



2004

Report on Inquests

**Office of the Chief Coroner
26 Grenville Street
Toronto, Ontario
M7A 2G9
(416) 314-4000**

March 2007

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Introduction.....	1
The five questions.....	1
When an inquest is called.....	2
What an inquest is not.....	2
Verdicts and recommendations.....	3
Method for distributing inquest recommendations.....	3
Evaluation of responses.....	4
 2004 Inquests	
 Comprehensive Report on 2004 Inquests.....	5
Summary of Inquests (2004) – Based on Type of Inquest	7
Summary – Discretionary Inquests (2004).....	8
Summary – Mandatory Custody Inquests (2004).....	9
Summary – Mandatory Construction Inquests (2004).....	11
Summary – Mandatory Mining Inquests (2004).....	12
Summary – All Inquests (2004).....	13
Comparison of Inquest Type and Manner of Death (2004)	15

Historical Analysis of Inquests (1999 – 2004)	17
Number of recommendations per inquest (1999 -2004).....	21
Average number of days per inquest (1999 - 2004).....	25
Implementation of recommendations (1999-2004).....	26

2004 Inquest Summaries 30

1 – LEWIS, Lana Dale		Verdict	Recommendations
2 – LETREN, Lawrence		Verdict	Recommendations
3 – EVANS, Michael		Verdict	Recommendations
4 – PADDA, Paramjeet S.		Verdict	Recommendations
5 – FERRON, Gilles		Verdict and Recommendations	
6 – BELCOT, Donald W.		Verdict and Recommendations	
7 – BARBIERI, Nicola		Verdict and Recommendations	
8 – TANNER, Marie		Verdict and Recommendations	
9 - HACKETT, Kenneth		Verdict and Recommendations	
10 - THAW, Lorne		Verdict and Recommendations	
11 – PRESSACCO, Elvio		Verdict and Recommendations	
12 – DeFIGUIREDO, Narcisco		Verdict	Recommendations
13 – BOYD, David W.		Verdict and Recommendations	
14 – SAUNDERS, Edward		Verdict and Recommendations	
15 – MEFFE, David		Verdict	Recommendations

16 – SHAND, Patrick		Verdict	Recommendations
17 – LAMOUREUX, Andre		Verdict	Recommendations
18 – WILKINS, William		Verdict	Recommendations
19 – RODGERS, Gareth		Verdict	Recommendations
20 – GREENBIRD, Willard		Verdict	Recommendations
21 – VALLANCE, Jeremie		Verdict	Recommendations
22 – TEIGESSER, Joseph		Verdict	Recommendations
23 – MORRISSETT, Gerald		Verdict	No Recommendations
24 – G., R. (youth)		Verdict	Recommendations
25 – MITCHELL, M. and Au CLAIR- MITCHELL, E		Verdict	Recommendations
26 – PLESINSKI, Waldemar		Verdict	Recommendations
27 – FARMER, James		Verdict	Recommendations
28 – HOEY, David J.		Verdict	No Recommendations
29 – MASON, S., and PRIESTMAN, C		Verdict	Recommendations
30 – ACRES, Bruce		Verdict and Recommendations	
31 – JAITLEY, Shalendra		Verdict	No Recommendations
32 – LADOUCEUR, Martine Ann		Verdict	Recommendations
33 – BARKER, Jamie B.		Verdict	Recommendations
34 – McLEAN, Kimberly		Verdict and Recommendations	
35 – MARTIN, Alice		Verdict and Recommendations	
36 – ELLIOTT, Jeffrey		Verdict and Recommendations	
37 – McCONNEY, Calvin		Verdict	No Recommendations

38 – PAYETTE, Pamela		Verdict and Recommendations	
39 – REDSHAW, Phillip		Verdict	No Recommendations
40 – WIENS, Mark Alexander		Verdict	Recommendations
41 – BLAIS, Serge		Verdict	Recommendations
42 – LAMIRANDE, Rock		Verdict	Recommendations
43 – PREVOST, Daniel		Verdict and Recommendations	
44 – DWYER, David		Verdict and Recommendations	
45 – F., G. (youth)		Verdict	Recommendations
46 – MAGEE, Christopher		Verdict and Recommendations	
47 – AL-AMIZI, Saad		Verdict and Recommendations	
48 – O'BRIEN, James		Verdict and Recommendations	
49 – DAVIS, Jonathan		Verdict and Recommendations	
50 – CORRIGAN, John		Verdict	Recommendations
51 – RADFORD, Grace Marie		Verdict and Recommendations	
52 – HEFFERN, Gordon		Verdict and Recommendations	
53 – Stevenson, D.; Pidgeon, S.; Jenkins, Judith; Beers, C		Verdict Verdict Verdict Verdict	Recommendations
54 – SHARMA, Shashi		Verdict	No Recommendations
55 – WHITE, Alfred		Verdict	No Recommendations
56 – BALOG, Joseph		Verdict	Recommendations
57 – CUDDY, Kerri Nicole		Verdict and Recommendations	

2004 Executive Summary

- 57 inquests were held in 2004
- 19% of the inquests conducted were discretionary
- 81% of the inquests conducted were mandatory (custody, construction and mining)
 - 51% of all inquests were custody
 - 21% of all inquests were construction
 - 9 % of all inquests were mining
- a total of 569 recommendations were made
- there was an average of 10 recommendations made per inquest
- on average, 88% of the organizations asked to respond, did so
- the total number of days in inquest for all inquests in 2004 was 530
- on average, each inquest in 2004 lasted 9.3 days

According to the responses received:

- 40% of the recommendations have been implemented
- 10% of the recommendations will be implemented
- 13% of the recommendations have had alternates implemented
- 3% of the recommendations will have alternates implemented
- 16% of the recommendations are under consideration
- 2% of the recommendations have unresolved issues
- 4% of the recommendations were rejected with no specific reason given
- 1% of the recommendations were rejected due to flaws
- 4% of the recommendations did not apply to the agency assigned
- 6% of the recommendations received no response
- 2% of the recommendations received responses that could not be evaluated

Introduction

The inquest has its origins in eleventh century England. When a body was found, a representative of the Crown had to decide five things:

- Who was the deceased?
- Where did he or she die?
- When did he or she die?
- How did he or she die?
- Who was to blame?

(NOTE: blame can no longer be assigned by inquest)

To help the “Crown” (or “Coroner”) decide these five questions, he summoned all the men from the surrounding villages to give evidence. Later, this evolved into a jury selected to hear the evidence.

The role of the coroner has been modified over the centuries. Today in Ontario, coroners are physicians appointed to serve the communities in which they live. The duties, activities and powers of coroners are defined in the Coroners Act, 1990. This Act is the legislated authority and reference for inquests. Death investigation is a provincial jurisdiction and other provinces and territories may have different systems and legislation governing the proceedings they conduct.

The Five Questions

There are five questions that must be answered when investigating a death:

- Who was the deceased?
- Where did the death occur?
- When did the death occur?
- How did the death occur (i.e. the medical cause)?
- By what means did the death occur?
(i.e. the classification or manner of death)
(“By what means” refers to the following categories: Natural causes, Accident, Homicide, Suicide, and Undetermined)

When an Inquest is called

There are two types of inquests: mandatory and discretionary. Mandatory inquests are conducted pursuant to legislative requirements under the Coroners Act. In 2004, deaths arising from accidents in the course of employment at construction, mining, pit or quarry sites and deaths occurring while being detained or in custody, required a mandatory inquest. All other inquests are considered discretionary and may be conducted in accordance with section 20 of the Coroners Act.

There are several factors that a coroner takes into account when deciding whether to hold a discretionary inquest. For instance, the coroner must consider whether the answers to the five questions are known. The coroner may also determine whether or not it is desirable for the public to have an open and full hearing of the circumstances of a death. Additionally, an inquest allows juries to make useful recommendations to prevent other deaths in similar circumstances. This preventative function is a very important aspect of inquests because it encourages changes that will result in a safer province. Recommendations from previous inquests have resulted in changes to legislation (e.g. graduated licensing and labour laws), policy (e.g. how the police and courts administer justice), procedures (e.g. how we protect children and how safe medical practices are encouraged) and product development (e.g. safety mechanisms for motorized vehicles and other consumer goods).

There is no time limit between the date of death and the convening of an inquest.

What an Inquest is NOT

An inquest is NOT an adversarial process. It is not a trial, a process for discovery, a royal commission or a campaign directed by personal or philosophical agendas. An inquest is an inquisitorial process designed to focus public attention on the circumstances of a death and it is a dispassionate public examination into the facts.

Verdicts and Recommendations

The jury *must* answers the five questions: who, when, where, how and by what means. It is the jury, not the coroner or any other party involved in the inquest, who ultimately decides on the answers to those questions based on the evidence heard.

Juries may make recommendations to prevent deaths in similar circumstances. It is through the recommendations made by coroner's juries that significant changes are made to improve the safety and quality of life in Ontario. In some inquests, the jury may not feel that any recommendations are necessary.

Organizations and agencies that are asked to address inquest recommendations suggested by the jury are under no legal obligation to implement recommendations and may choose not to respond. Most organizations and agencies however, recognize the valuable and important contribution of jury-suggested recommendations and as a result, the majority of recommendations receive some manner of formal acknowledgement or response. The high response rate to recommendations is a credit to the integrity and effectiveness of the inquest jury process.

Method for Distributing Inquest Recommendations

The presiding inquest coroner encourages the jury to submit their recommendations grouped under the headings which reflect the agency, ministry, organization or entity to which the recommendation should be directed. Inquest staff at the Office of the Chief Coroner review and distribute the recommendations to agencies, ministries and organizations identified by the juries, together with a covering letter requesting the respondent to inform the Office of the Chief Coroner regarding the implementation of recommendations.

For inquests taking place in 2004, organizations and agencies were given one year from the time the recommendations were distributed, to respond. After the one-year period, a final report on each inquest was prepared. For inquests taking place in 2006 and onwards, the Office of the Chief Coroner amended its procedures in order to encourage response within one year from the actual completion of the inquest.

Evaluation of Responses

Organizations and agencies asked to respond to recommendations are given the opportunity to evaluate their own responses based on the codes listed below. Responses that are not “self-analyzed”, are reviewed by staff at the Office of the Chief Coroner and assigned appropriate response codes.

Responses to jury recommendations are evaluated according to the following codes:

Response Code	Explanation
1	Recommendation has been implemented.
1A	Recommendation will be implemented.
1B	Alternative recommendation has been implemented.
1C	Alternative recommendation will be implemented.
2	The recommendation is under consideration.
3	There are unresolved issues with the recommendation that need to be addressed.
4	The recommendation is rejected.
4A	The recommendation is rejected due to flaws.
4B	The recommendation is rejected due to lack of resources.
5	The recommendation did not apply to the agency assigned.
6	There was no response to the recommendation.
7	The response could not be evaluated (e.g.: response was vague, response did not address stated recommendation, etc.)

Comments on Report 2004

Comments regarding this report may be submitted to:

Ms. Kathy Kerr
Executive Officer, Inquests and Special Projects
Office of the Chief Coroner
26 Grenville Street
Toronto, Ontario
M7A 2G9

Kathy.M.Kerr@ontario.ca

COMPREHENSIVE REPORT ON 2004 INQUESTS

Inquest #	Name	# Recs	Inquest Type	By What Means	# Days	# Orgs. Asked to Resp.	%Resp	Response Code											
								1	1A	1B	1C	2	3	4	4A	4B	5	6	7
1	LEWIS, Lana Dale	17	Disc	A	106	10	70	10		3	1	1		1		1			
2	LETREN, Lawrence	7	Const	A	2	3	66			3		1				3			
3	EVANS, Michael	15	Const	A	49	6	100	4	3	4	1	2				1			
4	PADDA, Paramjeet Singh	14	Oust	A	14	6	83	5	6	1		2							
5	FERRON, Gilles	1	Oust	N	1	1	0												
6	BELCOT, Donald Walter	2	Oust	N	2	1	100	1				1							
7	BARBIERI, Nicola	6	Const	A	2	6	83				2	1				3			
8	TANNER, Marie	11	Disc	A	3	6	50	4		3	3						1		
9	HACKETT, Kenneth	3	Const	A	1	1	100			1		1		1					
10	THAW, Lorne Leslie	2	Oust	N	3	1	100	2											
11	PRESSACCO, Elvio	3	Const	A	1	1	100	1	1							1			
12	DeFIGUEIREDO, Narciso	6	Const	A	3	3	100	2	1			2				1			
13	BOYD, David William	1	Oust	N	1	1	100	1											
14	SAUNDERS, Edward	2	Oust	A	1	1	100			1				1					
15	MEFFE, David	40	Oust	S	42	4	100	27		6		4	2	1					
16	SHAND, Patrick	22	Disc	A	38	4	50	1	1			19				1			
17	LAMOUREUX, Andre	15	Min	A	2	3	100	15											
18	WILKINS, William	6	Disc	A	9	1	100	4	1							1			
19	RODGERS, Gareth	12	Disc	U	14	8	88	2	1			7		2					
20	GREENBIRD, Willard	2	Oust	N	1	1	100	2											
21	VALLANCE, Jeremie James	2	Const	A	2	2	100	1		1									
22	TEIGESSER, Joseph	13	Disc	N	29	8	88	3	2	1	5	1			1				
23	MORRISSETTE, Gerard	0	Oust	A	1	0	n/a												
24	G., R. (youth)	4	Oust	A	3	1	0										4		
25	MITCHELL, M. and AUCLAIR-MITCHELL, E	40	Disc	A	8	16	69	14		10		4		1		1	10		
26	PLESINSKI, Waldemar	1	Const	A	2	2	50					1							
27	FARMER, James	7	Oust	S	3	1	100	5				1		1					
28	HOEY, David John	0	Oust	A	4	0	n/a												
29	MASON, S. and PRIESTMAN, C.	22	Const	A	5	4	75	4	13	1	1	1			1	1			
30	ACRES, Bruce Willis	8	Disc	A	2	4	75	1		4		2		1					
31	JAITLY, Shalendra Chand	0	Oust	S	1	0	n/a												
32	LADOUCEUR, Martine Ann	27	Oust	S	29	4	50	22	1	1		2					1		
33	BARKER, Jamie B.	50	Const	A	22	12	92	11	4	13	1	5	3	6		3	3	1	
34	McLEAN, Kimberly	6	Oust	U	9	2	100	1	2			2						1	
35	MARTIN, Alice	24	Disc	N	10	2	100	12	3	1		3	2	1		2			
36	ELLIOTT, Jeffrey T.	11	Oust	A	10	3	100	9		1		1							
37	McCONNIEY, Calvin James	0	Oust	N	1	0	n/a												
38	PAYETTE, Pamela	6	Oust	S	3	1	100	3		2				1					
39	REDSHAW, Phillip	0	Oust	S	1	0	n/a												
40	WIENS, Mark Alexander	27	Disc	A	16	5	100	8	11	1		4	1	2					
41	BLAIS, Serge	9	Min	A	2	2	100	4	2									3	

Inquest #	Name	# Recs	Inquest Type	By What Means	# Days	# Orgs. Asked to Resp.	% Resp	Response Code											
								1	1A	1B	1C	2	3	4	4A	4B	5	6	7
42	LAMIRANDE, Rock	8	Min	A	3	2	100	3		3		1		1					
43	REVOST, Daniel Raymond	3	Cust	N	2	1	100			2				1					
44	DWYER, David Edward	0	Cust	N	1	0	n/a												
45	G.F.	20	Cust	H	10	7	71	8	1			3	1	1				6	
46	MAGEE, Christopher	14	Cust	A	10	4	100	8		1		2					3		
47	AL-AMIZI, Saad	0	Cust	A	1	0	n/a												
48	O'BRIEN, James	6	Cust	U	1	4	100	3				3							1
49	DAVIS, Jonathan Andrew	1	Min	A	2	1	100					1							
50	CORRIGAN, John	6	Const	A	2	3	100	1		4									1
51	RADFORD, Grace Marie	6	Const	A	3	3	100	1		5									
52	HEFFERN, Gordon	10	Min	A	5	2	50	1										9	
53	Stevenson, David; Pidgeon, Steven; Jenkins, Judith; Beers, Craig	46	Disc	A, U, A, A	23	12	83	26	4	1		12		1					2
54	SHARMA, Shashi	0	Cust	N	1	0	n/a												
55	WHITE, Alfred Hector	0	Cust	N	1	0	n/a												
56	BALOG, Joseph	3	Cust	A	3	2	100			1		1							1
57	CUDDY, Kerri Nicole	2	Cust	S	4	1	100	1				1							
Total		569			530			231	57	75	14	92	9	23	2	0	22	34	10
Percentage of Total Response Codes								40.6	10.0	13.2	2.5	16.2	1.6	4.0	0.4	0.0	3.9	6.0	1.8
1104.0								66.3											

Response Code Legend:


1 Has Been Implemented	1A Will be Implemented	1B Alternate has been Implemented	1C Alternate will be Implemented
2 Under consideration	3 Unresolved Issues	4 Rejected	4A Rejected due to flaws
4B Rejected due to lack of Resources	5 Did not apply to Agency Assigned	6 No response	7 Unable to Evaluate

By What Means Legend:

N Natural	A Accidental	S Suicide	H Homicide	U Undetermined
-----------	--------------	-----------	------------	----------------

SUMMARY OF INQUESTS (2004) BASED ON TYPE OF INQUEST

Type	Total # of Recs	% of Total Recs	Total # of Inquests	% of Total Inquests	Avg # of Recs per Inquest	Avg % Response Rate*	Total # of Days in Inquest	Avg # of Days in Inquest
Discretionary	226	39.72	11	19.30	20.55	85.8	258	23.5
Custody	173	30.40	29	50.88	5.97	85.2	164	5.7
Construction	127	22.32	12	21.05	10.58	88.8	94	7.8
Mining	43	7.56	5	8.77	8.60	90.0	14	2.8
Total	569	100.00	57	100.00	9.98	87.5	530	9.3




SUMMARY – DISCRETIONARY INQUESTS 2004

Inquest Type: Discretionary

Inquest Type Breakdown by								Response Code												
Inquest #	Name	# Recs	Inquest Type	By What Means	# Days	# Orgs. Asked to Resp.	%Resp.	1	1A	1B	1C	2	3	4	4A	4B	5	6	7	
1	LEWIS, Lana Dale	17	Disc	A	106	10	70	10		3	1	1		1			1			
8	TANNER, Marie	11	Disc	A	3	6	50	4		3	3							1		
16	SHAND, Patrick	22	Disc	A	38	4	50	1	1			19					1			
18	WILKINS, William	6	Disc	A	9	1	100	4	1								1			
19	RODGERS, Gareth	12	Disc	U	14	8	88	2	1			7		2						
22	TEIGESSER, Joseph	13	Disc	N	29	8	88	3	2	1	5	1			1					
25	MITCHELL, M and AUCLAIR MITCHELL, E	40	Disc	A	8	16	69	14		10		4		1			1	10		
30	ACRES, Bruce Willis	8	Disc	A	2	4	75	1		4		2		1						
35	MARTIN, Alice	24	Disc	N	10	2	100	12	3	1		3	2	1			2			
40	WIENS, Mark Alexander	27	Disc	A	16	5	100	8	11	1		4	1	2						
53	Stevenson, David; Pidgeon, Steven; Jenkins, Judith; Beers, Craig	46	Disc	A, U, A, A	23	12	83	26	4	1		12		1					2	
TOTAL		226			258		79.36	85	23	24	9	53	3	9	1	0	6	11	2	
								% 37.6	10.2	10.6	4.0	23.5	1.3	4.0	0.4	0.0	2.7	4.9	0.0	

Total number of Discretionary Inquest 11




SUMMARY – MANDATORY CUSTODY INQUESTS

2004

Inquest Type: Custody (Mandatory)

