robert Julien        | Toronto         | 20 March 2002         |
| Taylor, Paul Michael         | Toronto         | 20 March 2002         |
| Tetley, Peter                | Newmarket       | 16 September 2002     |
| Wright, Peter Jeffrey        | Newmarket       | 16 September 2002     |
| Zivolak, Martha B.           | St. Catharines  | 1 July 2002           |



**APPENDIX III**

**JUDICIAL APPOINTMENTS RECOMMENDED BY THE  
JUDICIAL APPOINTMENTS ADVISORY COMMITTEE  
JANUARY 1989 - DECEMBER 2002**

| <b>Name</b>              | <b>Location</b> | <b>Effective Date</b> |
|--------------------------|-----------------|-----------------------|
| Agro, P.H. Marjoh        | Brantford       | 16 September 1994     |
| Allen, J. Elliott        | Brampton        | 15 November 1991      |
| Anderson, Charles D.     | Brockville      | 15 August 1990        |
| Andre, Irving W.         | Brampton        | 13 November 2002      |
| Atwood, Hugh K.          | Brampton        | 4 January 1993        |
| Austin, Deborah J.       | Sarnia          | 1 December 1992       |
| Baig, Dianne P.          | Fort Frances    | 2 April 1990          |
| Baldock, Juliet          | Kitchener       | 20 October 1997       |
| Baldwin, Lesley Margaret | St. Catharines  | 6 May 1997            |
| Bassel, William P.       | Toronto         | 15 May 1995           |
| Beaman, Judith           | Toronto         | 12 January 1998       |
| Beatty, William George   | Bracebridge     | 23 November 1998      |
| Bellefontaine, Paul      | Oshawa          | 5 January 1998        |
| Bentley, Paul            | Toronto         | 1 June 1992           |
| Bigelow, Robert G.       | Toronto         | 9 August 1993         |
| Bishop, Peter T.         | Dryden          | 6 September 1994      |
| Blacklock, W. James      | Brampton        | 25 January 1993       |
| Blishen, Jennifer A. □   | Ottawa          | 15 January 1993       |
| Bondy, Sharman S.        | Sarnia          | 19 October 1998       |
| Bonkalo, Annemarie E.    | Brampton        | 2 April 1990          |
| Bovard, Joseph W.        | Toronto         | 31 December 1989      |

| Name                        | Location    | Effective Date   |
|-----------------------------|-------------|------------------|
| Brophy, George J.           | Sarnia      | 12 May 1997      |
| Brownstone, Harvey P.       | Toronto     | 13 March 1995    |
| Budzinski, Lloyd M.         | Brampton    | 1 April 1992     |
| Campbell, Hugh J.           | Oshawa      | 7 November 1994  |
| Carr, David George          | Kitchener   | 28 April 1999    |
| Carr, Ralph E.W.            | Sudbury     | 1 July 1991      |
| Casey, Jeff                 | Toronto     | 21 December 1992 |
| Caspers, Jane E. De Meyers  | Guelph      | 7 February 2001  |
| Cavion, Bruno               | Brampton    | 15 November 1991 |
| Chester, Lorne Edward       | Lindsay     | 12 July 1999     |
| Clark, Steven R.            | Brampton    | 13 February 2002 |
| Cleary, Thomas P.           | Barrie      | 6 June 1994      |
| Cohen, Marion L.            | Toronto     | 9 August 1993    |
| Cole, David P.              | Scarborough | 1 March 1991     |
| Cowan, Ian                  | Toronto     | 20 January 1997  |
| Crawford, James C.          | Oshawa      | 1 June 1990      |
| Culver, Timothy A.          | Kitchener   | 16 May 1994      |
| De Filippis, Joseph Anthony | Brampton    | 3 January 2000   |
| Devlin, Mary Teresa E.      | Oshawa      | 13 November 2002 |
| DiGiuseppe, Dino            | Thunder Bay | 15 November 2000 |
| Di Zio, Antonio             | Toronto     | 3 May 1999       |
| Dobney, Susan Gail          | Toronto     | 28 April 1999    |
| Dorval, Célynne S.          | Ottawa ~    | 15 March 1999    |
| Douglas, Jon-Jo Adam        | Barrie      | 13 October 1998  |
| Douglas, Norman S.          | Brampton    | 16 May 1994      |
| Dunbar, Mary F. □           | Brampton    | 1 February 1991  |
| Duncan, Bruce               | Brampton    | 1 May 1997       |