Inquest Type: Custody (Mandatory)								Response Code											
Inquest #	Name	# Recs	Inquest Type	By What Means	# Days	# Orgs. Asked to Resp.	% Resp.	1	1A	1B	1C	2	3	4	4A	4B	5	6	7
4	PADDA, Paramjeet Singh	14	Cust	A	14	6	83	5	6	1		2							
5	FERRON, Gilles	1	Cust	N	1	1	0												
6	BELCOT, Donald Walter	2	Cust	N	2	1	100	1				1							
10	THAW, Lorne Leslie	2	Cust	N	3	1	100	2											
13	BOYD, David William	1	Cust	N	1	1	100	1											
14	SAUNDERS, Edward	2	Cust	A	1	1	100			1				1					
15	MEFFE, David	40	Cust	S	42	4	100	27		6		4	2	1					
20	GREENBIRD, Willard	2	Cust	N	1	1	100	2											
23	MORRISSETTE, Gerard	0	Cust	A	1	0	n/a												
24	G., R. (youth)	4	Cust	A	3	1	0											4	
27	FARMER, James	7	Cust	S	3	1	100	5				1		1					
28	HOEY, David John	0	Cust	A	4	0	n/a												
31	JAITLEY, Shalendra Chand	0	Cust	S	1	0	n/a												
32	LADOUCEUR, Martine Ann	27	Cust	S	29	4	50	22	1	1		2						1	
34	McLEAN, Kimberly	6	Cust	U	9	2	100	1	2			2							1
36	ELLIOTT, Jeffrey T.	11	Cust	A	10	3	100	9		1		1							
37	McCONNERY, Calvin James	0	Cust	N	1	0	n/a												
38	PAYETTE, Pamela	6	Cust	S	3	1	100	3		2				1					
39	REDSHAW, Phillip	0	Cust	S	1	0	n/a												
43	REVOST, Daniel Raymond	3	Cust	N	2	1	100			2				1					
44	DWYER, David Edward	0	Cust	N	1	0	n/a												
45	G.F.	20	Cust	H	10	7	71	8	1			3	1	1				6	
46	MAGEE, Christopher	14	Cust	A	10	4	100	8		1		2					3		
47	AL-AMIZI, Saad	0	Cust	A	1	0	n/a												
48	O'BRIEN, James	6	Cust	U	1	4	100	3				3							1
54	SHARMA, Shashi	0	Cust	N	1	0	n/a												
55	WHITE, Alfred Hector	0	Cust	N	1	0	n/a												
56	BALOG, Joseph	3	Cust	A	3	2	100			1		1							1
57	CUDDY, Kerri Nicole	2	Cust	S	4	1	100	1				1							
	TOTAL	173			164		85.2	98	10	16	0	23	3	6	0	0	3	11	3
							%	56.6	5.8	9.2	0.0	13.3	1.7	3.5	0.0	0.0	1.7	6.4	1.7

Total number of Mandatory Custody Inquests: 29




SUMMARY – MANDATORY CONSTRUCTION INQUESTS 2004

Inquest Type: Construction (Mandatory)

Inquest #	Name	# Recs	Inquest Type	By What Means	# Days	# Orgs. Asked to Resp.	%Resp.	Response Code											
								1	1A	1B	1C	2	3	4	4A	4B	5	6	7
2	LETREN, Lawrence	7	Const	A	2	3	66			3		1					3		
3	EVANS, Michael	15	Const	A	49	6	100	4	3	4	1	2					1		
7	BARBIERI, Nicola	6	Const	A	2	6	83				2	1					3		
9	HACKETT, Kenneth	3	Const	A	1	1	100			1		1		1					
11	PRESSACCO, Elvio	3	Const	A	1	1	100	1	1								1		
12	DeFigueiredo, Narciso	6	Const	A	3	3	100	2	1			2					1		
21	VALLANCE, Jeremie James	2	Const	A	2	2	100	1		1									
26	PLESINSKI, Waldemar	1	Const	A	2	2	50					1							
29	MASON, S. and PRIESTMAN, C.	22	Const	A	5	4	75	4	13	1	1	1			1		1		
33	BARKER, Jamie B.	50	Const	A	22	12	92	11	4	13	1	5	3	6			3	3	1
50	CORRIGAN, John	6	Const	A	2	3	100	1		4									1
51	RADFORD, Grace Marie	6	Const	A	3	3	100	1		5									
Total		127			94		88.83	25	22	32	5	14	3	7	1	0	13	3	2
							%	19.7	17.3	25.2	3.9	11.0	2.4	5.5	0.8	0.0	10.2	2.4	1.6

Total number of Mandatory Construction Inquests: 12




SUMMARY – MANDATORY MINING INQUESTS

2004



Inquest Type: Mining (Mandatory)



Inquest #	Name	# Recs	Inquest Type	By/What Means	# Days	# Orgs. Asked to Resp.	%Resp.	1	1A	1B	1C	2	3	4	4A	4B	5	6	7
17	LAMCUREUX, Andre	15	Mn	A	2	3	100	15											
41	BLAIS, Serge	9	Mn	A	2	2	100	4	2										3
42	LAMRANDE, Rock	8	Mn	A	3	2	100	3		3		1		1					
49	DAMS, Jonathan Andrew	1	Mn	A	2	1	100					1							
52	HEFFERN, Gordon	10	Mn	A	5	2	50	1										9	
Total		43			14		90	23	2	3	0	2	0	1	0	0	0	9	3
							%	53.5	47	7.0	0.0	47	0.0	23	0.0	0.0	0.0	20.9	7.0

Total number of Mandatory Mining Inquests: 5




SUMMARY OF INQUESTS – 2004






COMPARISON OF INQUEST TYPE AND MANNER OF DEATH FOR 2004 INQUESTS

Type of Inquest		By What Means (Number of Inquests)					
		Natural	Accident	Suicide	Homicide	Undetermined	TOTAL
Discretionary		2	7.75			1.25	11
Mandatory - Custody		10	9	7	1	2	29
Mandatory - Construction			12				12
Mandatory - Mining			5				5
	Totals	12	33.75	7	1	3.25	57
	% of Total	21	59	12	2	6	
2004-53 - Discretionary - Stevenson (Accident), Pidgeon (Accident), Jenkins (Accident), Beers (Undetermined)							



% of Inquests based on Manner of Death - 2004







HISTORICAL ANALYSIS OF INQUESTS


1999-2004

	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Total Number of Inquests	95	75	78	72	74	57
Number of Construction Inquests (Mandatory)	14 (14%)	12 (16%)	12 (15%)	13 (18%)	20 (27%)	12 (21%)
Number of Custody Inquests (Mandatory)	50 (53%)	40 (53%)	40 (52%)	40 (56%)	41 (55%)	29 (51%)
Number of Mining Inquests (Mandatory)	5 (5%)	5 (7%)	3 (4%)	1 (1%)	6 (8%)	5 (9%)
Total Number of Mandatory Inquests	69 (72%)	57 (76%)	55 (71%)	54 (75%)	67 (91%)	46 (81%)
Total number of Discretionary Inquests	26 (28%)	18 (24%)	23 (29%)	18 (25%)	7 (9%)	11 (19%)

- NOTE: Percentages may not equal 100 due to rounding off.







NUMBER OF RECOMMENDATIONS PER INQUEST 1999-2004

	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Total number of inquests per year	95	75	78	72	74	57
Total number of recommendations per year	1042	755	780	537	379	569

Average number of recommendations per year	11.0	10.1	10.0	7.5	5.1	10.0
---	-------------	-------------	-------------	------------	------------	-------------

Recommendations based on type of Inquest:

# of discretionary inquests	26	18	23	18	7	11
# of recommendations from discretionary inquests		428	458	341	67	226
Average number of recommendations from discretionary inquests		23.8	19.9	18.9	9.6	20.5



# of mandatory inquests (custody, construction and mining)	69	57	55	54	67	46
# of recommendations from all mandatory inquests		327	322	196	312	343
Average number of recommendations for all mandatory inquests		5.7	5.9	3.6	4.7	7.5

# of mandatory custody inquests	50	40	40	40	41	29
# of recommendations from mandatory custody inquests		83	94	42	151	173
Average number of recommendations from mandatory custody inquests		2.1	2.4	1.1	3.7	6.0


# of mandatory construction inquests	14	12	12	13	20	12
# of recommendations from mandatory construction inquests		83	94	42	151	127
Average number of recommendations from mandatory construction inquests		6.9	7.8	3.2	7.6	10.6

# of mandatory mining inquests	5	5	3	1	6	5
# of recommendations from mandatory mining inquests		36	24	15	33	43


Average number of recommendations from mandatory mining inquests		7.2	8	15	5.5	8.6
---	--	------------	----------	-----------	------------	------------




of Recommendations - Custody Inquests 1999-2004



of Recommendations - Construction Inquests 1999-2004






AVERAGE NUMBER OF DAYS PER INQUEST

1999-2004

	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Total number of inquests per year	95	75	78	72	74	57
Total number of days spent in inquest	775	461	542	451	237	530
Average number of days per inquest	8.2	6.1	6.9	6.3	3.2	9.3
Number of discretionary inquests	26	18	23	18	7	11
Number of days in discretionary inquests	357	276	388	313	79	258
Average number of days in inquest - discretionary	13.7	15.3	16.9	17.4	11.3	23.5
Number of mandatory inquests (total)	69	57	55	54	67	46
Number of days in mandatory inquests	418	185	153	138	158	272
Average number of days in inquest - all mandatory	6.1	3.2	2.8	2.6	2.4	5.9
Number of mandatory inquests - custody	50	40	40	40	41	29
Number of days in mandatory inquests - custody	366	138	103	101	74	164
Average number of days in inquest - custody	7.3	3.5	2.6	2.5	1.8	5.7
Number of mandatory inquests - construction	14	12	12	13	20	12
Number of days in mandatory inquests - construction	42	35	45	33	65	94
Average number of days in inquest - construction	3.0	2.9	3.8	2.5	3.3	7.8
Number of mandatory inquests - mining	5	5	3	1	6	5
Number of days in mandatory inquests - mining	10	12	6	4	19	14
Average number of days in inquest - mining	2	2.4	2.0	4.0	3.2	2.8



IMPLEMENTATION OF RECOMMENDATIONS

1999 - 2004

	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
# of recommendations implemented (code 1)	554 (53%)	402 (53%)	376 (48.2%)	178 (33%)	108 (28.5%)	227 (40%)
# of recommendations that will be implemented (code 1A)	n/a	35 (5%)	30 (3.8%)	52 (9.7%)	21 (5.5%)	57 (10%)
# of recommendations with alternates implemented (code 1B)	160 (15%)	115 (15%)	153 (19.6%)	79 (14.7%)	89 (23.5%)	75 (13%)
# of recommendations with alternates that will be implemented (code 1C)	n/a	9 (1%)	5 (.6%)	81 (15.1%)	11 (2.9%)	14 (2.4%)
Total number of recommendations that have been, or will be implemented, or have or will have alternates implemented (1, 1A, 1B, 1C)	n/a	561 (74.3%)	564 (72.3%)	342 (63.7%)	229 (60.4%)	377 (66%)
Discretionary Inquests	n/a	n/a	369 (65%)	219 (64%)	41 (18%)	141 (37%)
Mandatory Inquests (total)	n/a	n/a	195 (35%)	123 (36%)	186 (81%)	236 (63%)
<i>Custody</i>	n/a	n/a	106 (19%)	84 (25%)	58 (25%)	124 (33%)
<i>Construction</i>	n/a	n/a	70 (12%)	28 (8%)	101 (44%)	84 (22%)
<i>Mining</i>	n/a	n/a	19 (4%)	11 (3%)	27 (12%)	28 (7%)

* NOTE: Percentages may not equal 100 due to rounding off.

	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
# of recommendations under consideration	84 (8%)	70 (9%)	45 (5.77%)	71 (13.2)	42 (11.1%)	92 (16%)
# of recommendations with unresolved issues	11 (1%)	1 (1%)	10 (1.28%)	21 (3.9%)	9 (2.4%)	9 (1.6%)
# of recommendation rejected (no specified reason)	40 (4%)	24 (3%)	19 (2.44%)	34 (6.3%)	18 (4.7%)	23 (4%)
# of recommendations rejected due to flaws	14 (1%)	6 (1%)	12 (1.54%)	5 (.9%)	3 (.8%)	4 (.7%)
# of recommendations rejected due to lack of resources	4 (1%)	0 (0%)	2 (.26%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
# of recommendations that did not apply to agency assigned	74 (7%)	23 (3%)	18 (2.31%)	15 (2.8%)	16 (4.2%)	22 (3.9%)
# of recommendations that did not receive a response	92 (9%)	53 (7%)	110 (14.1%)	39 (7.3)	34 (9%)	34 (6.0)
# of recommendations that could not be evaluated	9 (1%)	7 (1%)	0 (0%)	10 (1.9%)	28 (7.4%)	10 (1.8%)

* NOTE: Percentages may not equal 100 due to rounding off.

	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Total number of Inquests with NO recommendations (% of <i>total</i> inquests)	n/a	n/a	21 (27%)	15 (21%)	26 (35%)	9 (16%)
Discretionary Inquests (% of total inquest with no recs.)	n/a	n/a	1 (4.7%)	0	1 (3.8%)	0
Mandatory Inquests (total) (% of total inquest with no recs.)	n/a	n/a	20 (95.2%)	15 (100%)	25 (96.1%)	9 (100%)
<i>Custody</i> (% of total inquest with no recs.)	n/a	n/a	20 (95.2%)	14 (93%)	22 (84.6%)	9 (100%)
<i>Construction</i> (% of total inquest with no recs.)	n/a	n/a	0	1 (7%)	3 (11.5%)	0
<i>Mining</i>	n/a	n/a	0	0	0	0

* NOTE: Percentages may not equal 100 due to rounding off.

	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Rates of responses to recommendations (% of organizations asked to respond, that DID respond)	n/a	n/a	75.8%	78.5%*	80.5%	85.2%
Discretionary Inquests	n/a	n/a	77.3%	83.9%	71.7%	79.4%
Mandatory Inquests (total)	n/a	n/a	75.4%	76.1%	83.4%	88%
<i>Custody</i>	n/a	n/a	82.6%	47.9%	74.3%	85.2%
<i>Construction</i>	n/a	n/a	76.9%	80.6%	81.4%	88.8%
<i>Mining</i>	n/a	n/a	66.7%	100.0%	94.5%	90%
** calculated by averaging the % response rate from all inquests with recommendations						

* NOTE: Percentages may not equal 100 due to rounding off.

NOTE: New codes were introduced in 2000 to reflect recommendations that *will be* implemented, or recommendations that *will have alternates* implemented.

Some statistics for 1999 and 2000 are not available. Detailed statistics were recorded commencing in 2001.

Analysis of Responses to Recommendations from Individual Inquests

**SUMMARY OF RESPONSES TO RECOMMENDATIONS
REGARDING THE INQUEST INTO THE DEATH OF:
Lana Dale LEWIS
Date of Death: September 12, 1996.
Date of Inquest: April 22, 2002 – January 16, 2004.
Inquest number: Q2004-01
Presiding Coroner: Dr. B. McLellan**

Recommendation Number	Lead Agency(s)/ Ministry(s)/Organization(s)/Subject Areas Assigned Recommendation	Lead Agency(s)/ Ministry(s)/Organization(s) responding to Recommendation	No Response	Date of Response	Response Analysis
1	Ministry of Health and Long Term Care Canadian Chiropractic Association Canadian Memorial Chiropractic College	Ministry of Health and Long Term Care Canadian Chiropractic Association See response from Canadian Chiropractic Association		20 June 2005 7 Sept 2004 ---	2 1 -
2	Ministry of Training, Colleges and Universities Canadian Chiropractic Association Canadian Memorial Chiropractic College College of Physicians and Surgeons of Ontario Canadian Physiotherapy Association Ontario College of Family Physicians	Ministry of Training, Colleges and Universities Canadian Chiropractic Association See response from Canadian Chiropractic Association College of Physicians and Surgeons of Ontario ----- Ontario College of Family Physicians	x	3 Aug 2004 7 Sept 2004 --- 10 Jan 2005 --- 14 Oct 2004	5 1B - 1B 6 5
3	Ministry of Training, Colleges and Universities Canadian Chiropractic Association Canadian Memorial Chiropractic College College of Physicians and Surgeons of Ontario Canadian Physiotherapy Association	Ministry of Training, Colleges and Universities Canadian Chiropractic Association See response from Canadian Chiropractic Association College of Physicians and Surgeons of Ontario -----	x	3 Aug 2004 7 Sept 2004 --- 10 Jan 2005 ---	5 1 - 5 6
4	Canadian Chiropractic Association Canadian Memorial Chiropractic College Ontario Medical Association Ontario College of Family Physicians	Canadian Chiropractic Association See response from Canadian Chiropractic Association ----- Ontario College of Family Physicians	x	7 Sept 2004 --- --- 14 Oct 2004	1C - 6 5
5	Office of the Chief Coroner	Office of the Chief Coroner		8 Dec 2004	1
6	Office of the Chief Coroner	Office of the Chief Coroner		8 Dec 2004	1
7	Office of the Chief Coroner	Office of the Chief Coroner		8 Dec 2004	1B
8	Office of the Chief Coroner	Office of the Chief Coroner		8 Dec 2004	1B
9	Office of the Chief Coroner	Office of the Chief Coroner		8 Dec 2004	1

LEGEND: 1 Has been implemented 1A Will be implemented 1B Alternate has been implemented 1C Alternate will be implemented
2 under consideration 3 unresolved issues 4 rejected 4A rejected due to flaws 4B rejected due to lack of resources
5 did not apply to assigned agency 6 no response 7 unable to evaluate

10	Canadian Chiropractic Association	Canadian Chiropractic Association		7 Sept 2004	1
	Canadian Memorial Chiropractic College	See response from Canadian Chiropractic Association		---	-
11	Ministry of Health and Long Term Care	Ministry of Health and Long Term Care		20 June 2005	4
12	Ministry of Health and Long Term Care	Ministry of Health and Long Term Care		20 June 2005	5
13	Canadian Chiropractic Association	Canadian Chiropractic Association		7 Sept 2004	1
	Canadian Memorial Chiropractic College	See response from Canadian Chiropractic Association		---	-
14	Canadian Chiropractic Association	Canadian Chiropractic Association		7 Sept 2004	1
	Canadian Memorial Chiropractic College	See response from Canadian Chiropractic Association		---	-
15	Canadian Chiropractic Association	Canadian Chiropractic Association		7 Sept 2004	1
16	Canadian Chiropractic Association	Canadian Chiropractic Association		7 Sept 2004	1
	Canadian Memorial Chiropractic College	See response from Canadian Chiropractic Association		---	-
	Ontario College of Family Physicians	Ontario College of Family Physicians		14 Oct 2004	3
17	Ministry of Health and Long Term Care	Ministry of Health and Long Term Care		20 June 2005	2
	Canadian Chiropractic Association	Canadian Chiropractic Association		7 Sept 2004	2
	Canadian Memorial Chiropractic College	See response from Canadian Chiropractic Association		---	-
	Canadian Stroke Consortium	-----	x	---	6

LEGEND: 1 Has been implemented 1A Will be implemented 1B Alternate has been implemented 1C Alternate will be implemented
2 under consideration 3 unresolved issues 4 rejected 4A rejected due to flaws 4B rejected due to lack of resources
5 did not apply to assigned agency 6 no response 7 unable to evaluate

Total number of Recommendations: 17

Evaluation Definition	HAS been Implemented	WILL BE implemented	ALTERNATE HAS BEEN implemented	ALTERNATE WILL BE implemented	Under Consideration	Unresolved Issues	Rejected	Rejected (due to flaws or impractical)	Rejected (due to lack of resources)	Not Applicable	No Response	Unable to Evaluate
	1	1A	1B	1C	2	3	4	4A	4B	5	6	7
# of Recommend.	10	0	3	1	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0
Percentage of Recommend.	59%	0%	18%	6%	6%	0%	6%	0%	0%	6%	0%	0%

* Note: Total percentage may not equal 100 due to rounding off.