| Name                    | Location         | Effective Date   |
|-------------------------|------------------|------------------|
| Edward, Gethin          | Brantford        | 1 December 1996  |
| Evans, Kerry Patrick    | Barrie           | 2 October 1997   |
| Fairgrieve, David A.    | Brampton         | 21 December 1990 |
| Feldman, Lawrence       | Toronto          | 5 January 1998   |
| Fernandes, Ivan J. A.   | Toronto          | 21 February 2000 |
| Finnestad, Faith M.     | Toronto          | 1 May 1995       |
| Flaherty, Roderick J.   | Dryden           | 2 April 1990     |
| Forsyth, Frederick L.   | Milton           | 3 May 1999       |
| Foster, Stephen E.      | Newmarket        | 7 November 1994  |
| Fraser, Hugh L.         | Toronto          | 3 May 1993       |
| Frazer, Bruce           | Kitchener        | 13 January 1997  |
| Gauthier, Louise L. γ   | Northeast Region | 15 August 1992   |
| Getliffe, John Lawrence | Stratford        | 6 December 2000  |
| Glaude, G. Normand N.   | Elliot Lake ~    | 17 April 1990    |
| Glenn, Lucy C.          | Chatham          | 16 December 1996 |
| Gorewich, William A.    | Barrie           | 14 October 1997  |
| Griffiths, Peter        | Brockville       | 11 May 1998      |
| Grossman, Jack Morris   | Toronto          | 28 April 1999    |
| Hackett, Donna G.       | Scarborough      | 21 December 1990 |
| Hansen, Inger           | Kitchener        | 1 February 1991  |
| Hardman, Paddy A.       | Kitchener        | 1 March 1991     |
| Harris, C. Roland       | Barrie           | 8 August 1994    |
| Harris, Peter A.J.      | Brampton         | 13 February 1995 |
| Hatton, Mary Jane □     | Toronto          | 2 April 1990     |
| Hawke, Kathryn L.       | Brampton         | 6 February 1995  |
| Hearn, Gary F.          | Kitchener        | 26 October 1998  |
| Horkins, William        | Toronto          | 5 January 1998   |

| Name                         | Location             | Effective Date   |
|------------------------------|----------------------|------------------|
| Hornblower, Geoffrey Mark    | Sarnia               | 6 October 1999   |
| Hryn, Peter                  | Toronto              | 1 June 1991      |
| Humphrey, Richard            | Sudbury              | 12 July 1999     |
| Hunter, Stephen J.           | Ottawa               | 1 June 1991      |
| Isaacs, Peter R.W.           | Stratford            | 13 February 1995 |
| Jennis, Richard              | St. Catharines       | 20 May 1997      |
| Johnston, Karen E.           | Oshawa               | 1 July 1991      |
| Jones, Penny J.              | Toronto              | 15 July 1991     |
| Kastner, Nancy Susan         | Brampton             | 15 February 1999 |
| Katarynych, Heather L.       | Central South Region | 1 July 1993      |
| Keast, John D.               | Sault Ste. Marie     | 11 July 2001     |
| Kenkel, Joseph F.            | Newmarket            | 19 June 2000     |
| Kerrigan-Brownridge, Jane    | Brampton             | 15 January 1993  |
| Khawly, Ramez                | Sarnia               | 1 December 1991  |
| Khoorshed, Minoo F.          | Toronto              | 1 June 1992      |
| Knazan, Brent                | Toronto              | 15 August 1990   |
| Krelove, Glenn D.            | Barrie               | 26 October 1998  |
| Kukurin, John                | Sault Ste. Marie     | 29 May 1995      |
| Lacavera, Alphonse T.        | Welland              | 2 March 1998     |
| Lafrance-Cardinal, Johanne □ | Cornwall ~           | 6 September 1994 |
| Lalande, Randall William     | Sudbury ~            | 3 January 2000   |
| Lambert, Martin              | Sault Ste. Marie     | 15 February 1999 |
| Lane, Marion E.              | Brampton             | 1 February 1991  |
| LeDressay, Richard           | Guelph               | 1 December 1996  |
| Lenz, Kenneth G.             | Simcoe/Norfolk       | 4 July 1989      |
| Lester, Ronald B. —          | Thunder Bay          | 1 March 1991     |
| Libman, Rick                 | Barrie               | 15 November 1996 |