# of Agencies asked to Respond	10
# of Agencies Responding	7
% of Agencies Responding	70%

LEGEND: 1 Has been implemented 1A Will be implemented 1B Alternate has been implemented 1C Alternate will be implemented
2 under consideration 3 unresolved issues 4 rejected 4A rejected due to flaws 4B rejected due to lack of resources
5 did not apply to assigned agency 6 no response 7 unable to evaluate

**SUMMARY OF RESPONSES TO RECOMMENDATIONS
REGARDING THE INQUEST INTO THE DEATH OF:
Lawrence LETREN
Date of Death: March 27, 2001.
Date of Inquest: January 14 – 15, 2004.
Inquest number: Q2004-02
Presiding Coroner: Dr. R. Swain**

Recommendation Number	Lead Agency(s)/ Ministry(s)/Organization(s)/Subject Areas Assigned Recommendation	Lead Agency(s)/ Ministry(s)/Organization(s) responding to Recommendation	No Response	Date of Response	Response Analysis
1	Ministry of Labour	Ministry of Labour		30 Mar 2005	1B
2	Ministry of Labour	Ministry of Labour		30 Mar 2005	5
3	Ministry of Labour	Ministry of Labour		30 Mar 2005	5
4	Canadian Association of Equipment Distributors Construction Safety Association of Ontario	----- Construction Safety Association of Ontario	x	--- 18 April 2006	6 5
5	Ministry of Labour	Ministry of Labour		30 Mar 2005	2
6	Ministry of Labour	Ministry of Labour		30 Mar 2005	1B
7	Ministry of Labour	Ministry of Labour		30 Mar 2005	1B

LEGEND: 1 Has been implemented 1A Will be implemented 1B Alternate has been implemented 1C Alternate will be implemented
2 under consideration 3 unresolved issues 4 rejected 4A rejected due to flaws 4B rejected due to lack of resources
5 did not apply to assigned agency 6 no response 7 unable to evaluate

Total number of Recommendations: 7

Evaluation Definition	HAS been Implemented	WILL BE implemented	ALTERNATE HAS BEEN implemented	ALTERNATE WILL BE implemented	Under Consideration	Unresolved Issues	Rejected	Rejected (due to flaws or impractical)	Rejected (due to lack of resources)	Not Applicable	No Response	Unable to Evaluate
	1	1A	1B	1C	2	3	4	4A	4B	5	6	7
# of Recommend.	0	0	3	0	1	0	0	0	0	3	0	0
Percentage of Recommend.	0%	0%	43%	0%	14%	0%	0%	0%	0%	43%	0%	0%

# of Agencies asked to Respond	3
# of Agencies Responding	2
% of Agencies Responding	66%`

LEGEND: 1 Has been implemented 1A Will be implemented 1B Alternate has been implemented 1C Alternate will be implemented
2 under consideration 3 unresolved issues 4 rejected 4A rejected due to flaws 4B rejected due to lack of resources
5 did not apply to assigned agency 6 no response 7 unable to evaluate

**SUMMARY OF RESPONSES TO RECOMMENDATIONS
REGARDING THE INQUEST INTO THE DEATH OF:**

Michael EVANS

Date of Death: September 11, 2000.

Date of Inquest: November 10, 2003 – January 20, 2004.

Inquest number: Q2004-03

Presiding Coroner: Dr. R. Isaac

Recommendation Number	Lead Agency(s)/ Ministry(s)/Organization(s)/Subject Areas Assigned Recommendation	Lead Agency(s)/ Ministry(s)/Organization(s) responding to Recommendation	No Response	Date of Response	Response Analysis
1	Ministry of Labour Ministry of Training, Colleges and Universities Construction Safety Association of Ontario	Ministry of Labour Ministry of Training, Colleges and Universities Construction Safety Association of Ontario		15 Aug 2005 31 Aug 2004 21 Jul 2005	1C 5 1A
2	Ministry of Labour	Ministry of Labour		15 Aug 2005	1C
3	Ministry of Training, Colleges and Universities Construction Safety Association of Ontario	Ministry of Training, Colleges and Universities Construction Safety Association of Ontario		31 Aug 2004 21 Jul 2005	2 1A
4	Ministry of Labour	Ministry of Labour		15 Aug 2005	1B
5	Ministry of Training, Colleges and Universities	Ministry of Training, Colleges and Universities		31 Aug 2004	2
6	Ministry of Labour	Ministry of Labour		15 Aug 2005	5
7	Ministry of Labour	Ministry of Labour		15 Aug 2005	1B
8	Ministry of Labour Construction Safety Association of Ontario	Ministry of Labour Construction Safety Association of Ontario		15 Aug 2005 21 Jul 2005	1B 5
9	Ministry of Labour Construction Safety Association of Ontario	Ministry of Labour Construction Safety Association of Ontario		15 Aug 2005 21 Jul 2005	5 2
10	Office of the Chief Coroner	Office of the Chief Coroner		2 Dec 2004	1B
11	Office of the Chief Coroner	Office of the Chief Coroner		2 Dec 2004	1
12	Office of the Chief Coroner	Office of the Chief Coroner		2 Dec 2004	1
13	CSA International	Canadian Standards Association		9 Sept 2004	1
14	CSA International Construction Safety Association of Ontario	Canadian Standards Association Construction Safety Association of Ontario		9 Sept 2004 21 Jul 2005	5 1A
15	Minister of Finance	Minister of Finance		21 Oct 2004	1

LEGEND: 1 Has been implemented 1A Will be implemented 1B Alternate has been implemented 1C Alternate will be implemented
 2 under consideration 3 unresolved issues 4 rejected 4A rejected due to flaws 4B rejected due to lack of resources
 5 did not apply to assigned agency 6 no response 7 unable to evaluate

Total number of Recommendations: 15

Evaluation Definition	HAS been Implemented	WILL BE implemented	ALTERNATE HAS BEEN implemented	ALTERNATE WILL BE implemented	Under Consideration	Unresolved Issues	Rejected	Rejected (due to flaws or impractical)	Rejected (due to lack of resources)	Not Applicable	No Response	Unable to Evaluate
	1	1A	1B	1C	2	3	4	4A	4B	5	6	7
# of Recommend.	4	3	4	1	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
Percentage of Recommend.	27%	20%	27%	7%	13%	0%	0%	0%	0%	7%	0%	0%

Note: Total percentage may not equal 100 due to rounding off.

# of Agencies asked to Respond	6
# of Agencies Responding	6
% of Agencies Responding	100%

LEGEND: 1 Has been implemented 1A Will be implemented 1B Alternate has been implemented 1C Alternate will be implemented
2 under consideration 3 unresolved issues 4 rejected 4A rejected due to flaws 4B rejected due to lack of resources
5 did not apply to assigned agency 6 no response 7 unable to evaluate

**SUMMARY OF RESPONSES TO RECOMMENDATIONS
REGARDING THE INQUEST INTO THE DEATH OF:
Paramjeet Singh PADDA
Date of Death: November 28, 2001.
Date of Inquest: January 12 - 30, 2004.
Inquest number: Q2004-04
Presiding Coroner: Dr. K. Acheson**

Recommendation Number	Lead Agency(s)/ Ministry(s)/Organization(s)/Subject Areas Assigned Recommendation	Lead Agency(s)/ Ministry(s)/Organization(s) responding to Recommendation	No Response	Date of Response	Response Analysis
1	Ontario Police College Peel Regional Police	Ontario Police College -----	x	5 May 2004 ---	1 6
2	MCSCS – Community Safety MCSCS – Correctional Services Peel Regional Police	MCSCS – Community Safety MCSCS – Correctional Services -----	x	24 June 2004 21 Aug 2006 ---	1A 1A 6
3	MCSCS – Community Safety MCSCS – Correctional Services Peel Regional Police	MCSCS – Community Safety MCSCS – Correctional Services -----	x	24 June 2004 21 Aug 2006 ---	1A 1A 6
4	MCSCS – Community Safety MCSCS – Correctional Services Peel Regional Police	MCSCS – Community Safety MCSCS – Correctional Services -----	x	24 June 2004 21 Aug 2006 ---	4 2 6
5	MCSCS – Community Safety MCSCS – Correctional Services Peel Regional Police	MCSCS – Community Safety MCSCS – Correctional Services -----	x	24 June 2004 21 Aug 2006 ---	5 1A 6
6	MCSCS – Community Safety MCSCS – Correctional Services Peel Regional Police	MCSCS – Community Safety MCSCS – Correctional Services -----	x	24 June 2004 21 Aug 2006 ---	4 1A 6
7	MCSCS – Community Safety MCSCS – Correctional Services Ministry of Health and Long Term Care Ontario Hospital Association Peel Regional Police Ontario Police College	MCSCS – Community Safety MCSCS – Correctional Services Ministry of Health and Long Term Care Ontario Hospital Association ----- Ontario Police College	x	24 June 2004 21 Aug 2006 6 Jul 2004 6 Apr 2004 --- 5 May 2004	4 2 1 1A 6 1

LEGEND: 1 Has been implemented 1A Will be implemented 1B Alternate has been implemented 1C Alternate will be implemented
2 under consideration 3 unresolved issues 4 rejected 4A rejected due to flaws 4B rejected due to lack of resources
5 did not apply to assigned agency 6 no response 7 unable to evaluate

8	MCSCS – Community Safety MCSCS – Correctional Services Peel Regional Police	MCSCS – Community Safety MCSCS – Correctional Services	x	24 June 2004 21 Aug 2006 ---	4 2 6
9	MCSCS – Community Safety Peel Regional Police Ontario Police College	MCSCS – Community Safety ----- Ontario Police College	x	24 June 2004 --- 5 May 2004	4 6 1
10	MCSCS – Community Safety MCSCS – Correctional Services	MCSCS – Community Safety MCSCS – Correctional Services		24 June 2004 21 Aug 2006	5 1
11	MCSCS – Correctional Services	MCSCS – Correctional Services		21 Aug 2006	1A
12	MCSCS – Correctional Services	MCSCS – Correctional Services		21 Aug 2006	1A
13	MCSCS – Correctional Services	MCSCS – Correctional Services		21 Aug 2006	1
14	MCSCS – Correctional Services	MCSCS – Correctional Services		21 Aug 2006	1B

LEGEND:

1 Has been implemented

2 under consideration

5 did not apply to assigned agency

1A Will be implemented

3 unresolved issues

1B Alternate has been implemented

4 rejected

6 no response

1C Alternate will be implemented

4A rejected due to flaws

7 unable to evaluate

4B rejected due to lack of resources

Total number of Recommendations: 14

Evaluation Definition	HAS been Implemented	WILL BE implemented	ALTERNATE HAS BEEN implemented	ALTERNATE WILL BE implemented	Under Consideration	Unresolved Issues	Rejected	Rejected (due to flaws or impractical)	Rejected (due to lack of resources)	Not Applicable	No Response	Unable to Evaluate
	1	1A	1B	1C	2	3	4	4A	4B	5	6	7
# of Recommend.	5	6	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Recommend.	36%	43%	7%	0%	14%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

# of Agencies asked to Respond	6
# of Agencies Responding	5
% of Agencies Responding	83%

LEGEND: 1 Has been implemented 1A Will be implemented 1B Alternate has been implemented 1C Alternate will be implemented
2 under consideration 3 unresolved issues 4 rejected 4A rejected due to flaws 4B rejected due to lack of resources
5 did not apply to assigned agency 6 no response 7 unable to evaluate

**SUMMARY OF RESPONSES TO RECOMMENDATIONS
REGARDING THE INQUEST INTO THE DEATH OF:
Gilles FERRON**

Date of Death: December 23, 2002.

Date of Inquest: January 28, 2004.

Inquest number: Q2004-05

Presiding Coroner: Dr. R. McILQUHAM

Recommendation Number	Lead Agency(s)/ Ministry(s)/Organization(s)/Subject Areas Assigned Recommendation	Lead Agency(s)/ Ministry(s)/Organization(s) responding to Recommendation	No Response	Date of Response	Response Analysis
1	Correctional Services Canada		x	---	6

LEGEND: 1 Has been implemented 1A Will be implemented 1B Alternate has been implemented 1C Alternate will be implemented
2 under consideration 3 unresolved issues 4 rejected 4A rejected due to flaws 4B rejected due to lack of resources
5 did not apply to assigned agency 6 no response 7 unable to evaluate

Total number of Recommendations: 2

Evaluation Definition	HAS been Implemented	WILL BE implemented	ALTERNATE HAS BEEN implemented	ALTERNATE WILL BE implemented	Under Consideration	Unresolved Issues	Rejected	Rejected (due to flaws or impractical)	Rejected (due to lack of resources)	Not Applicable	No Response	Unable to Evaluate
	1	1A	1B	1C	2	3	4	4A	4B	5	6	7
# of Recommend.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Recommend.	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

# of Agencies asked to Respond	1
# of Agencies Responding	0
% of Agencies Responding	0%

LEGEND: 1 Has been implemented 1A Will be implemented 1B Alternate has been implemented 1C Alternate will be implemented
2 under consideration 3 unresolved issues 4 rejected 4A rejected due to flaws 4B rejected due to lack of resources
5 did not apply to assigned agency 6 no response 7 unable to evaluate

**SUMMARY OF RESPONSES TO RECOMMENDATIONS
REGARDING THE INQUEST INTO THE DEATH OF:
Donald Walter BELCOT
Date of Death: April 6, 1999.
Date of Inquest: February 2-3, 2004.
Inquest number: Q2004-06
Presiding Coroner: Dr. R. Chivers**

Recommendation Number	Lead Agency(s)/ Ministry(s)/Organization(s)/Subject Areas Assigned Recommendation	Lead Agency(s)/ Ministry(s)/Organization(s) responding to Recommendation	No Response	Date of Response	Response Analysis
1	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services		13 Feb 2006	1
2	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services		13 Feb 2006	2

LEGEND: 1 Has been implemented 1A Will be implemented 1B Alternate has been implemented 1C Alternate will be implemented
2 under consideration 3 unresolved issues 4 rejected 4A rejected due to flaws 4B rejected due to lack of resources
5 did not apply to assigned agency 6 no response 7 unable to evaluate

Total number of Recommendations: 2

Evaluation Definition	HAS been Implemented	WILL BE implemented	ALTERNATE HAS BEEN implemented	ALTERNATE WILL BE implemented	Under Consideration	Unresolved Issues	Rejected	Rejected (due to flaws or impractical)	Rejected (due to lack of resources)	Not Applicable	No Response	Unable to Evaluate
	1	1A	1B	1C	2	3	4	4A	4B	5	6	7
# of Recommend.	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Recommend.	50%	0%	0%	0%	50%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

# of Agencies asked to Respond	1
# of Agencies Responding	1
% of Agencies Responding	100%

LEGEND: 1 Has been implemented 1A Will be implemented 1B Alternate has been implemented 1C Alternate will be implemented
2 under consideration 3 unresolved issues 4 rejected 4A rejected due to flaws 4B rejected due to lack of resources
5 did not apply to assigned agency 6 no response 7 unable to evaluate

**SUMMARY OF RESPONSES TO RECOMMENDATIONS
REGARDING THE INQUEST INTO THE DEATH OF:**

Nicola BARBIERI

Date of Death: February 18, 2003.

Date of Inquest: February 9, 2004.

Inquest number: Q2004-07

Presiding Coroner: Dr. R. Boyko

Recommendation Number	Lead Agency(s)/ Ministry(s)/Organization(s)/Subject Areas Assigned Recommendation	Lead Agency(s)/ Ministry(s)/Organization(s) responding to Recommendation	No Response	Date of Response	Response Analysis
1	Canadian Association of Equipment Distributors Construction Safety Association	Canadian Association of Equipment Distributors Construction Safety Association		24 Mar 2005 18 April 2006	5 5
2	Canadian Association of Equipment Distributors Construction Safety Association	Canadian Association of Equipment Distributors Construction Safety Association		24 Mar 2005 18 April 2006	5 1C
3	Canadian Association of Equipment Distributors Construction Safety Association	Canadian Association of Equipment Distributors Construction Safety Association		24 Mar 2005 18 April 2006	5 1C
4	Ministry of Training, Colleges and Universities	Ministry of Training, Colleges and Universities		31 May 2004	2
5	Ministry of Training, Colleges and Universities Ministry of Consumer and Business Services	Ministry of Training, Colleges and Universities -----	x	31 May 2004 ---	5 6
6	Ministry of Labour Canadian Standards Association Construction Safety Association	Ministry of Labour Canadian Standards Association Construction Safety Association		29 April 2005 15 June 2005 18 April 2006	5 5 7

LEGEND: 1 Has been implemented 1A Will be implemented 1B Alternate has been implemented 1C Alternate will be implemented
 2 under consideration 3 unresolved issues 4 rejected 4A rejected due to flaws 4B rejected due to lack of resources
 5 did not apply to assigned agency 6 no response 7 unable to evaluate

Total number of Recommendations: 6

Evaluation Definition	HAS been Implemented	WILL BE implemented	ALTERNATE HAS BEEN implemented	ALTERNATE WILL BE implemented	Under Consideration	Unresolved Issues	Rejected	Rejected (due to flaws or impractical)	Rejected (due to lack of resources)	Not Applicable	No Response	Unable to Evaluate
	1	1A	1B	1C	2	3	4	4A	4B	5	6	7
# of Recommend.	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	3	0	0
Percentage of Recommend.	0%	0%	0%	33%	17%	0%	0%	0%	0%	50%	0%	0%

# of Agencies asked to Respond	6
# of Agencies Responding	5
% of Agencies Responding	83%

LEGEND: 1 Has been implemented 1A Will be implemented 1B Alternate has been implemented 1C Alternate will be implemented
2 under consideration 3 unresolved issues 4 rejected 4A rejected due to flaws 4B rejected due to lack of resources
5 did not apply to assigned agency 6 no response 7 unable to evaluate

**SUMMARY OF RESPONSES TO RECOMMENDATIONS
REGARDING THE INQUEST INTO THE DEATH OF:
Francis Marie TANNER
Date of Death: January 21, 2002
Date of Inquest: February 9-12, 2004.
Inquest number: Q2004-08
Presiding Coroner: Dr. J. Cairns**

Recommendation Number	Lead Agency(s)/ Ministry(s)/Organization(s)/Subject Areas Assigned Recommendation	Lead Agency(s)/ Ministry(s)/Organization(s) responding to Recommendation	No Response	Date of Response	Response Analysis
1	Ministry of Health and Long Term Care Ontario Hospital Association	Ministry of Health and Long Term Care Ontario Hospital Association		6 April 2005 23 June 2005	1B 1
2	Health Canada	-----	x		6
3	Ministry of Health and Long Term Care Ontario Hospital Association	Ministry of Health and Long Term Care Ontario Hospital Association		6 April 2005 23 June 2005	1 1C
4	Office of the Chief Coroner	Office of the Chief Coroner		13 April 2006	1
5	Ministry of Health and Long Term Care Ontario Hospital Association	Ministry of Health and Long Term Care Ontario Hospital Association		6 April 2005 23 June 2005	1B 1C
6	Ministry of Health and Long Term Care Ontario Hospital Association	Ministry of Health and Long Term Care Ontario Hospital Association		6 April 2005 23 June 2005	5 1C
7	Ministry of Health and Long Term Care Ontario Hospital Association	Ministry of Health and Long Term Care Ontario Hospital Association		6 April 2005 23 June 2005	5 1C
8	Ministry of Health and Long Term Care Ontario Hospital Association	Ministry of Health and Long Term Care Ontario Hospital Association		6 April 2005 23 June 2005	5 1C
9	Canadian Council of Health Services Accreditation Canadian Generic Pharmaceutical Association Ministry of Health and Long Term Care Health Canada Ontario Hospital Association	----- ----- Ministry of Health and Long Term Care ----- Ontario Hospital Association	x x x	--- --- 6 April 2005 --- 23 June 2005	6 6 1B 6 1C
10	Ministry of Health and Long Term Care	Ministry of Health and Long Term Care		6 April 2005	1B
11	Office of the Chief Coroner	Office of the Chief Coroner		13 April 2006	1

LEGEND: 1 Has been implemented 1A Will be implemented 1B Alternate has been implemented 1C Alternate will be implemented
2 under consideration 3 unresolved issues 4 rejected 4A rejected due to flaws 4B rejected due to lack of resources
5 did not apply to assigned agency 6 no response 7 unable to evaluate

Total number of Recommendations: 11

Evaluation Definition	HAS been Implemented	WILL BE implemented	ALTERNATE HAS BEEN implemented	ALTERNATE WILL BE implemented	Under Consideration	Unresolved Issues	Rejected	Rejected (due to flaws or impractical)	Rejected (due to lack of resources)	Not Applicable	No Response	Unable to Evaluate
	1	1A	1B	1C	2	3	4	4A	4B	5	6	7
# of Recommend.	4	0	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
Percentage of Recommend.	36%	0%	28%	28%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	9%	0%

* Note: Total percentage may not equal 100 due to rounding off.

# of Agencies asked to Respond	6
# of Agencies Responding	3
% of Agencies Responding	50%

LEGEND: 1 Has been implemented 1A Will be implemented 1B Alternate has been implemented 1C Alternate will be implemented
2 under consideration 3 unresolved issues 4 rejected 4A rejected due to flaws 4B rejected due to lack of resources
5 did not apply to assigned agency 6 no response 7 unable to evaluate

**SUMMARY OF RESPONSES TO RECOMMENDATIONS
REGARDING THE INQUEST INTO THE DEATH OF:
Kenneth HACKETT
Date of Death: September 26, 2002.
Date of Inquest: February 10, 2004.
Inquest number: Q2004-09
Presiding Coroner: Dr. T. Wilson**

Recommendation Number	Lead Agency(s)/ Ministry(s)/Organization(s)/Subject Areas Assigned Recommendation	Lead Agency(s)/ Ministry(s)/Organization(s) responding to Recommendation	No Response	Date of Response	Response Analysis
1	Ministry of Labour	Ministry of Labour		12 April 2005	1B
2	Ministry of Labour	Ministry of Labour		12 April 2005	2
3	Ministry of Labour	Ministry of Labour		12 April 2005	4

LEGEND: 1 Has been implemented 1A Will be implemented 1B Alternate has been implemented 1C Alternate will be implemented
2 under consideration 3 unresolved issues 4 rejected 4A rejected due to flaws 4B rejected due to lack of resources
5 did not apply to assigned agency 6 no response 7 unable to evaluate

Total number of Recommendations: 3

Evaluation Definition	HAS been Implemented	WILL BE implemented	ALTERNATE HAS BEEN implemented	ALTERNATE WILL BE implemented	Under Consideration	Unresolved Issues	Rejected	Rejected (due to flaws or impractical)	Rejected (due to lack of resources)	Not Applicable	No Response	Unable to Evaluate
	1	1A	1B	1C	2	3	4	4A	4B	5	6	7
# of Recommend.	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Recommend.	0%	0%	33%	0%	33%	0%	33%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

* Note: total percentage may not equal 100 due to rounding off.

# of Agencies asked to Respond	1
# of Agencies Responding	1
% of Agencies Responding	100%

LEGEND: 1 Has been implemented 1A Will be implemented 1B Alternate has been implemented 1C Alternate will be implemented
2 under consideration 3 unresolved issues 4 rejected 4A rejected due to flaws 4B rejected due to lack of resources
5 did not apply to assigned agency 6 no response 7 unable to evaluate

**SUMMARY OF RESPONSES TO RECOMMENDATIONS
REGARDING THE INQUEST INTO THE DEATH OF:
Lorne Leslie THAW
Date of Death: May 8, 2003.
Date of Inquest: February 9 - 11, 2004.
Inquest number: Q2004-10
Presiding Coroner: Dr. P. Savage**

Recommendation Number	Lead Agency(s)/ Ministry(s)/Organization(s)/Subject Areas Assigned Recommendation	Lead Agency(s)/ Ministry(s)/Organization(s) responding to Recommendation	No Response	Date of Response	Response Analysis
1	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services		27 April 2006	1
2	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services		27 April 2006	1

LEGEND: 1 Has been implemented 1A Will be implemented 1B Alternate has been implemented 1C Alternate will be implemented
2 under consideration 3 unresolved issues 4 rejected 4A rejected due to flaws 4B rejected due to lack of resources
5 did not apply to assigned agency 6 no response 7 unable to evaluate

Total number of Recommendations: 2

Evaluation Definition	HAS been Implemented	WILL BE implemented	ALTERNATE HAS BEEN implemented	ALTERNATE WILL BE implemented	Under Consideration	Unresolved Issues	Rejected	Rejected (due to flaws or impractical)	Rejected (due to lack of resources)	Not Applicable	No Response	Unable to Evaluate
	1	1A	1B	1C	2	3	4	4A	4B	5	6	7
# of Recommend.	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Recommend.	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

# of Agencies asked to Respond	1
# of Agencies Responding	1
% of Agencies Responding	100%

LEGEND: 1 Has been implemented 1A Will be implemented 1B Alternate has been implemented 1C Alternate will be implemented
2 under consideration 3 unresolved issues 4 rejected 4A rejected due to flaws 4B rejected due to lack of resources
5 did not apply to assigned agency 6 no response 7 unable to evaluate

**SUMMARY OF RESPONSES TO RECOMMENDATIONS
REGARDING THE INQUEST INTO THE DEATH OF:
Elvio PRESSACCO
Date of Death: January 10, 2001.
Date of Inquest: February 13, 2004.
Inquest number: Q2004-11
Presiding Coroner: Dr. G. Watt**

Recommendation Number	Lead Agency(s)/ Ministry(s)/Organization(s)/Subject Areas Assigned Recommendation	Lead Agency(s)/ Ministry(s)/Organization(s) responding to Recommendation	No Response	Date of Response	Response Analysis
1	Ministry of Labour	Ministry of Labour		29 April 2005	1A
2	Ministry of Labour	Ministry of Labour		29 April 2005	1
3	Ministry of Labour	Ministry of Labour		29 April 2005	5

LEGEND: 1 Has been implemented 1A Will be implemented 1B Alternate has been implemented 1C Alternate will be implemented
2 under consideration 3 unresolved issues 4 rejected 4A rejected due to flaws 4B rejected due to lack of resources
5 did not apply to assigned agency 6 no response 7 unable to evaluate

Total number of Recommendations: 3

Evaluation Definition	HAS been Implemented	WILL BE implemented	ALTERNATE HAS BEEN implemented	ALTERNATE WILL BE implemented	Under Consideration	Unresolved Issues	Rejected	Rejected (due to flaws or impractical)	Rejected (due to lack of resources)	Not Applicable	No Response	Unable to Evaluate
	1	1A	1B	1C	2	3	4	4A	4B	5	6	7
# of Recommend.	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
Percentage of Recommend.	33%	33%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	33%	0%	0%

*Note: percentage may not equal 100 due to rounding off

# of Agencies asked to Respond	1
# of Agencies Responding	1
% of Agencies Responding	100%

LEGEND: 1 Has been implemented 1A Will be implemented 1B Alternate has been implemented 1C Alternate will be implemented
2 under consideration 3 unresolved issues 4 rejected 4A rejected due to flaws 4B rejected due to lack of resources
5 did not apply to assigned agency 6 no response 7 unable to evaluate

**SUMMARY OF RESPONSES TO RECOMMENDATIONS
REGARDING THE INQUEST INTO THE DEATH OF:
Narciso DE-FIGUEIREDO
Date of Death: August 31, 2002.
Date of Inquest: February 15-18, 2004.
Inquest number: Q2004-12
Presiding Coroner: Dr. D. Huyer**

Recommendation Number	Lead Agency(s)/ Ministry(s)/Organization(s)/Subject Areas Assigned Recommendation	Lead Agency(s)/ Ministry(s)/Organization(s) responding to Recommendation	No Response	Date of Response	Response Analysis
1	Ministry of Municipal Affairs	Ministry of Municipal Affairs		9 June 2004	2
2	Ministry of Labour	Ministry of Labour		20 May 2005	1
3	Ministry of Labour	Ministry of Labour		20 May 2005	5
4	Ministry of Labour	Ministry of Labour		20 May 2005	1A
5	Ministry of Labour	Ministry of Labour		20 May 2005	1
6	CSA International	Canadian Standards Association		18 May 2004	2

LEGEND: 1 Has been implemented 1A Will be implemented 1B Alternate has been implemented 1C Alternate will be implemented
2 under consideration 3 unresolved issues 4 rejected 4A rejected due to flaws 4B rejected due to lack of resources
5 did not apply to assigned agency 6 no response 7 unable to evaluate

Total number of Recommendations: 6

Evaluation Definition	HAS been Implemented	WILL BE implemented	ALTERNATE HAS BEEN implemented	ALTERNATE WILL BE implemented	Under Consideration	Unresolved Issues	Rejected	Rejected (due to flaws or impractical)	Rejected (due to lack of resources)	Not Applicable	No Response	Unable to Evaluate
	1	1A	1B	1C	2	3	4	4A	4B	5	6	7
# of Recommend.	2	1	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
Percentage of Recommend.	33%	17%	0%	0%	33%	0%	0%	0%	0%	17%	0%	0%

# of Agencies asked to Respond	3
# of Agencies Responding	3
% of Agencies Responding	100%

LEGEND: 1 Has been implemented 1A Will be implemented 1B Alternate has been implemented 1C Alternate will be implemented
2 under consideration 3 unresolved issues 4 rejected 4A rejected due to flaws 4B rejected due to lack of resources
5 did not apply to assigned agency 6 no response 7 unable to evaluate

**SUMMARY OF RESPONSES TO RECOMMENDATIONS
REGARDING THE INQUEST INTO THE DEATH OF:
David William BOYD
Date of Death: November 25, 2002.
Date of Inquest: February 24, 2004.
Inquest number: Q2004-13
Presiding Coroner: Dr. A. Lauwers**

Recommendation Number	Lead Agency(s)/ Ministry(s)/Organization(s)/Subject Areas Assigned Recommendation	Lead Agency(s)/ Ministry(s)/Organization(s) responding to Recommendation	No Response	Date of Response	Response Analysis
1	Correctional Services Canada	Correctional Services Canada		29 Apr 2005	1

LEGEND: 1 Has been implemented 1A Will be implemented 1B Alternate has been implemented 1C Alternate will be implemented
2 under consideration 3 unresolved issues 4 rejected 4A rejected due to flaws 4B rejected due to lack of resources
5 did not apply to assigned agency 6 no response 7 unable to evaluate

Total number of Recommendations: 1

Evaluation Definition	HAS been Implemented	WILL BE implemented	ALTERNATE HAS BEEN implemented	ALTERNATE WILL BE implemented	Under Consideration	Unresolved Issues	Rejected	Rejected (due to flaws or impractical)	Rejected (due to lack of resources)	Not Applicable	No Response	Unable to Evaluate
	1	1A	1B	1C	2	3	4	4A	4B	5	6	7
# of Recommend.	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Recommend.	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

# of Agencies asked to Respond	1
# of Agencies Responding	1
% of Agencies Responding	100%

LEGEND: 1 Has been implemented 1A Will be implemented 1B Alternate has been implemented 1C Alternate will be implemented
2 under consideration 3 unresolved issues 4 rejected 4A rejected due to flaws 4B rejected due to lack of resources
5 did not apply to assigned agency 6 no response 7 unable to evaluate

**SUMMARY OF RESPONSES TO RECOMMENDATIONS
REGARDING THE INQUEST INTO THE DEATH OF:
Edward SAUNDERS
Date of Death: April 17, 2003.
Date of Inquest: March 3, 2004.
Inquest number: Q2004-14
Presiding Coroner: Dr. R. McILQUHAM**

Recommendation Number	Lead Agency(s)/ Ministry(s)/Organization(s)/Subject Areas Assigned Recommendation	Lead Agency(s)/ Ministry(s)/Organization(s) responding to Recommendation	No Response	Date of Response	Response Analysis
1	Correctional Services Canada	Correctional Services Canada		18 April 2006	1B
2	Correctional Services Canada	Correctional Services Canada		18 April 2006	4

LEGEND: 1 Has been implemented 1A Will be implemented 1B Alternate has been implemented 1C Alternate will be implemented
2 under consideration 3 unresolved issues 4 rejected 4A rejected due to flaws 4B rejected due to lack of resources
5 did not apply to assigned agency 6 no response 7 unable to evaluate

Total number of Recommendations: 2

Evaluation Definition	HAS been Implemented	WILL BE implemented	ALTERNATE HAS BEEN implemented	ALTERNATE WILL BE implemented	Under Consideration	Unresolved Issues	Rejected	Rejected (due to flaws or impractical)	Rejected (due to lack of resources)	Not Applicable	No Response	Unable to Evaluate
	1	1A	1B	1C	2	3	4	4A	4B	5	6	7
# of Recommend.	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Recommend.	0%	0%	50%	0%	0%	0%	50%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

# of Agencies asked to Respond	1
# of Agencies Responding	1
% of Agencies Responding	100%

LEGEND: 1 Has been implemented 1A Will be implemented 1B Alternate has been implemented 1C Alternate will be implemented
2 under consideration 3 unresolved issues 4 rejected 4A rejected due to flaws 4B rejected due to lack of resources
5 did not apply to assigned agency 6 no response 7 unable to evaluate

**SUMMARY OF RESPONSES TO RECOMMENDATIONS
REGARDING THE INQUEST INTO THE DEATH OF:
David John MEFFE
Date of Death: October 9, 2002.
Date of Inquest: January 19 – April 8, 2004.
Inquest number: Q2004-015
Presiding Coroner: Dr. D. Evans**

Recommendation Number	Lead Agency(s)/ Ministry(s)/Organization(s)/Subject Areas Assigned Recommendation	Lead Agency(s)/ Ministry(s)/Organization(s) responding to Recommendation	No Response	Date of Response	Response Analysis
1	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services Ministry of Children and Youth Services	(see response from MCYS below) Ministry of Children and Youth Services		19 May 2006	1
2	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services Ministry of Children and Youth Services	(see response from MCYS below) Ministry of Children and Youth Services		19 May 2006	1
3	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services Ministry of Children and Youth Services	(see response from MCYS below) Ministry of Children and Youth Services		19 May 2006	1
4	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services Ministry of Children and Youth Services	(see response from MCYS below) Ministry of Children and Youth Services		19 May 2006	1
5	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services Ministry of Children and Youth Services	(see response from MCYS below) Ministry of Children and Youth Services		19 May 2006	1
6	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services Ministry of Children and Youth Services	(see response from MCYS below) Ministry of Children and Youth Services		19 May 2006	1
7	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services Ministry of Children and Youth Services	(see response from MCYS below) Ministry of Children and Youth Services		19 May 2006	2
8	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services Ministry of Children and Youth Services	(see response from MCYS below) Ministry of Children and Youth Services		19 May 2006	1
9	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services Ministry of Children and Youth Services	(see response from MCYS below) Ministry of Children and Youth Services		19 May 2006	1
10	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services Ministry of Children and Youth Services	(see response from MCYS below) Ministry of Children and Youth Services		19 May 2006	1
11	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services Ministry of Children and Youth Services	(see response from MCYS below) Ministry of Children and Youth Services		19 May 2006	2

LEGEND: 1 Has been implemented 1A Will be implemented 1B Alternate has been implemented 1C Alternate will be implemented
 2 under consideration 3 unresolved issues 4 rejected 4A rejected due to flaws 4B rejected due to lack of resources
 5 did not apply to assigned agency 6 no response 7 unable to evaluate

12	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services Ministry of Children and Youth Services	(see response from MCYS below) Ministry of Children and Youth Services		19 May 2006	1
13	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services Ministry of Children and Youth Services	(see response from MCYS below) Ministry of Children and Youth Services		19 May 2006	1
14	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services Ministry of Children and Youth Services	(see response from MCYS below) Ministry of Children and Youth Services		19 May 2006	1
15	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services Ministry of Children and Youth Services	(see response from MCYS below) Ministry of Children and Youth Services		19 May 2006	4
16	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services Ministry of Children and Youth Services	(see response from MCYS below) Ministry of Children and Youth Services		19 May 2006	1
17	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services Ministry of Children and Youth Services	(see response from MCYS below) Ministry of Children and Youth Services		19 May 2006	3
18	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services Ministry of Children and Youth Services	(see response from MCYS below) Ministry of Children and Youth Services		19 May 2006	2
19	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services Ministry of Children and Youth Services	(see response from MCYS below) Ministry of Children and Youth Services		19 May 2006	1B
20	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services Ministry of Children and Youth Services	(see response from MCYS below) Ministry of Children and Youth Services		19 May 2006	1
21	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services Ministry of Children and Youth Services	(see response from MCYS below) Ministry of Children and Youth Services		19 May 2006	1
22	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services Ministry of Children and Youth Services	(see response from MCYS below) Ministry of Children and Youth Services		19 May 2006	3
23	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services Ministry of Children and Youth Services	(see response from MCYS below) Ministry of Children and Youth Services		19 May 2006	1
24	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services Ministry of Children and Youth Services	(see response from MCYS below) Ministry of Children and Youth Services		19 May 2006	1
25	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services Ministry of Children and Youth Services	(see response from MCYS below) Ministry of Children and Youth Services		19 May 2006	1
26	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services Ministry of Children and Youth Services	(see response from MCYS below) Ministry of Children and Youth Services		19 May 2006	1B
27	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services Ministry of Children and Youth Services	(see response from MCYS below) Ministry of Children and Youth Services		19 May 2006	1
28	Ministry of Children and Youth Services Ministry of Health and Long Term Care	Ministry of Children and Youth Services Ministry of Health and Long Term Care		19 May 2006 10 Aug 2004	1 2
29	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services Ministry of Children and Youth Services	(see response from MCYS below) Ministry of Children and Youth Services		19 May 2006	1
30	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services Ministry of Children and Youth Services	(see response from MCYS below) Ministry of Children and Youth Services		19 May 2006	1B

LEGEND: 1 Has been implemented 1A Will be implemented 1B Alternate has been implemented 1C Alternate will be implemented
 2 under consideration 3 unresolved issues 4 rejected 4A rejected due to flaws 4B rejected due to lack of resources
 5 did not apply to assigned agency 6 no response 7 unable to evaluate

31	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services Ministry of Children and Youth Services	(see response from MCYS below) Ministry of Children and Youth Services		19 May 2006	1
32	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services Ministry of Children and Youth Services	(see response from MCYS below) Ministry of Children and Youth Services		19 May 2006	1B
33	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services Ministry of Children and Youth Services	(see response from MCYS below) Ministry of Children and Youth Services		19 May 2006	1
34	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services Ministry of Children and Youth Services	(see response from MCYS below) Ministry of Children and Youth Services		19 May 2006	2
35	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services Ministry of Children and Youth Services	(see response from MCYS below) Ministry of Children and Youth Services		19 May 2006	1
36	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services Ministry of Children and Youth Services	(see response from MCYS below) Ministry of Children and Youth Services		19 May 2006	1
37	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services Ministry of Children and Youth Services	(see response from MCYS below) Ministry of Children and Youth Services		19 May 2006	1
38	Ministry of Training, Colleges and Universities	Ministry of Training, Colleges and Universities Ministry of Children and Youth Services		5 Jul 2004 19 May 2006	1B 1B
39	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services Ministry of Children and Youth Services	(see response from MCYS below) Ministry of Children and Youth Services		19 May 2006	1B
40	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services Ministry of Children and Youth Services	(see response from MCYS below) Ministry of Children and Youth Services		19 May 2006	1

LEGEND: 1 Has been implemented 1A Will be implemented 1B Alternate has been implemented 1C Alternate will be implemented
2 under consideration 3 unresolved issues 4 rejected 4A rejected due to flaws 4B rejected due to lack of resources
5 did not apply to assigned agency 6 no response 7 unable to evaluate

Total number of Recommendations: 40

Evaluation Definition	HAS been Implemented	WILL BE implemented	ALTERNATE HAS BEEN implemented	ALTERNATE WILL BE implemented	Under Consideration	Unresolved Issues	Rejected	Rejected (due to flaws or impractical)	Rejected (due to lack of resources)	Not Applicable	No Response	Unable to Evaluate
	1	1A	1B	1C	2	3	4	4A	4B	5	6	7
# of Recommend.	27	0	6	0	4	2	1	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Recommend.	68%	0%	15%	0%	10%	5%	3%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

*** note: total may not equal 100 percent due to rounding off**

# of Agencies asked to Respond	4
# of Agencies Responding	4
% of Agencies Responding	100%

LEGEND: 1 Has been implemented 1A Will be implemented 1B Alternate has been implemented 1C Alternate will be implemented
2 under consideration 3 unresolved issues 4 rejected 4A rejected due to flaws 4B rejected due to lack of resources
5 did not apply to assigned agency 6 no response 7 unable to evaluate

**SUMMARY OF RESPONSES TO RECOMMENDATIONS
REGARDING THE INQUEST INTO THE DEATH OF:**

Patrick SHAND

Date of Death: September 14, 1999.