| Name                          | Location       | Effective Date   |
|-------------------------------|----------------|------------------|
| Linden, Sidney B.             | Toronto        | 25 April 1990    |
| Lindsay, Eric S.              | Toronto        | 1 September 1990 |
| Linhares de Sousa, Maria T. □ | Ottawa         | 4 July 1989      |
| Lipson, Timothy R.            | Toronto        | 20 March 2002    |
| Livingstone, Deborah K.       | London         | 31 December 1989 |
| Lynch, John T.                | Kitchener      | 18 April 2001    |
| MacPhee, Bruce E.             | Brampton       | 2 April 1990     |
| Main, Robert P.               | Barrie         | 2 April 1990     |
| March, Stephen                | Pembroke       | 19 April 2000    |
| Marin, Sally E.               | Toronto        | 9 August 1993    |
| Marshman, Mary E. □           | Windsor        | 15 July 1991     |
| Masse, Rommel G.              | Ottawa ~       | 4 July 1989      |
| Maund, Douglas B.             | Orangeville    | 4 October 2000   |
| McFadyen, Anne-Elisabeth E.   | Sarnia         | 26 October 1998  |
| McGowan, Kathleen E.          | St. Catharines | 1 June 1990      |
| McGrath, Edward               | St. Thomas     | 4 January 1999   |
| McKerlie, Kathryn L.          | Stratford      | 3 May 1999       |
| McLeod, Katherine Louise      | Brampton       | 15 February 1999 |
| Merenda, Sal                  | Toronto        | 21 February 1996 |
| Minard, Ronald A.             | Newmarket      | 5 April 1993     |
| Mocha, Cathy                  | Toronto        | 14 April 1997    |
| Moore, John                   | Toronto        | 12 January 1998  |
| Morgan, J. Rhys               | Toronto        | 15 August 1990   |
| Morneau, Julia Ann            | Owen Sound     | 30 May 1997      |
| Morten, Marvin G.             | Toronto        | 5 July 1993      |
| Newton, Petra E.              | Toronto        | 31 December 1989 |
| Nicholas, Dianne M.           | Ottawa         | 1 June 1991      |

| Name                         | Location     | Effective Date   |
|------------------------------|--------------|------------------|
| O'Dea, Michael P.            | St. Thomas   | 15 March 2000    |
| O'Hara, Terrence G.          | Newmarket    | 6 February 1995  |
| Omatsu, Maryka J.            | Toronto      | 1 February 1993  |
| Ormston, Edward E.           | Toronto      | 31 December 1989 |
| Otter, Russell J.            | Toronto      | 5 July 1993      |
| Payne, John Andrew           | Oshawa       | 4 January 1999   |
| Phillips, Douglas W.         | Windsor      | 1 March 1991     |
| Pockele, Gregory A.          | Stratford    | 2 November 1992  |
| Pringle, Leslie Catherine    | Toronto      | 20 March 2002    |
| Pugsley, Bruce Edmund        | Brampton     | 13 February 2002 |
| Radley-Walters, Sydney Grant | Pembroke     | 20 February 2002 |
| Ratushny, Lynn D. γ          | Ottawa       | 1 March 1991     |
| Rawlins, Micheline A.        | Windsor      | 15 October 1992  |
| Ray, Sheila                  | Toronto      | 15 April 1992    |
| Ready, Elinore A.            | Brampton     | 21 December 1990 |
| Regis, Gregory               | Oshawa       | 4 January 1999   |
| Reinhardt, Paul H.           | Toronto      | 2 April 1990     |
| Renaud, J.R. Giles           | Cornwall ~   | 23 January 1995  |
| Renaud, Yvon                 | Sudbury      | 15 November 2000 |
| Richards, Ronald J.          | Toronto      | 21 December 1992 |
| Ritchie, John Malcolm        | Toronto      | 28 April 1999    |
| Roberts, Marietta L.D.       | Brampton     | 1 March 1991     |
| Robson, M. Wendy -           | Peterborough | 4 July 1989      |
| Rodgers, Gregory Paul        | North Bay    | 15 November 2000 |
| Rogers, Sherrill M. □        | Newmarket    | 15 July 1991     |
| Rosemay, Vibert T.           | Brampton     | 1 December 1991  |
| Salem, Harvey M.             | Scarborough  | 1 March 1991     |