Date of Inquest: February 23 – April 23, 2004.

Inquest number: Q2004-16

Presiding Coroner: Dr. D. Eden

Recommendation Number	Lead Agency(s)/ Ministry(s)/Organization(s)/Subject Areas Assigned Recommendation	Lead Agency(s)/ Ministry(s)/Organization(s) responding to Recommendation	No Response	Date of Response	Response Analysis
1	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services		19 May 2006	2
2	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services		19 May 2006	2
3	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services		19 May 2006	2
4	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services		19 May 2006	2
5	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services		19 May 2006	2
6	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services Assoc. of Prof. Security Agencies of Ontario United Steelworkers of America	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services ----- -----	x x	19 May 2006 --- ---	2 6 6
7	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services Assoc. of Prof. Security Agencies of Ontario United Steelworkers of America	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services ----- -----	x x	19 May 2006 --- ---	2 6 6
8	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services		19 May 2006	2
9	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services Assoc. of Prof. Security Agencies of Ontario United Steelworkers of America	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services ----- -----	x x	19 May 2006 --- ---	2 6 6
10	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services Assoc. of Prof. Security Agencies of Ontario United Steelworkers of America	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services ----- -----	x x	19 May 2006 --- ---	2 6 6
11	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services		19 May 2006	2
12	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services		19 May 2006	2
13	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services		19 May 2006	2
14	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services		19 May 2006	1
15	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services		19 May 2006	2

LEGEND: 1 Has been implemented 1A Will be implemented 1B Alternate has been implemented 1C Alternate will be implemented
 2 under consideration 3 unresolved issues 4 rejected 4A rejected due to flaws 4B rejected due to lack of resources
 5 did not apply to assigned agency 6 no response 7 unable to evaluate

16	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services		19 May 2006	2
17	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services		19 May 2006	2
18	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services		19 May 2006	2
	Assoc. of Prof. Security Agencies of Ontario	-----	x	---	6
	United Steelworkers of America	-----	x	---	6
19	Office of the Chief Coroner	Office of the Chief Coroner		3 June 2004	1A
20	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services		19 May 2006	2
	Assoc. of Prof. Security Agencies of Ontario	-----	x	---	6
	United Steelworkers of America	-----	x	---	6
21	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services		19 May 2006	5
	Assoc. of Prof. Security Agencies of Ontario	-----	x	---	6
	United Steelworkers of America	-----	x	---	6
22	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services		19 May 2006	2

LEGEND:

1 Has been implemented

2 under consideration

5 did not apply to assigned agency

1A Will be implemented

3 unresolved issues

1B Alternate has been implemented

4 rejected

6 no response

1C Alternate will be implemented

4A rejected due to flaws

7 unable to evaluate

4B rejected due to lack of resources

Total number of Recommendations: 22

Evaluation Definition	HAS been Implemented	WILL BE implemented	ALTERNATE HAS BEEN implemented	ALTERNATE WILL BE implemented	Under Consideration	Unresolved Issues	Rejected	Rejected (due to flaws or impractical)	Rejected (due to lack of resources)	Not Applicable	No Response	Unable to Evaluate
	1	1A	1B	1C	2	3	4	4A	4B	5	6	7
# of Recommend.	1	1	0	0	19	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
Percentage of Recommend.	5%	5%	0%	0%	86%	0%	0%	0%	0%	5%	0%	0%

* Note: Total percentage may not equal 100 due to rounding off.

# of Agencies asked to Respond	4
# of Agencies Responding	2
% of Agencies Responding	50%

LEGEND: 1 Has been implemented 1A Will be implemented 1B Alternate has been implemented 1C Alternate will be implemented
2 under consideration 3 unresolved issues 4 rejected 4A rejected due to flaws 4B rejected due to lack of resources
5 did not apply to assigned agency 6 no response 7 unable to evaluate

**SUMMARY OF RESPONSES TO RECOMMENDATIONS
REGARDING THE INQUEST INTO THE DEATH OF:**

Andre LAMOUREUX

Date of Death: September 7, 2000.

Date of Inquest: March 1-2, 2004.

Inquest number: Q2004-17

Presiding Coroner: Dr. J. Deacon

Recommendation Number	Lead Agency(s)/ Ministry(s)/Organization(s)/Subject Areas Assigned Recommendation	Lead Agency(s)/ Ministry(s)/Organization(s) responding to Recommendation	No Response	Date of Response	Response Analysis
1	Falconbridge	Falconbridge		4 Mar 2005	1
2	Falconbridge	Falconbridge		4 Mar 2005	1
3	Falconbridge	Falconbridge		4 Mar 2005	1
4	Falconbridge	Falconbridge		4 Mar 2005	1
5	Falconbridge	Falconbridge		4 Mar 2005	1
6	Falconbridge	Falconbridge		4 Mar 2005	1
7	Falconbridge	Falconbridge		4 Mar 2005	1
8	Falconbridge	Falconbridge		4 Mar 2005	1
9	Falconbridge	Falconbridge		4 Mar 2005	1
10	Falconbridge	Falconbridge		4 Mar 2005	1
11	Falconbridge	Falconbridge		4 Mar 2005	1
12	Falconbridge	Falconbridge		4 Mar 2005	1
13	Falconbridge	Falconbridge		4 Mar 2005	1
14	Ministry of Labour Mines and Aggregates Safety and Health Association	Ministry of Labour Mines and Aggregates Safety and Health Association		21 Jun 2004 21 Jun 2004	1 1
15	Ministry of Labour	Ministry of Labour		21 Jun 2004	1

LEGEND: 1 Has been implemented 1A Will be implemented 1B Alternate has been implemented 1C Alternate will be implemented
 2 under consideration 3 unresolved issues 4 rejected 4A rejected due to flaws 4B rejected due to lack of resources
 5 did not apply to assigned agency 6 no response 7 unable to evaluate

Total number of Recommendations: 15

Evaluation Definition	HAS been Implemented	WILL BE implemented	ALTERNATE HAS BEEN implemented	ALTERNATE WILL BE implemented	Under Consideration	Unresolved Issues	Rejected	Rejected (due to flaws or impractical)	Rejected (due to lack of resources)	Not Applicable	No Response	Unable to Evaluate
	1	1A	1B	1C	2	3	4	4A	4B	5	6	7
# of Recommend.	15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Recommend.	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

# of Agencies asked to Respond	3
# of Agencies Responding	3
% of Agencies Responding	100%

LEGEND: 1 Has been implemented 1A Will be implemented 1B Alternate has been implemented 1C Alternate will be implemented
2 under consideration 3 unresolved issues 4 rejected 4A rejected due to flaws 4B rejected due to lack of resources
5 did not apply to assigned agency 6 no response 7 unable to evaluate

**SUMMARY OF RESPONSES TO RECOMMENDATIONS
REGARDING THE INQUEST INTO THE DEATH OF:
William WILKINS
Date of Death: May 27, 2002.
Date of Inquest: March 1 - 1, 2004.
Inquest number: Q2004-18
Presiding Coroner: Dr. J. Ewen**

Recommendation Number	Lead Agency(s)/ Ministry(s)/Organization(s)/Subject Areas Assigned Recommendation	Lead Agency(s)/ Ministry(s)/Organization(s) responding to Recommendation	No Response	Date of Response	Response Analysis
1	Office of the Fire Marshal	Office of the Fire Marshal		8 Dec 2006	1
2	Office of the Fire Marshal	Office of the Fire Marshal		8 Dec 2006	1
3	Office of the Fire Marshal	Office of the Fire Marshal		8 Dec 2006	1
4	Office of the Fire Marshal	Office of the Fire Marshal		8 Dec 2006	1
5	Office of the Fire Marshal	Office of the Fire Marshal		8 Dec 2006	5
6	Office of the Fire Marshal	Office of the Fire Marshal		8 Dec 2006	1A

LEGEND: 1 Has been implemented 1A Will be implemented 1B Alternate has been implemented 1C Alternate will be implemented
2 under consideration 3 unresolved issues 4 rejected 4A rejected due to flaws 4B rejected due to lack of resources
5 did not apply to assigned agency 6 no response 7 unable to evaluate

Total number of Recommendations: 6

Evaluation Definition	HAS been Implemented	WILL BE implemented	ALTERNATE HAS BEEN implemented	ALTERNATE WILL BE implemented	Under Consideration	Unresolved Issues	Rejected	Rejected (due to flaws or impractical)	Rejected (due to lack of resources)	Not Applicable	No Response	Unable to Evaluate
	1	1A	1B	1C	2	3	4	4A	4B	5	6	7
# of Recommend.	4	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
Percentage of Recommend.	67%	17%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	17%	0%	0%

*Note: total percentage may not equal 100 due to rounding off.

# of Agencies asked to Respond	1
# of Agencies Responding	1
% of Agencies Responding	100%

LEGEND: 1 Has been implemented 1A Will be implemented 1B Alternate has been implemented 1C Alternate will be implemented
2 under consideration 3 unresolved issues 4 rejected 4A rejected due to flaws 4B rejected due to lack of resources
5 did not apply to assigned agency 6 no response 7 unable to evaluate

**SUMMARY OF RESPONSES TO RECOMMENDATIONS
REGARDING THE INQUEST INTO THE DEATH OF:
Gareth RODGERS
Date of Death: August 25, 2002
Date of Inquest: March 22 – April 8, 2004.
Inquest number: Q2004-19
Presiding Coroner: Dr. W. Lucas**

Recommendation Number	Lead Agency(s)/ Ministry(s)/Organization(s)/Subject Areas Assigned Recommendation	Lead Agency(s)/ Ministry(s)/Organization(s) responding to Recommendation	No Response	Date of Response	Response Analysis
1	Office of the Chief Coroner	Office of the Chief Coroner		31 Jan 2007	1
2	Civilian Aviation Regulatory Advisory Council Transport Canada	Civilian Aviation Regulatory Advisory Council Transport Canada		28 Jul 2004 8 Nov 2004	7 1A
3	Transport Canada	Transport Canada		8 Nov 2004	2
4	Minister of National Defence Transport Canada	National Defence Transport Canada		26 July 2004 8 Nov 2004	7 2
5	Transport Canada	Transport Canada		8 Nov 2004	2
6	Transportation Safety Board Transport Canada Canadian Sport Parachuting Association	Transportation Safety Board Transport Canada -----	x	22 Nov 2004 8 Nov 2004 ---	4 2 6
7	Minister of National Defence Transport Canada	National Defence Transport Canada		26 July 2004 8 Nov 2004	7 2
8	Transport Canada	Transport Canada		8 Nov 2004	2
9	Office of the Chief Coroner Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services Minister of National Defence Transport Canada	Office of the Chief Coroner Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services National Defence Transport Canada		31 Jan 2007 1 Oct 2004 26 July 2004 8 Nov 2004	1 1A 7 2
10	Office of the Chief Coroner Canadian Sport Parachuting Association Minister of National Defence Transport Canada	Office of the Chief Coroner ----- National Defence Transport Canada	x	31 Jan 2007 --- 26 July 2004 8 Nov 2004	5 6 7 2
11	Canadian Standards Association	Canadian Standards Association		21 July 2004	4
12	Canadian Standards Association	Canadian Standards Association		21 July 2004	4

LEGEND: 1 Has been implemented 1A Will be implemented 1B Alternate has been implemented 1C Alternate will be implemented
2 under consideration 3 unresolved issues 4 rejected 4A rejected due to flaws 4B rejected due to lack of resources
5 did not apply to assigned agency 6 no response 7 unable to evaluate

Total number of Recommendations: 12

Evaluation Definition	HAS been Implemented	WILL BE implemented	ALTERNATE HAS BEEN implemented	ALTERNATE WILL BE implemented	Under Consideration	Unresolved Issues	Rejected	Rejected (due to flaws or impractical)	Rejected (due to lack of resources)	Not Applicable	No Response	Unable to Evaluate
	1	1A	1B	1C	2	3	4	4A	4B	5	6	7
# of Recommend.	2	1	0	0	7	0	2	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Recommend.	17%	8%	0%	0%	58%	0%	17%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

*Note: total percentage may not equal 100 due to rounding off.

# of Agencies asked to Respond	8
# of Agencies Responding	7
% of Agencies Responding	88%

LEGEND: 1 Has been implemented 1A Will be implemented 1B Alternate has been implemented 1C Alternate will be implemented
2 under consideration 3 unresolved issues 4 rejected 4A rejected due to flaws 4B rejected due to lack of resources
5 did not apply to assigned agency 6 no response 7 unable to evaluate

**SUMMARY OF RESPONSES TO RECOMMENDATIONS
REGARDING THE INQUEST INTO THE DEATH OF:
Willard Albert GREENBIRD
Date of Death: April 23, 2003.
Date of Inquest: April 13, 2004.
Inquest number: Q2004-20
Presiding Coroner: Dr. J. Ewen**

Recommendation Number	Lead Agency(s)/ Ministry(s)/Organization(s)/Subject Areas Assigned Recommendation	Lead Agency(s)/ Ministry(s)/Organization(s) responding to Recommendation	No Response	Date of Response	Response Analysis
1	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services		15 Feb 2006	1
2	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services		15 Feb 2006	1

LEGEND: 1 Has been implemented 1A Will be implemented 1B Alternate has been implemented 1C Alternate will be implemented
2 under consideration 3 unresolved issues 4 rejected 4A rejected due to flaws 4B rejected due to lack of resources
5 did not apply to assigned agency 6 no response 7 unable to evaluate

Total number of Recommendations: 2

Evaluation Definition	HAS been Implemented	WILL BE implemented	ALTERNATE HAS BEEN implemented	ALTERNATE WILL BE implemented	Under Consideration	Unresolved Issues	Rejected	Rejected (due to flaws or impractical)	Rejected (due to lack of resources)	Not Applicable	No Response	Unable to Evaluate
	1	1A	1B	1C	2	3	4	4A	4B	5	6	7
# of Recommend.	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Recommend.	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

# of Agencies asked to Respond	1
# of Agencies Responding	1
% of Agencies Responding	100%

LEGEND: 1 Has been implemented 1A Will be implemented 1B Alternate has been implemented 1C Alternate will be implemented
2 under consideration 3 unresolved issues 4 rejected 4A rejected due to flaws 4B rejected due to lack of resources
5 did not apply to assigned agency 6 no response 7 unable to evaluate

**SUMMARY OF RESPONSES TO RECOMMENDATIONS
REGARDING THE INQUEST INTO THE DEATH OF:
Jeremie James VALLANCE
Date of Death: April 2, 2002.
Date of Inquest: April 19 – 20, 2004.
Inquest number: Q2004-21
Presiding Coroner: Dr. K. Smith**

Recommendation Number	Lead Agency(s)/ Ministry(s)/Organization(s)/Subject Areas Assigned Recommendation	Lead Agency(s)/ Ministry(s)/Organization(s) responding to Recommendation	No Response	Date of Response	Response Analysis
1	Ministry of Labour Ministry of Municipal Affairs and Housing	Ministry of Labour Ministry of Municipal Affairs and Housing		4 Feb 2005 1 Sept 2004	1B 2
2	Ministry of Labour	Ministry of Labour		4 Feb 2005	1

LEGEND: 1 Has been implemented 1A Will be implemented 1B Alternate has been implemented 1C Alternate will be implemented
2 under consideration 3 unresolved issues 4 rejected 4A rejected due to flaws 4B rejected due to lack of resources
5 did not apply to assigned agency 6 no response 7 unable to evaluate

Total number of Recommendations: 2

Evaluation Definition	HAS been Implemented	WILL BE implemented	ALTERNATE HAS BEEN implemented	ALTERNATE WILL BE implemented	Under Consideration	Unresolved Issues	Rejected	Rejected (due to flaws or impractical)	Rejected (due to lack of resources)	Not Applicable	No Response	Unable to Evaluate
	1	1A	1B	1C	2	3	4	4A	4B	5	6	7
# of Recommend.	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Recommend.	50%	0%	50%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

# of Agencies asked to Respond	2
# of Agencies Responding	2
% of Agencies Responding	100%

LEGEND: 1 Has been implemented 1A Will be implemented 1B Alternate has been implemented 1C Alternate will be implemented
2 under consideration 3 unresolved issues 4 rejected 4A rejected due to flaws 4B rejected due to lack of resources
5 did not apply to assigned agency 6 no response 7 unable to evaluate

**SUMMARY OF RESPONSES TO RECOMMENDATIONS
REGARDING THE INQUEST INTO THE DEATH OF:
Joseph TEIGESSER
Date of Death: December 13, 2001.
Date of Inquest: November 17, 2003 – May 7, 2004.
Inquest number: Q2004-22
Presiding Coroner: Dr. J. Edwards**

Recommendation Number	Lead Agency(s)/ Ministry(s)/Organization(s)/Subject Areas Assigned Recommendation	Lead Agency(s)/ Ministry(s)/Organization(s) responding to Recommendation	No Response	Date of Response	Response Analysis
1	Ministry of Community and Social Services Ministry of the Attorney General (Minister Responsible for Native Affairs) Ministry of Municipal Affairs and Housing City of Toronto Ministry of Health and Long Term Care	Ministry of Community and Social Services Ministry of the Attorney General Ministry of Municipal Affairs and Housing ----- Ministry of Health and Long Term Care	x	23 Jul 2004 13 Aug 2004 15 Nov 2004 --- 5 Jul 2005	7 5 - 1B 6 5
2	Ministry of Health and Long Term Care	Ministry of Health and Long Term Care		5 Jul 2005	1A
3	Ministry of Health and Long Term Care Ontario Hospital Association St. Michael's Hospital Ontario Assoc. Of Interval and Transition Houses City of Toronto	Ministry of Health and Long Term Care Ontario Hospital Association St. Michael's Hospital Ontario Assoc. Of Interval and Transition Houses -----	x	5 Jul 2005 4 Jan 2005 Aug 2004 04 Sept 2004 ---	1C 5 1 5 6
4	Ministry of Health and Long Term Care	Ministry of Health and Long Term Care		5 Jul 2005	1
5	Ministry of Community and Social Services Ministry of Health and Long Term Care	Ministry of Community and Social Services Ministry of Health and Long Term Care		23 Jul 2004 5 Jul 2005	7 4A
6	Ministry of Health and Long Term Care	Ministry of Health and Long Term Care		5 Jul 2005	1C
7	Ministry of Health and Long Term Care	Ministry of Health and Long Term Care		5 Jul 2005	1C
8	Ministry of Health and Long Term Care	Ministry of Health and Long Term Care		5 Jul 2005	2
9	Ministry of Health and Long Term Care	Ministry of Health and Long Term Care		5 Jul 2005	1C
10	Ministry of Community and Social Services Ministry of Health and Long Term Care	Ministry of Community and Social Services Ministry of Health and Long Term Care		23 Jul 2004 5 Jul 2005	7 1C
11	Ministry of Health and Long Term Care	Ministry of Health and Long Term Care		5 Jul 2005	1A

LEGEND: 1 Has been implemented 1A Will be implemented 1B Alternate has been implemented 1C Alternate will be implemented
 2 under consideration 3 unresolved issues 4 rejected 4A rejected due to flaws 4B rejected due to lack of resources
 5 did not apply to assigned agency 6 no response 7 unable to evaluate

12	Ministry of Health and Long Term Care Ministry of Community and Social Services	Ministry of Health and Long Term Care Ministry of Community and Social Services		5 Jul 2005 23 Jul 2004	1 7
13	Ministry of Health and Long Term Care	Ministry of Health and Long Term Care		5 Jul 2005	1C

Total number of Recommendations: 13

Evaluation Definition	HAS been Implemented	WILL BE implemented	ALTERNATE HAS BEEN implemented	ALTERNATE WILL BE implemented	Under Consideration	Unresolved Issues	Rejected	Rejected (due to flaws or impractical)	Rejected (due to lack of resources)	Not Applicable	No Response	Unable to Evaluate
	1	1A	1B	1C	2	3	4	4A	4B	5	6	7
# of Recommend.	3	2	1	5	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Recommend.	23%	15%	8%	38%	8%	0%	0%	8%	0%	0%	0%	0%

# of Agencies asked to Respond	8
# of Agencies Responding	7
% of Agencies Responding	88%

LEGEND: 1 Has been implemented 1A Will be implemented 1B Alternate has been implemented 1C Alternate will be implemented
2 under consideration 3 unresolved issues 4 rejected 4A rejected due to flaws 4B rejected due to lack of resources
5 did not apply to assigned agency 6 no response 7 unable to evaluate

SUMMARY OF RESPONSES TO RECOMMENDATIONS
REGARDING THE INQUEST INTO THE DEATH OF:
Gerard MORRISSETTE
Date of Death: May 17, 2003.
Date of Inquest: May 3, 2004.
Inquest number: Q2004-23
Inquest Coroner: Dr. A. McCallum

There were no recommendations made in this inquest.

LEGEND: 1 Has been implemented 1A Will be implemented 1B Alternate has been implemented 1C Alternate will be implemented
2 under consideration 3 unresolved issues 4 rejected 4A rejected due to flaws 4B rejected due to lack of resources
5 did not apply to assigned agency 6 no response 7 unable to evaluate

**SUMMARY OF RESPONSES TO RECOMMENDATIONS
REGARDING THE INQUEST INTO THE DEATH OF:**

R.G. (youth)

Date of Death: April 27, 2003.

Date of Inquest: May 4 – 7, 2004.

Inquest number: Q2004-24

Presiding Coroner: Dr. K. Acheson

Recommendation Number	Lead Agency(s)/ Ministry(s)/Organization(s)/Subject Areas Assigned Recommendation	Lead Agency(s)/ Ministry(s)/Organization(s) responding to Recommendation	No Response	Date of Response	Response Analysis
1	Ministry of Children and Youth Services	-----	x	---	6
2	Ministry of Children and Youth Services	-----	x	---	6
3	Ministry of Children and Youth Services	-----	x	---	6
4	Ministry of Children and Youth Services	-----	x	---	6

LEGEND: 1 Has been implemented 1A Will be implemented 1B Alternate has been implemented 1C Alternate will be implemented
 2 under consideration 3 unresolved issues 4 rejected 4A rejected due to flaws 4B rejected due to lack of resources
 5 did not apply to assigned agency 6 no response 7 unable to evaluate

Total number of Recommendations: 4

Evaluation Definition	HAS been Implemented	WILL BE implemented	ALTERNATE HAS BEEN implemented	ALTERNATE WILL BE implemented	Under Consideration	Unresolved Issues	Rejected	Rejected (due to flaws or impractical)	Rejected (due to lack of resources)	Not Applicable	No Response	Unable to Evaluate
	1	1A	1B	1C	2	3	4	4A	4B	5	6	7
# of Recommend.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0
Percentage of Recommend.	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%

# of Agencies asked to Respond	1
# of Agencies Responding	0
% of Agencies Responding	0%

LEGEND: 1 Has been implemented 1A Will be implemented 1B Alternate has been implemented 1C Alternate will be implemented
2 under consideration 3 unresolved issues 4 rejected 4A rejected due to flaws 4B rejected due to lack of resources
5 did not apply to assigned agency 6 no response 7 unable to evaluate

**SUMMARY OF RESPONSES TO RECOMMENDATIONS
REGARDING THE INQUEST INTO THE DEATHS OF:
Megan MITCHELL and Erica AuCLAIR-MITCHELL
Date of Death: May 31, 2003.
Date of Inquest: May 4 – 20, 2004.
Inquest number: Q2004-025
Presiding Coroner: Dr. D. Legge**

Recommendation Number	Lead Agency(s)/ Ministry(s)/Organization(s)/Subject Areas Assigned Recommendation	Lead Agency(s)/ Ministry(s)/Organization(s) responding to Recommendation	No Response	Date of Response	Response Analysis
1	Girl Guides of Canada	-----	x	---	6
2	Girl Guides of Canada	-----	x	---	6
3	Girl Guides of Canada	-----	x	---	6
4	Girl Guides of Canada	-----	x	---	6
5	Girl Guides of Canada	-----	x	---	6
6	Girl Guides of Canada	-----	x	---	6
7	Girl Guides of Canada	-----	x	---	6
8	Girl Guides of Canada	-----	x	---	6
9	Ontario Provincial Police	Ontario Provincial Police		03 Feb 2005	1
10	Ontario Provincial Police	Ontario Provincial Police		03 Feb 2005	1
11	Ontario Provincial Police	Ontario Provincial Police		03 Feb 2005	1
12	Ontario Provincial Police	Ontario Provincial Police		03 Feb 2005	1
13	Ontario Provincial Police	Ontario Provincial Police		03 Feb 2005	4
14	Ontario Provincial Police Sault Ste Marie Police Service	Ontario Provincial Police Sault Ste. Marie Police Services Sault Ste. Marie Fire Services		03 Feb 2005 01 Nov 2004 24 Dec 2004	2 1 1A
15	Ontario Provincial Police	Ontario Provincial Police		03 Feb 2005	1
16	Ontario Provincial Police Sault Ste Marie Police Service	Ontario Provincial Police Sault Ste. Marie Police Services Sault Ste. Marie Fire Services		03 Feb 2005 01 Nov 2004 24 Dec 2004	1 1 7
17	Camp McDougall Incorporated	Camp McDougall Incorporated		28 Sept 2005	1B
18	Camp McDougall Incorporated	Camp McDougall Incorporated		28 Sept 2005	1B
19	Camp McDougall Incorporated	Camp McDougall Incorporated		28 Sept 2005	1B

LEGEND: 1 Has been implemented 1A Will be implemented 1B Alternate has been implemented 1C Alternate will be implemented
2 under consideration 3 unresolved issues 4 rejected 4A rejected due to flaws 4B rejected due to lack of resources
5 did not apply to assigned agency 6 no response 7 unable to evaluate

20	Camp McDougall Incorporated	Camp McDougall Incorporated		28 Sept 2005	1
21	Camp McDougall Incorporated	Camp McDougall Incorporated		28 Sept 2005	1
22	Camp McDougall Incorporated	Camp McDougall Incorporated		28 Sept 2005	1
23	Camp McDougall Incorporated	Camp McDougall Incorporated		28 Sept 2005	1B
24	Camp McDougall Incorporated	Camp McDougall Incorporated		28 Sept 2005	1B
25	Camp McDougall Incorporated	Camp McDougall Incorporated		28 Sept 2005	1B
26	Camp McDougall Incorporated	Camp McDougall Incorporated		28 Sept 2005	1B
27	Camp McDougall Incorporated	Camp McDougall Incorporated		28 Sept 2005	1B
28	Ministry of Health and Long Term Care Ontario Criticall Program Sudbury Regional General Hospital	Ministry of Health and Long Term Care ----- Sudbury Regional General Hospital	x	17 Jan 2005 --- 11 Mar 2005	5 6 1B
29	Ministry of Health and Long Term Care Ontario Criticall Program Sudbury Regional General Hospital	Ministry of Health and Long Term Care ----- Sudbury Regional General Hospital	x	17 Jan 2005 --- 11 Mar 2005	5 6 1
30	Ontario Camping Association	-----	x	---	6
31	Ontario Recreational Canoeing Association Canadian Recreational Canoeing Association	Ontario Recreational Canoeing Association -----	x	27 April 2005 ---	1 6
32	Scouts Canada	Scouts Canada		24 Nov 2005	1
33	Environment Canada	Environment Canada		16 Feb 2005	2
34	Minister of Fisheries and Oceans Transport Canada	Minister of Fisheries and Oceans Transport Canada		13 Jan 2005 25 Jan 2005	5 2
35	Transport Canada	Transport Canada		25 Jan 2005	2
36	Transport Canada	Transport Canada		25 Jan 2005	2
37	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services		2 May 2005	5
38	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services		2 May 2005	1B
39	Transport Canada National Defence	Transport Canada -----	x	25 Jan 2005 ---	1 6
40	National Defence	-----	x	---	6

LEGEND: 1 Has been implemented 1A Will be implemented 1B Alternate has been implemented 1C Alternate will be implemented
2 under consideration 3 unresolved issues 4 rejected 4A rejected due to flaws 4B rejected due to lack of resources
5 did not apply to assigned agency 6 no response 7 unable to evaluate

Total number of Recommendations: 40

Evaluation Definition	HAS been Implemented	WILL BE implemented	ALTERNATE HAS BEEN implemented	ALTERNATE WILL BE implemented	Under Consideration	Unresolved Issues	Rejected	Rejected (due to flaws or impractical)	Rejected (due to lack of resources)	Not Applicable	No Response	Unable to Evaluate
	1	1A	1B	1C	2	3	4	4A	4B	5	6	7
# of Recommend.	14	0	10	0	4	0	1	0	0	1	10	0
Percentage of Recommend.	35%	0%	25%	0%	10%	0%	3%	0%	0%	3%	25%	0%

* NOTE: Total percentage may not equal 100 due to rounding off.

# of Agencies asked to Respond	16
# of Agencies Responding	11
% of Agencies Responding	69%

LEGEND: 1 Has been implemented 1A Will be implemented 1B Alternate has been implemented 1C Alternate will be implemented
2 under consideration 3 unresolved issues 4 rejected 4A rejected due to flaws 4B rejected due to lack of resources
5 did not apply to assigned agency 6 no response 7 unable to evaluate

SUMMARY OF RESPONSES TO RECOMMENDATIONS
REGARDING THE INQUEST INTO THE DEATH OF:
Waldemar PLESINSKI
Date of Death: February 21, 2003.
Date of Inquest: June 7 - 8, 2004.
Inquest number: Q2004-26
Presiding Coroner: Dr. R. Mann

Recommendation Number	Lead Agency(s)/ Ministry(s)/Organization(s)/Subject Areas Assigned Recommendation	Lead Agency(s)/ Ministry(s)/Organization(s) responding to Recommendation	No Response	Date of Response	Response Analysis
1	Ministry of Municipal Affairs and Housing Saugeen Township	Ministry of Municipal Affairs and Housing -----	x	2 Nov 2004 ---	2 6

LEGEND: 1 Has been implemented 1A Will be implemented 1B Alternate has been implemented 1C Alternate will be implemented
2 under consideration 3 unresolved issues 4 rejected 4A rejected due to flaws 4B rejected due to lack of resources
5 did not apply to assigned agency 6 no response 7 unable to evaluate

Total number of Recommendations: 1

Evaluation Definition	HAS been Implemented	WILL BE implemented	ALTERNATE HAS BEEN implemented	ALTERNATE WILL BE implemented	Under Consideration	Unresolved Issues	Rejected	Rejected (due to flaws or impractical)	Rejected (due to lack of resources)	Not Applicable	No Response	Unable to Evaluate
	1	1A	1B	1C	2	3	4	4A	4B	5	6	7
# of Recommend.	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Recommend.	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

# of Agencies asked to Respond	2
# of Agencies Responding	1
% of Agencies Responding	50%

LEGEND: 1 Has been implemented 1A Will be implemented 1B Alternate has been implemented 1C Alternate will be implemented
2 under consideration 3 unresolved issues 4 rejected 4A rejected due to flaws 4B rejected due to lack of resources
5 did not apply to assigned agency 6 no response 7 unable to evaluate

**SUMMARY OF RESPONSES TO RECOMMENDATIONS
REGARDING THE INQUEST INTO THE DEATH OF:
James FARMER
Date of Death: June 6, 2003.
Date of Inquest: June 7-9, 2004.
Inquest number: Q2004-27
Presiding Coroner: Dr. W. Buckton**

Recommendation Number	Lead Agency(s)/ Ministry(s)/Organization(s)/Subject Areas Assigned Recommendation	Lead Agency(s)/ Ministry(s)/Organization(s) responding to Recommendation	No Response	Date of Response	Response Analysis
1	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services		29 Sep 2005	1
2	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services		29 Sep 2005	2
3	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services		29 Sep 2005	1
4	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services		29 Sep 2005	1
5	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services		29 Sep 2005	1
6	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services		29 Sep 2005	4
7	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services		29 Sep 2005	1

LEGEND: 1 Has been implemented 1A Will be implemented 1B Alternate has been implemented 1C Alternate will be implemented
 2 under consideration 3 unresolved issues 4 rejected 4A rejected due to flaws 4B rejected due to lack of resources
 5 did not apply to assigned agency 6 no response 7 unable to evaluate

Total number of Recommendations: 7

Evaluation Definition	HAS been Implemented	WILL BE implemented	ALTERNATE HAS BEEN implemented	ALTERNATE WILL BE implemented	Under Consideration	Unresolved Issues	Rejected	Rejected (due to flaws or impractical)	Rejected (due to lack of resources)	Not Applicable	No Response	Unable to Evaluate
	1	1A	1B	1C	2	3	4	4A	4B	5	6	7
# of Recommend.	5	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Recommend.	71%	0%	0%	0%	14%	0%	14%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

* NOTE: Total percentage may not equal 100 due to rounding off.

# of Agencies asked to Respond	1
# of Agencies Responding	1
% of Agencies Responding	100%

LEGEND: 1 Has been implemented 1A Will be implemented 1B Alternate has been implemented 1C Alternate will be implemented
2 under consideration 3 unresolved issues 4 rejected 4A rejected due to flaws 4B rejected due to lack of resources
5 did not apply to assigned agency 6 no response 7 unable to evaluate

**SUMMARY OF RESPONSES TO RECOMMENDATIONS
REGARDING THE INQUEST INTO THE DEATH OF:
David John HOEY
Date of Death: April 24, 2002.
Date of Inquest: June 7 - 10, 2004.
Inquest number: Q2004-28
Inquest Coroner: Dr. J. Ewen**

There were no recommendations made in this inquest.

LEGEND: 1 Has been implemented 1A Will be implemented 1B Alternate has been implemented 1C Alternate will be implemented
2 under consideration 3 unresolved issues 4 rejected 4A rejected due to flaws 4B rejected due to lack of resources
5 did not apply to assigned agency 6 no response 7 unable to evaluate

**SUMMARY OF RESPONSES TO RECOMMENDATIONS
REGARDING THE INQUEST INTO THE DEATHS OF:
Scott MASON and Christopher PRIESTMAN
Date of Deaths: August 8, 2001.
Date of Inquest: June 7-11, 2004.
Inquest number: Q2004-29
Presiding Coroner: Dr. D. Huyer**

Recommendation Number	Lead Agency(s)/ Ministry(s)/Organization(s)/Subject Areas Assigned Recommendation	Lead Agency(s)/ Ministry(s)/Organization(s) responding to Recommendation	No Response	Date of Response	Response Analysis
1	Ministry of Labour Mines and Aggregates Safety and Health Association	Ministry of Labour Mines and Aggregates Safety and Health Association		7 Jun 2005 23 Jul 2004	1 5
2	Ministry of Labour Mines and Aggregates Safety and Health Association	Ministry of Labour Mines and Aggregates Safety and Health Association		7 Jun 2005 23 Jul 2004	1 5
3	Ministry of Labour Mines and Aggregates Safety and Health Association	Ministry of Labour Mines and Aggregates Safety and Health Association		7 Jun 2005 23 Jul 2004	1 5
4	Ministry of Labour Mines and Aggregates Safety and Health Association	Ministry of Labour Mines and Aggregates Safety and Health Association		7 Jun 2005 23 Jul 2004	1 5
5	Ministry of Labour	Ministry of Labour		7 Jun 2005	1A
6	Ministry of Labour	Ministry of Labour		7 Jun 2005	4A
7	Ministry of Labour Mines and Aggregates Safety and Health Association Aggregate Producers Association of Ontario	Ministry of Labour Mines and Aggregates Safety and Health Association -----	x	7 Jun 2005 23 Jul 2004 ---	1C 5 6
8	Ministry of Labour	Ministry of Labour		7 Jun 2005	1A
9	Ministry of Labour	Ministry of Labour		7 Jun 2005	1A
10	Ministry of Labour Mines and Aggregates Safety and Health Association	Ministry of Labour Mines and Aggregates Safety and Health Association		7 Jun 2005 23 Jul 2004	1A 5
11	Ministry of Labour Mines and Aggregates Safety and Health Association	Ministry of Labour Mines and Aggregates Safety and Health Association		7 Jun 2005 23 Jul 2004	1A 5
12	Ministry of Labour Mines and Aggregates Safety and Health Association	Ministry of Labour Mines and Aggregates Safety and Health Association		7 Jun 2005 23 Jul 2004	7 5
13	Ministry of Labour Mines and Aggregates Safety and Health Association	Ministry of Labour Mines and Aggregates Safety and Health Association		7 Jun 2005 23 Jul 2004	1B 5

LEGEND: 1 Has been implemented 1A Will be implemented 1B Alternate has been implemented 1C Alternate will be implemented
 2 under consideration 3 unresolved issues 4 rejected 4A rejected due to flaws 4B rejected due to lack of resources
 5 did not apply to assigned agency 6 no response 7 unable to evaluate

14	Ministry of Labour Ministry of Training, Colleges and Universities	Ministry of Labour Ministry of Training, Colleges and Universities		7 Jun 2005 27 Sept 2004	1A 2
15	Ministry of Labour Mines and Aggregates Safety and Health Association Aggregate Producers Association of Ontario	Ministry of Labour Mines and Aggregates Safety and Health Association -----	x	7 Jun 2005 23 Jul 2004 ---	1A 2 6
16	Ministry of Labour	Ministry of Labour		7 Jun 2005	1A
17	Ministry of Labour Mines and Aggregates Safety and Health Association	Ministry of Labour Mines and Aggregates Safety and Health Association		7 Jun 2005 23 Jul 2004	1A 2
18	Ministry of Labour Mines and Aggregates Safety and Health Association	Ministry of Labour Mines and Aggregates Safety and Health Association		7 Jun 2005 23 Jul 2004	1A 1C
19	Ministry of Labour Mines and Aggregates Safety and Health Association Aggregate Producers Association of Ontario	Ministry of Labour Mines and Aggregates Safety and Health Association -----	x	7 Jun 2005 23 Jul 2004 ---	1A 2 6
20	Ministry of Labour Mines and Aggregates Safety and Health Association	Ministry of Labour Mines and Aggregates Safety and Health Association		7 Jun 2005 23 Jul 2004	1A 5
21	Ministry of Labour Mines and Aggregates Safety and Health Association	Ministry of Labour Mines and Aggregates Safety and Health Association		7 Jun 2005 23 Jul 2004	1A 5
22	Ministry of Labour Mines and Aggregates Safety and Health Association Aggregate Produces Association of Ontario	Ministry of Labour Mines and Aggregates Safety and Health Association -----	x	7 Jun 2005 23 Jul 2004 ---	7 2 6

LEGEND: 1 Has been implemented 1A Will be implemented 1B Alternate has been implemented 1C Alternate will be implemented
2 under consideration 3 unresolved issues 4 rejected 4A rejected due to flaws 4B rejected due to lack of resources
5 did not apply to assigned agency 6 no response 7 unable to evaluate

Total number of Recommendations: 22

Evaluation Definition	HAS been Implemented	WILL BE implemented	ALTERNATE HAS BEEN implemented	ALTERNATE WILL BE implemented	Under Consideration	Unresolved Issues	Rejected	Rejected (due to flaws or impractical)	Rejected (due to lack of resources)	Not Applicable	No Response	Unable to Evaluate
	1	1A	1B	1C	2	3	4	4A	4B	5	6	7
# of Recommend.	4	13	1	1	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	0
Percentage of Recommend.	18%	59%	4.5%	4.5%	4.5%	0%	0%	4.5%	0%	4.5%	0%	0%

*NOTE: total percentage may not equal 100 due to rounding off.