| Name                     | Location                  | Effective Date    |
|--------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------|
| Schnall, Eleanor M.      | London                    | 1 March 1991      |
| Schneider, Richard D.    | Toronto                   | 20 December 2000  |
| Scott, Margaret A.C. □   | Oshawa                    | 17 January 1994   |
| Serré, Louise            | Blind River/Elliot Lake ~ | 15 November 2000  |
| Shamai, Rebecca S.       | Brampton                  | 2 April 1990      |
| Shaw, Anne-Marie         | Newmarket                 | 16 September 2002 |
| Sheppard, Patrick A.     | Newmarket                 | 1 June 1991       |
| Shilton, Bruce           | Newmarket                 | 6 July 1998       |
| Simmons, Janet M. γt     | Brampton                  | 21 December 1990  |
| Sparrow, Geraldine       | Toronto                   | 15 January 1993   |
| Spence, Robert Julien    | Toronto                   | 20 March 2002     |
| Stead, W. Brian          | Simcoe                    | 1 July 1991       |
| Stone, David M.          | Oshawa                    | 1 June 1990       |
| Taillon, Raymond P.      | Oshawa                    | 1 July 1991       |
| Taylor, Paul Michael     | Toronto                   | 20 March 2002     |
| Tetley, Peter            | Newmarket                 | 16 September 2002 |
| Thibideau, Lawrence P.   | Brantford                 | 3 May 2000        |
| Thomas, Bruce G.         | Chatham                   | 4 May 1999        |
| Timms, David Roger □     | Oshawa                    | 1 March 1991      |
| Vaillancourt, Charles H. | Downsview                 | 21 December 1990  |
| Vyse, Diane Terry        | Cambridge                 | 1 March 1991      |
| Wake, John David         | Brampton                  | 8 August 1994     |
| Waldman, Geraldine       | Brampton                  | 15 November 1991  |
| Waugh, John D. G.        | Pembroke                  | 30 May 2001       |
| Weagant, Brian           | Toronto                   | 8 May 1995        |
| Weinper, Fern            | Newmarket                 | 6 July 1998       |
| Westman, Colin R.        | Kitchener                 | 1 June 1990       |

| Name                  | Location       | Effective Date    |
|-----------------------|----------------|-------------------|
| Whetung, Timothy C.   | Peterborough   | 1 December 1991   |
| Wilkie, Peter Heward  | Brampton       | 15 February 1999  |
| Wilson, Joseph Bruce  | Parry Sound    | 26 May 1997       |
| Wilson, Natalie Jane  | Pembroke       | 2 November 1998   |
| Wolder, Theo          | Brampton       | 1 June 1990       |
| Wolski, William       | Barrie         | 20 January 1997   |
| Wong, Mavin           | Newmarket      | 19 June 2000      |
| Woolcott, Margaret F. | Brampton       | 4 January 1993    |
| Wright, Peter J.      | East Region    | 5 July 1993       |
| Wright, Peter Jeffrey | Newmarket      | 16 September 2002 |
| Zabel, Bernd E.       | Hamilton       | 2 April 1990      |
| Zivolak, Martha B.    | St. Catharines | 1 July 2002       |

~ Denotes designated bilingual position

□ Subsequently appointed to the Family Court of the Superior Court of Justice

γ Subsequently appointed to the Superior Court of Justice

ι Subsequently appointed to the Ontario Court of Appeal

— Deceased