# of Agencies asked to Respond	4
# of Agencies Responding	3
% of Agencies Responding	75%

LEGEND: 1 Has been implemented 1A Will be implemented 1B Alternate has been implemented 1C Alternate will be implemented
2 under consideration 3 unresolved issues 4 rejected 4A rejected due to flaws 4B rejected due to lack of resources
5 did not apply to assigned agency 6 no response 7 unable to evaluate

**SUMMARY OF RESPONSES TO RECOMMENDATIONS
REGARDING THE INQUEST INTO THE DEATH OF:
Bruce Willis ACRES
Date of Death: July 10, 2001.
Date of Inquest: June 23-24, 2004.
Inquest number: Q2004-30
Presiding Coroner: Dr. A. McCallum**

Recommendation Number	Lead Agency(s)/ Ministry(s)/Organization(s)/Subject Areas Assigned Recommendation	Lead Agency(s)/ Ministry(s)/Organization(s) responding to Recommendation	No Response	Date of Response	Response Analysis
1	Ministry of Transportation	Ministry of Transportation		28 Jul 2005	1B
2	Ministry of Transportation	Ministry of Transportation		28 Jul 2005	1B
3	Ministry of Transportation	Ministry of Transportation		28 Jul 2005	2
4	Ministry of Agriculture and Food Ontario Farm and Industrial Equipment Institute	Ministry of Agriculture and Food -----	x	11 Aug 2005 ---	1B 6
5	Ministry of Transportation	Ministry of Transportation		28 Jul 2005	2
6	Ministry of Agriculture and Food Ontario Farm and Industrial Equipment Institute	Ministry of Agriculture and Food -----	x	11 Aug 2005 ---	1B 6
7	Ministry of Transportation	Ministry of Transportation		28 Jul 2005	1
8	Ministry of Transportation Stormont, Dundas and Glengarry	Ministry of Transportation Stormont, Dundas and Glengarry		28 Jul 2005 4 Nov 2005	5 4

LEGEND: 1 Has been implemented 1A Will be implemented 1B Alternate has been implemented 1C Alternate will be implemented
 2 under consideration 3 unresolved issues 4 rejected 4A rejected due to flaws 4B rejected due to lack of resources
 5 did not apply to assigned agency 6 no response 7 unable to evaluate

Total number of Recommendations: 8

Evaluation Definition	HAS been Implemented	WILL BE implemented	ALTERNATE HAS BEEN implemented	ALTERNATE WILL BE implemented	Under Consideration	Unresolved Issues	Rejected	Rejected (due to flaws or impractical)	Rejected (due to lack of resources)	Not Applicable	No Response	Unable to Evaluate
	1	1A	1B	1C	2	3	4	4A	4B	5	6	7
# of Recommend.	1	0	4	0	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Recommend.	12.5%	0%	50%	0%	25%	0%	12.5%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

# of Agencies asked to Respond	4
# of Agencies Responding	3
% of Agencies Responding	75%

LEGEND: 1 Has been implemented 1A Will be implemented 1B Alternate has been implemented 1C Alternate will be implemented
2 under consideration 3 unresolved issues 4 rejected 4A rejected due to flaws 4B rejected due to lack of resources
5 did not apply to assigned agency 6 no response 7 unable to evaluate

SUMMARY OF RESPONSES TO RECOMMENDATIONS
REGARDING THE INQUEST INTO THE DEATH OF:
Shalendra Chand JAITLEY
Date of Death: April 20, 2003.
Date of Inquest: June 30, 2004.
Inquest number: Q2004-31
Inquest Coroner: Dr. J. Edwards

There were no recommendations made in this inquest.

**SUMMARY OF RESPONSES TO RECOMMENDATIONS
REGARDING THE INQUEST INTO THE DEATH OF:
Martine Ann LADOUCEUR
Date of Death: August 19, 2002.
Date of Inquest: May 31 – July 9, 2004.
Inquest number: Q2004-32
Presiding Coroner: Dr. D. Legge**

Recommendation Number	Lead Agency(s)/ Ministry(s)/Organization(s)/Subject Areas Assigned Recommendation	Lead Agency(s)/ Ministry(s)/Organization(s) responding to Recommendation	No Response	Date of Response	Response Analysis
1	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services		16 June 2005	1
2	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services		16 June 2005	1
3	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services		16 June 2005	1
4	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services		16 June 2005	1
5	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services		16 June 2005	2
6	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services		16 June 2005	1
7	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services		16 June 2005	1B
8	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services		16 June 2005	1
9	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services		16 June 2005	1
10	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services		16 June 2005	1
11	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services		16 June 2005	1
12	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services		16 June 2005	1
13	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services		16 June 2005	1
14	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services		16 June 2005	1
15	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services		16 June 2005	1
16	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services		16 June 2005	1
17	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services		16 June 2005	1
18	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services		16 June 2005	1
19	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services		16 June 2005	1
20	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services		16 June 2005	1
21	Ministry of Children and Youth Services Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services	----- Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services	x	--- 16 June 2005	6 1A

LEGEND: 1 Has been implemented 1A Will be implemented 1B Alternate has been implemented 1C Alternate will be implemented
 2 under consideration 3 unresolved issues 4 rejected 4A rejected due to flaws 4B rejected due to lack of resources
 5 did not apply to assigned agency 6 no response 7 unable to evaluate

22	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services Ontario Realty Corporation	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services Ontario Realty Corporation		16 June 2005 23 Sept 2004	1 5
23	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services Ontario Realty Corporation	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services Ontario Realty Corporation		16 June 2005 23 Sept 2004	2 5
24	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services		16 June 2005	1
25	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services		16 June 2005	1
26	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services		16 June 2005	1
27	Thunder Bay Police Service	-----	x	---	6

LEGEND:

1 Has been implemented

2 under consideration

5 did not apply to assigned agency

1A Will be implemented

3 unresolved issues

1B Alternate has been implemented

4 rejected

6 no response

1C Alternate will be implemented

4A rejected due to flaws

7 unable to evaluate

4B rejected due to lack of resources

Total number of Recommendations: 27

Evaluation Definition	HAS been Implemented	WILL BE implemented	ALTERNATE HAS BEEN implemented	ALTERNATE WILL BE implemented	Under Consideration	Unresolved Issues	Rejected	Rejected (due to flaws or impractical)	Rejected (due to lack of resources)	Not Applicable	No Response	Unable to Evaluate
	1	1A	1B	1C	2	3	4	4A	4B	5	6	7
# of Recommend.	22	1	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
Percentage of Recommend.	81%	4%	4%	0%	7%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4%	0%

# of Agencies asked to Respond	4
# of Agencies Responding	2
% of Agencies Responding	50%

LEGEND: 1 Has been implemented 1A Will be implemented 1B Alternate has been implemented 1C Alternate will be implemented
2 under consideration 3 unresolved issues 4 rejected 4A rejected due to flaws 4B rejected due to lack of resources
5 did not apply to assigned agency 6 no response 7 unable to evaluate

**SUMMARY OF RESPONSES TO RECOMMENDATIONS
REGARDING THE INQUEST INTO THE DEATH OF:
Jamie Bryant BARKER
Date of Death: April 6, 2001.
Date of Inquest: June 14 – July 16, 2004.
Inquest number: Q2004-33
Presiding Coroner: Dr. T. Wilson**

Recommendation Number	Lead Agency(s)/ Ministry(s)/Organization(s)/Subject Areas Assigned Recommendation	Lead Agency(s)/ Ministry(s)/Organization(s) responding to Recommendation	No Response	Date of Response	Response Analysis
1	Ministry of Labour	Ministry of Labour		08 Dec 2005	1B
2	Ministry of Labour	Ministry of Labour		08 Dec 2005	2
3	Ministry of Labour Professional Engineers Of Ontario	Ministry of Labour Professional Engineers Of Ontario		08 Dec 2005 07 Mar 2005	1B 1
4	Ministry of Labour Professional Engineers Of Ontario	Ministry of Labour Professional Engineers Of Ontario		08 Dec 2005 07 Mar 2005	4 5
5	Ministry of Labour Professional Engineers Of Ontario	Ministry of Labour Professional Engineers Of Ontario		08 Dec 2005 07 Mar 2005	4 5
6	Ministry of Labour Professional Engineers Of Ontario	Ministry of Labour Professional Engineers Of Ontario		08 Dec 2005 07 Mar 2005	2 5
7	Ministry of Labour Ministry of Consumer and Business Services	Ministry of Labour Ministry of Consumer and Business Services Technical Standards & Safety Association		08 Dec 2005 18 Oct 2004 3 Feb 2006	5 5 4
8	Ministry of Labour	Ministry of Labour		08 Dec 2005	4
9	Ministry of Labour	Ministry of Labour		08 Dec 2005	2
10	Ministry of Labour	Ministry of Labour		08 Dec 2005	1B
11	Ministry of Labour	Ministry of Labour		08 Dec 2005	1A
12	Ministry of Labour	Ministry of Labour		08 Dec 2005	1A
13	Ministry of Labour	Ministry of Labour		08 Dec 2005	4
14	Ministry of Labour	Ministry of Labour		08 Dec 2005	4
15	Ministry of Labour	Ministry of Labour		08 Dec 2005	2

LEGEND: 1 Has been implemented 1A Will be implemented 1B Alternate has been implemented 1C Alternate will be implemented
 2 under consideration 3 unresolved issues 4 rejected 4A rejected due to flaws 4B rejected due to lack of resources
 5 did not apply to assigned agency 6 no response 7 unable to evaluate

16	Ministry of Labour Ministry of Training, Colleges and Universities Ministry of Education	Ministry of Labour Ministry of Training, Colleges and Universities Ministry of Education		08 Dec 2005 22 Oct 2004 08 Sept 2004	1 1 1B
17	Ministry of Labour	Ministry of Labour		08 Dec 2005	1
18	Ministry of Labour	Ministry of Labour		08 Dec 2005	1B
19	Ministry of Labour	Ministry of Labour		08 Dec 2005	1B
20	Ministry of Labour	Ministry of Labour		08 Dec 2005	3
21	Ministry of Labour	Ministry of Labour		08 Dec 2005	1B
22	Ministry of Labour	Ministry of Labour		08 Dec 2005	1B
23	Ministry of Labour	Ministry of Labour		08 Dec 2005	1B
24	Ministry of Labour	Ministry of Labour		08 Dec 2005	1B
25	Ministry of Labour	Ministry of Labour		08 Dec 2005	1
26	Ministry of Labour	Ministry of Labour		08 Dec 2005	5
27	Ministry of Labour	Ministry of Labour		08 Dec 2005	1B
28	Professional Engineers of Ontario	Professional Engineers Of Ontario		07 Mar 2005	1
29	Professional Engineers of Ontario	Professional Engineers Of Ontario		07 Mar 2005	1C
30	Professional Engineers of Ontario	Professional Engineers Of Ontario		07 Mar 2005	2
31	Professional Engineers of Ontario	Professional Engineers Of Ontario		07 Mar 2005	1
32	Professional Engineers of Ontario	Professional Engineers Of Ontario		07 Mar 2005	1A
33	Professional Engineers of Ontario	Professional Engineers Of Ontario		07 Mar 2005	1A
34	Professional Engineers of Ontario	Professional Engineers Of Ontario		07 Mar 2005	1
35	Ministry of Labour Professional Engineers of Ontario	Ministry of Labour Professional Engineers Of Ontario		08 Dec 2005 07 Mar 2005	1B 5
36	Ministry of Labour Professional Engineers of Ontario	Ministry of Labour Professional Engineers Of Ontario		08 Dec 2005 07 Mar 2005	1B 5
37	Ministry of Labour	Ministry of Labour		08 Dec 2005	1
38	Ministry of Labour Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services Ministry of Health and Long Term Care	Ministry of Labour Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services Ministry of Health and Long Term Care		08 Dec 2005 05 Jan 2005 07 Dec 2004	5 1B 1
39	Ontario Press Council	Ontario Press Council		23 Sept 2004	7
40	Ministry of Labour Ministry of the Attorney General	Ministry of Labour Ministry of the Attorney General		08 Dec 2005 26 Oct 2004	5 3
41	Office of the Chief Coroner	Office of the Chief Coroner		19 Nov 2004	1
42	Ministry of Labour	Ministry of Labour		08 Dec 2005	1
43	Office of the Chief Coroner	Office of the Chief Coroner		19 Nov 2004	1B
44	Painters and Allied Trades Union	-----	✓		6
45	Painters and Allied Trades Union	-----	✓		6

LEGEND: 1 Has been implemented 1A Will be implemented 1B Alternate has been implemented 1C Alternate will be implemented
 2 under consideration 3 unresolved issues 4 rejected 4A rejected due to flaws 4B rejected due to lack of resources
 5 did not apply to assigned agency 6 no response 7 unable to evaluate

46	Painters and Allied Trades Union		✓		6
47	Ministry of Labour	Ministry of Labour		08 Dec 2005	5
48	Ministry of Labour	Ministry of Labour		08 Dec 2005	5
49	Ministry of Labour	Ministry of Labour		08 Dec 2005	1B
50	Ministry of Labour	Ministry of Labour		08 Dec 2005	3

Total number of Recommendations: 50

Evaluation Definition	HAS been Implemented	WILL BE implemented	ALTERNATE HAS BEEN implemented	ALTERNATE WILL BE implemented	Under Consideration	Unresolved Issues	Rejected	Rejected (due to flaws or impractical)	Rejected (due to lack of resources)	Not Applicable	No Response	Unable to Evaluate
	1	1A	1B	1C	2	3	4	4A	4B	5	6	7
# of Recommend.	11	4	13	1	5	3	6	0	0	3	3	1
Percentage of Recommend.	22%	8%	26%	2%	10%	6%	12%	0%	0%	6%	6%	2%

# of Agencies asked to Respond	12
# of Agencies Responding	11
% of Agencies Responding	92%

LEGEND:

1 Has been implemented

2 under consideration

5 did not apply to assigned agency

1A Will be implemented

3 unresolved issues

1B Alternate has been implemented

4 rejected

6 no response

1C Alternate will be implemented

4A rejected due to flaws

7 unable to evaluate

4B rejected due to lack of resources

**SUMMARY OF RESPONSES TO RECOMMENDATIONS
REGARDING THE INQUEST INTO THE DEATH OF:
Kimberly McLEAN
Date of Death: September 11, 2000.
Date of Inquest: May 25 – June 14, 2004
Inquest number: Q2004-34
Presiding Coroner: Dr. D. Wolski**

Recommendation Number	Lead Agency(s)/ Ministry(s)/Organization(s)/Subject Areas Assigned Recommendation	Lead Agency(s)/ Ministry(s)/Organization(s) responding to Recommendation	No Response	Date of Response	Response Analysis
1	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services		13 Feb 2006	1A
2	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services College of Physicians and Surgeons of Ontario	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services College of Physicians and Surgeons of Ontario		13 Feb 2006 13 Oct 2004	2 7
3	College of Physicians and Surgeons of Ontario	College of Physicians and Surgeons of Ontario		13 Oct 2004	7
4	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services		13 Feb 2006	2
5	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services		13 Feb 2006	1A
6	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services		13 Feb 2006	1

LEGEND: 1 Has been implemented 1A Will be implemented 1B Alternate has been implemented 1C Alternate will be implemented
 2 under consideration 3 unresolved issues 4 rejected 4A rejected due to flaws 4B rejected due to lack of resources
 5 did not apply to assigned agency 6 no response 7 unable to evaluate

Total number of Recommendations: 6

Evaluation Definition	HAS been Implemented	WILL BE implemented	ALTERNATE HAS BEEN implemented	ALTERNATE WILL BE implemented	Under Consideration	Unresolved Issues	Rejected	Rejected (due to flaws or impractical)	Rejected (due to lack of resources)	Not Applicable	No Response	Unable to Evaluate
	1	1A	1B	1C	2	3	4	4A	4B	5	6	7
# of Recommend.	1	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Percentage of Recommend.	17%	33%	0%	0%	33%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	17%

# of Agencies asked to Respond	2
# of Agencies Responding	2
% of Agencies Responding	100%

LEGEND: 1 Has been implemented 1A Will be implemented 1B Alternate has been implemented 1C Alternate will be implemented
2 under consideration 3 unresolved issues 4 rejected 4A rejected due to flaws 4B rejected due to lack of resources
5 did not apply to assigned agency 6 no response 7 unable to evaluate

**SUMMARY OF RESPONSES TO RECOMMENDATIONS
REGARDING THE INQUEST INTO THE DEATH OF:
Alice MARTIN
Date of Death: October 14, 2003.
Date of Inquest: August 23 – September 7, 2004.
Inquest number: Q2004-35
Presiding Coroner: Dr. B. Bechard**

Recommendation Number	Lead Agency(s)/ Ministry(s)/Organization(s)/Subject Areas Assigned Recommendation	Lead Agency(s)/ Ministry(s)/Organization(s) responding to Recommendation	No Response	Date of Response	Response Analysis
1	City of Ottawa	Ottawa Paramedic Service		16 Sept 2005	1
2	City of Ottawa	Ottawa Paramedic Service		16 Sept 2005	1
3	City of Ottawa	Ottawa Paramedic Service		16 Sept 2005	1A
4	City of Ottawa	Ottawa Paramedic Service		16 Sept 2005	2
5	City of Ottawa	Ottawa Paramedic Service		16 Sept 2005	1A
6	City of Ottawa	Ottawa Paramedic Service		16 Sept 2005	1
7	City of Ottawa Ministry of Health and Long Term Care	Ottawa Paramedic Service Ministry of Health and Long Term Care		16 Sept 2005 8 Sept 2005	1A 5
8	Ministry of Health and Long Term Care	Ministry of Health and Long Term Care		8 Sept 2005	3
9	Ministry of Health and Long Term Care	Ministry of Health and Long Term Care		8 Sept 2005	3
10	Ministry of Health and Long Term Care	Ministry of Health and Long Term Care		8 Sept 2005	2
11	Ministry of Health and Long Term Care	Ministry of Health and Long Term Care		8 Sept 2005	2
12	City of Ottawa Ministry of Health and Long Term Care	Ottawa Paramedic Service Ministry of Health and Long Term Care		16 Sept 2005 8 Sept 2005	1B 2
13	Ministry of Health and Long Term Care	Ministry of Health and Long Term Care		8 Sept 2005	1
14	Ministry of Health and Long Term Care	Ministry of Health and Long Term Care		8 Sept 2005	1
15	Ministry of Health and Long Term Care	Ministry of Health and Long Term Care		8 Sept 2005	1
16	City of Ottawa	Ottawa Paramedic Service		16 Sept 2005	1
17	Ministry of Health and Long Term Care	Ministry of Health and Long Term Care		8 Sept 2005	1
18	City of Ottawa	Ottawa Paramedic Service		16 Sept 2005	1
19	Ministry of Health and Long Term Care	Ministry of Health and Long Term Care		8 Sept 2005	5
20	Ministry of Health and Long Term Care	Ministry of Health and Long Term Care		8 Sept 2005	5
21	City of Ottawa	Ottawa Paramedic Service		16 Sept 2005	1

LEGEND: 1 Has been implemented 1A Will be implemented 1B Alternate has been implemented 1C Alternate will be implemented
2 under consideration 3 unresolved issues 4 rejected 4A rejected due to flaws 4B rejected due to lack of resources
5 did not apply to assigned agency 6 no response 7 unable to evaluate

22	Ministry of Health and Long Term Care	Ministry of Health and Long Term Care		8 Sept 2005	4
23	Ministry of Health and Long Term Care	Ministry of Health and Long Term Care		8 Sept 2005	1
24	City of Ottawa	Ottawa Paramedic Service		16 Sept 2005	1
	Ministry of Health and Long Term Care	Ministry of Health and Long Term Care		8 Sept 2005	1

LEGEND: 1 Has been implemented 1A Will be implemented 1B Alternate has been implemented 1C Alternate will be implemented
2 under consideration 3 unresolved issues 4 rejected 4A rejected due to flaws 4B rejected due to lack of resources
5 did not apply to assigned agency 6 no response 7 unable to evaluate

Total number of Recommendations: 24

Evaluation Definition	HAS been Implemented	WILL BE implemented	ALTERNATE HAS BEEN implemented	ALTERNATE WILL BE implemented	Under Consideration	Unresolved Issues	Rejected	Rejected (due to flaws or impractical)	Rejected (due to lack of resources)	Not Applicable	No Response	Unable to Evaluate
	1	1A	1B	1C	2	3	4	4A	4B	5	6	7
# of Recommend.	12	3	1	0	3	2	1	0	0	2	0	0
Percentage of Recommend.	50%	13%	4%	0%	13%	8%	4%	0%	0%	8%	0%	0%

# of Agencies asked to Respond	2
# of Agencies Responding	2
% of Agencies Responding	100%

LEGEND: 1 Has been implemented 1A Will be implemented 1B Alternate has been implemented 1C Alternate will be implemented
2 under consideration 3 unresolved issues 4 rejected 4A rejected due to flaws 4B rejected due to lack of resources
5 did not apply to assigned agency 6 no response 7 unable to evaluate

**SUMMARY OF RESPONSES TO RECOMMENDATIONS
REGARDING THE INQUEST INTO THE DEATH OF:
Jeffrey Thomas ELLIOTT
Date of Death: August 29, 2003.
Date of Inquest: September 13-24, 2004.
Inquest number: Q2004-36
Presiding Coroner: Dr. P. Savage**

Recommendation Number	Lead Agency(s)/ Ministry(s)/Organization(s)/Subject Areas Assigned Recommendation	Lead Agency(s)/ Ministry(s)/Organization(s) responding to Recommendation	No Response	Date of Response	Response Analysis
1	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services		20 Feb 2006	1B
2	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services		20 Feb 2006	1
3	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services		20 Feb 2006	1
4	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services		20 Feb 2006	1
5	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services		20 Feb 2006	1
6	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services		20 Feb 2006	1
7	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services		20 Feb 2006	1
8	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services Ontario Hospital Association	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services Ontario Hospital Association		20 Feb 2006 26 Jan 2005	1 1C
9	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services		20 Feb 2006	1
10	College of Physicians and Surgeons of Ontario	College of Physicians and Surgeons of Ontario		16 Dec 2004	2
11	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services		20 Feb 2006	1

LEGEND: 1 Has been implemented 1A Will be implemented 1B Alternate has been implemented 1C Alternate will be implemented
 2 under consideration 3 unresolved issues 4 rejected 4A rejected due to flaws 4B rejected due to lack of resources
 5 did not apply to assigned agency 6 no response 7 unable to evaluate

Total number of Recommendations: 11

Evaluation Definition	HAS been Implemented	WILL BE implemented	ALTERNATE HAS BEEN implemented	ALTERNATE WILL BE implemented	Under Consideration	Unresolved Issues	Rejected	Rejected (due to flaws or impractical)	Rejected (due to lack of resources)	Not Applicable	No Response	Unable to Evaluate
	1	1A	1B	1C	2	3	4	4A	4B	5	6	7
# of Recommend.	9	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Recommend.	82%	%	9%	0%	9%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

# of Agencies asked to Respond	3
# of Agencies Responding	3
% of Agencies Responding	100%

LEGEND: 1 Has been implemented 1A Will be implemented 1B Alternate has been implemented 1C Alternate will be implemented
2 under consideration 3 unresolved issues 4 rejected 4A rejected due to flaws 4B rejected due to lack of resources
5 did not apply to assigned agency 6 no response 7 unable to evaluate

**SUMMARY OF RESPONSES TO RECOMMENDATIONS
REGARDING THE INQUEST INTO THE DEATH OF:
Calvin James McCONNEY
Date of Death: November 8, 2003.
Date of Inquest: September 27, 2004.
Inquest number: Q2004-37
Inquest Coroner: Dr. B. Bechard**

There were no recommendations made in this inquest.

**SUMMARY OF RESPONSES TO RECOMMENDATIONS
REGARDING THE INQUEST INTO THE DEATH OF:
Pamela PAYETTE
Date of Death: December 20, 2003.
Date of Inquest: September 28-30, 2004.
Inquest number: Q2004-38
Presiding Coroner: Dr. D. Schooling**

Recommendation Number	Lead Agency(s)/ Ministry(s)/Organization(s)/Subject Areas Assigned Recommendation	Lead Agency(s)/ Ministry(s)/Organization(s) responding to Recommendation	No Response	Date of Response	Response Analysis
1	Correctional Services Canada	Correctional Services Canada		10 Apr 2006	1
2	Correctional Services Canada	Correctional Services Canada		10 Apr 2006	1B
3	Correctional Services Canada	Correctional Services Canada		10 Apr 2006	1B
4	Correctional Services Canada	Correctional Services Canada		10 Apr 2006	1
5	Correctional Services Canada	Correctional Services Canada		10 Apr 2006	1
6	Correctional Services Canada	Correctional Services Canada		10 Apr 2006	4

LEGEND: 1 Has been implemented 1A Will be implemented 1B Alternate has been implemented 1C Alternate will be implemented
2 under consideration 3 unresolved issues 4 rejected 4A rejected due to flaws 4B rejected due to lack of resources
5 did not apply to assigned agency 6 no response 7 unable to evaluate

Total number of Recommendations: 6

Evaluation Definition	HAS been Implemented	WILL BE implemented	ALTERNATE HAS BEEN implemented	ALTERNATE WILL BE implemented	Under Consideration	Unresolved Issues	Rejected	Rejected (due to flaws or impractical)	Rejected (due to lack of resources)	Not Applicable	No Response	Unable to Evaluate
	1	1A	1B	1C	2	3	4	4A	4B	5	6	7
# of Recommend.	3	0	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Recommend.	50%	0%	33%	0%	0%	0%	17%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

# of Agencies asked to Respond	1
# of Agencies Responding	1
% of Agencies Responding	100%

LEGEND: 1 Has been implemented 1A Will be implemented 1B Alternate has been implemented 1C Alternate will be implemented
2 under consideration 3 unresolved issues 4 rejected 4A rejected due to flaws 4B rejected due to lack of resources
5 did not apply to assigned agency 6 no response 7 unable to evaluate

**SUMMARY OF RESPONSES TO RECOMMENDATIONS
REGARDING THE INQUEST INTO THE DEATH OF:
Phillip REDSHAW
Date of Death: February 8, 2003.
Date of Inquest: September 29, 2004.
Inquest number: Q2004-39
Inquest Coroner: Dr. B. Bechard**

There were no recommendations made in this inquest.

LEGEND: 1 Has been implemented 1A Will be implemented 1B Alternate has been implemented 1C Alternate will be implemented
2 under consideration 3 unresolved issues 4 rejected 4A rejected due to flaws 4B rejected due to lack of resources
5 did not apply to assigned agency 6 no response 7 unable to evaluate

**SUMMARY OF RESPONSES TO RECOMMENDATIONS
REGARDING THE INQUEST INTO THE DEATH OF:
Mark Alexander WIENS
Date of Death: May 28, 2002.
Date of Inquest: September 27, 2004.
Inquest number: Q2004-40
Presiding Coroner: Dr. A. McCallum
(AMENDED)**

Recommendation Number	Lead Agency(s)/ Ministry(s)/Organization(s)/Subject Areas Assigned Recommendation	Lead Agency(s)/ Ministry(s)/Organization(s) responding to Recommendation	No Response	Date of Response	Response Analysis
1	Ministry of Health and Long Term Care	Ministry of Health and Long Term Care		6 April 2005	4
2	Ministry of Health and Long Term Care	Ministry of Health and Long Term Care		6 April 2005	4
3	College of Physicians and Surgeons of Ontario Ontario College of Family Physicians College of Nurses of Ontario	College of Physicians and Surgeons of Ontario Ontario College of Family Physicians College of Nurses of Ontario		21 March 2005 4 Feb 2005 28 Dec 2005	3 2 1
4	Royal Ottawa Hospital	Royal Ottawa Hospital		09 Mar 2005	1A
5	Royal Ottawa Hospital	Royal Ottawa Hospital		09 Mar 2005	1A
6	Royal Ottawa Hospital	Royal Ottawa Hospital		09 Mar 2005	1
7	Royal Ottawa Hospital	Royal Ottawa Hospital		09 Mar 2005	1
8	Royal Ottawa Hospital	Royal Ottawa Hospital		09 Mar 2005	1A
9	Royal Ottawa Hospital	Royal Ottawa Hospital		09 Mar 2005	1B
10	Royal Ottawa Hospital	Royal Ottawa Hospital		09 Mar 2005	1A
11	Royal Ottawa Hospital	Royal Ottawa Hospital		09 Mar 2005	3
12	Royal Ottawa Hospital	Royal Ottawa Hospital		09 Mar 2005	1A
13	Royal Ottawa Hospital	Royal Ottawa Hospital		09 Mar 2005	1A
14	Royal Ottawa Hospital	Royal Ottawa Hospital		09 Mar 2005	2
15	Royal Ottawa Hospital	Royal Ottawa Hospital		09 Mar 2005	1A
16	Royal Ottawa Hospital	Royal Ottawa Hospital		09 Mar 2005	1A
17	Royal Ottawa Hospital	Royal Ottawa Hospital		09 Mar 2005	1A
18	Royal Ottawa Hospital	Royal Ottawa Hospital		09 Mar 2005	1
19	Royal Ottawa Hospital	Royal Ottawa Hospital		09 Mar 2005	1

LEGEND: 1 Has been implemented 1A Will be implemented 1B Alternate has been implemented 1C Alternate will be implemented
2 under consideration 3 unresolved issues 4 rejected 4A rejected due to flaws 4B rejected due to lack of resources
5 did not apply to assigned agency 6 no response 7 unable to evaluate

20	Royal Ottawa Hospital	Royal Ottawa Hospital		09 Mar 2005	2
21	Ministry of Health and Long Term Care Royal Ottawa Hospital	Ministry of Health and Long Term Care Royal Ottawa Hospital		6 April 2005 09 Mar 2005	4 2
22	Royal Ottawa Hospital	Royal Ottawa Hospital		09 Mar 2005	2
23	Royal Ottawa Hospital	Royal Ottawa Hospital		09 Mar 2005	1A
24	Royal Ottawa Hospital	Royal Ottawa Hospital		09 Mar 2005	1
25	Royal Ottawa Hospital	Royal Ottawa Hospital		09 Mar 2005	1A
26	Royal Ottawa Hospital	Royal Ottawa Hospital		09 Mar 2005	1
27	Ministry of Health and Long Term Care College of Physicians and Surgeons of Ontario Ontario College of Family Physicians College of Nurses of Ontario Royal Ottawa Hospital	Ministry of Health and Long Term Care College of Physicians and Surgeons of Ontario Ontario College of Family Physicians College of Nurses of Ontario Royal Ottawa Hospital		6 April 2005 21 March 2005 4 Feb 2005 28 Dec 2005 09 Mar 2005	1 3 2 2 2

LEGEND:

1 Has been implemented

2 under consideration

5 did not apply to assigned agency

1A Will be implemented

3 unresolved issues

1B Alternate has been implemented

4 rejected

6 no response

1C Alternate will be implemented

4A rejected due to flaws

7 unable to evaluate

4B rejected due to lack of resources

Total number of Recommendations: 27

Evaluation Definition	HAS been Implemented	WILL BE implemented	ALTERNATE HAS BEEN implemented	ALTERNATE WILL BE implemented	Under Consideration	Unresolved Issues	Rejected	Rejected (due to flaws or impractical)	Rejected (due to lack of resources)	Not Applicable	No Response	Unable to Evaluate
	1	1A	1B	1C	2	3	4	4A	4B	5	6	7
# of Recommend.	8	11	1	0	4	1	2	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Recommend.	30%	41%	4%	0%	15%	4%	7%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

Note: total percentage may not equal 100 due to rounding off

# of Agencies asked to Respond	5
# of Agencies Responding	5
% of Agencies Responding	100%

LEGEND: 1 Has been implemented 1A Will be implemented 1B Alternate has been implemented 1C Alternate will be implemented
2 under consideration 3 unresolved issues 4 rejected 4A rejected due to flaws 4B rejected due to lack of resources
5 did not apply to assigned agency 6 no response 7 unable to evaluate

**SUMMARY OF RESPONSES TO RECOMMENDATIONS
REGARDING THE INQUEST INTO THE DEATH OF:**

Serge BLAIS

Date of Death: April 14, 2003.

Date of Inquest: October 7-8, 2004.

Inquest number: Q2004-41

Presiding Coroner: Dr. R. Drake

Recommendation Number	Lead Agency(s)/ Ministry(s)/Organization(s)/Subject Areas Assigned Recommendation	Lead Agency(s)/ Ministry(s)/Organization(s) responding to Recommendation	No Response	Date of Response	Response Analysis
1	Ministry of Labour	Ministry of Labour		29 Apr 2005	1
2	Ministry of Labour	Ministry of Labour		29 Apr 2005	1A
3	Ministry of Labour	Ministry of Labour		29 Apr 2005	1
4	Ministry of Labour	Ministry of Labour		29 Apr 2005	1
5	Ministry of Labour	Ministry of Labour		29 Apr 2005	1A
6	Ministry of Labour Ministry of Transportation	Ministry of Labour Ministry of Transportation		29 Apr 2005 28 Jul 2005	5 1
7	Ministry of Labour	Ministry of Labour		29 Apr 2005	7
8	Ministry of Labour	Ministry of Labour		29 Apr 2005	7
9	Ministry of Labour	Ministry of Labour		29 Apr 2005	7

LEGEND: 1 Has been implemented 1A Will be implemented 1B Alternate has been implemented 1C Alternate will be implemented
2 under consideration 3 unresolved issues 4 rejected 4A rejected due to flaws 4B rejected due to lack of resources
5 did not apply to assigned agency 6 no response 7 unable to evaluate

Total number of Recommendations: 9

Evaluation Definition	HAS been Implemented	WILL BE implemented	ALTERNATE HAS BEEN implemented	ALTERNATE WILL BE implemented	Under Consideration	Unresolved Issues	Rejected	Rejected (due to flaws or impractical)	Rejected (due to lack of resources)	Not Applicable	No Response	Unable to Evaluate
	1	1A	1B	1C	2	3	4	4A	4B	5	6	7
# of Recommend.	4	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
Percentage of Recommend.	44%	22%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	33%

*NOTE: Total percentage may not equal 100 due to rounding off.

# of Agencies asked to Respond	2
# of Agencies Responding	2
% of Agencies Responding	100%

LEGEND: 1 Has been implemented 1A Will be implemented 1B Alternate has been implemented 1C Alternate will be implemented
2 under consideration 3 unresolved issues 4 rejected 4A rejected due to flaws 4B rejected due to lack of resources
5 did not apply to assigned agency 6 no response 7 unable to evaluate

**SUMMARY OF RESPONSES TO RECOMMENDATIONS
REGARDING THE INQUEST INTO THE DEATH OF:
Rock LAMIRANDE
Date of Death: April 4, 2002.
Date of Inquest: October 18 – 20, 2004.
Inquest number: Q2004-42
Presiding Coroner: Dr. D. Legge.**

Recommendation Number	Lead Agency(s)/ Ministry(s)/Organization(s)/Subject Areas Assigned Recommendation	Lead Agency(s)/ Ministry(s)/Organization(s) responding to Recommendation	No Response	Date of Response	Response Analysis
1	Ministry of Labour	Ministry of Labour		30 Mar 2005	4
2	Ministry of Training, Colleges and Universities	Ministry of Training, Colleges and Universities		8 Dec 2004	2
3	Ministry of Labour	Ministry of Labour		30 Mar 2005	1B
4	Ministry of Labour	Ministry of Labour		30 Mar 2005	1
5	Ministry of Labour	Ministry of Labour		30 Mar 2005	1B
6	Ministry of Labour	Ministry of Labour		30 Mar 2005	1
7	Ministry of Labour	Ministry of Labour		30 Mar 2005	1B
8	Ministry of Labour	Ministry of Labour		30 Mar 2005	1

LEGEND: 1 Has been implemented 1A Will be implemented 1B Alternate has been implemented 1C Alternate will be implemented
 2 under consideration 3 unresolved issues 4 rejected 4A rejected due to flaws 4B rejected due to lack of resources
 5 did not apply to assigned agency 6 no response 7 unable to evaluate

Total number of Recommendations: 8

Evaluation Definition	HAS been Implemented	WILL BE implemented	ALTERNATE HAS BEEN implemented	ALTERNATE WILL BE implemented	Under Consideration	Unresolved Issues	Rejected	Rejected (due to flaws or impractical)	Rejected (due to lack of resources)	Not Applicable	No Response	Unable to Evaluate
	1	1A	1B	1C	2	3	4	4A	4B	5	6	7
# of Recommend.	3	0	3	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Recommend.	37.5%	0%	37.5%	0%	12.5%	0%	12.5%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

# of Agencies asked to Respond	2
# of Agencies Responding	2
% of Agencies Responding	100%

LEGEND: 1 Has been implemented 1A Will be implemented 1B Alternate has been implemented 1C Alternate will be implemented
2 under consideration 3 unresolved issues 4 rejected 4A rejected due to flaws 4B rejected due to lack of resources
5 did not apply to assigned agency 6 no response 7 unable to evaluate

**SUMMARY OF RESPONSES TO RECOMMENDATIONS
REGARDING THE INQUEST INTO THE DEATH OF:
Daniel Raymond PREVOST
Date of Death: March 6, 2004.
Date of Inquest: October 25-26, 2004.
Inquest number: Q2004-43
Presiding Coroner: Dr. D. George**

Recommendation Number	Lead Agency(s)/ Ministry(s)/Organization(s)/Subject Areas Assigned Recommendation	Lead Agency(s)/ Ministry(s)/Organization(s) responding to Recommendation	No Response	Date of Response	Response Analysis
1	Correctional Services Canada	Correctional Services Canada		29 Apr 2005	1B
2	Correctional Services Canada	Correctional Services Canada		29 Apr 2005	1B
3	Correctional Services Canada	Correctional Services Canada		29 Apr 2005	4

LEGEND: 1 Has been implemented 1A Will be implemented 1B Alternate has been implemented 1C Alternate will be implemented
2 under consideration 3 unresolved issues 4 rejected 4A rejected due to flaws 4B rejected due to lack of resources
5 did not apply to assigned agency 6 no response 7 unable to evaluate

Total number of Recommendations: 3

Evaluation Definition	HAS been Implemented	WILL BE implemented	ALTERNATE HAS BEEN implemented	ALTERNATE WILL BE implemented	Under Consideration	Unresolved Issues	Rejected	Rejected (due to flaws or impractical)	Rejected (due to lack of resources)	Not Applicable	No Response	Unable to Evaluate
	1	1A	1B	1C	2	3	4	4A	4B	5	6	7
# of Recommend.	0	0	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Recommend.	0%	0%	66%	0%	0%	0%	33%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

*NOTE: Total percentage may not equal 100 due to rounding off.

# of Agencies asked to Respond	1
# of Agencies Responding	1
% of Agencies Responding	100%

LEGEND: 1 Has been implemented 1A Will be implemented 1B Alternate has been implemented 1C Alternate will be implemented
2 under consideration 3 unresolved issues 4 rejected 4A rejected due to flaws 4B rejected due to lack of resources
5 did not apply to assigned agency 6 no response 7 unable to evaluate

**SUMMARY OF RESPONSES TO RECOMMENDATIONS
REGARDING THE INQUEST INTO THE DEATH OF:
David Edward DWYER
Date of Death: September 22, 2003.
Date of Inquest: October 27, 2004.
Inquest number: Q2004-44
Inquest Coroner: Dr. R. McIlquham**

There were no recommendations made in this inquest.

LEGEND: 1 Has been implemented 1A Will be implemented 1B Alternate has been implemented 1C Alternate will be implemented
2 under consideration 3 unresolved issues 4 rejected 4A rejected due to flaws 4B rejected due to lack of resources
5 did not apply to assigned agency 6 no response 7 unable to evaluate

**SUMMARY OF RESPONSES TO RECOMMENDATIONS
REGARDING THE INQUEST INTO THE DEATH OF:**

G. F

Date of Death: August 27, 2003.

Date of Inquest: October 18-29, 2004.

Inquest number: Q2004-45

Presiding Coroner: Dr. J. Hammett

Recommendation Number	Lead Agency(s)/ Ministry(s)/Organization(s)/Subject Areas Assigned Recommendation	Lead Agency(s)/ Ministry(s)/Organization(s) responding to Recommendation	No Response	Date of Response	Response Analysis
1	Ministry of Children and Youth Services Northern Youth Centre	----- Northern Youth Centre	x	--- 30 Aug 2005	6 1
2	Ministry of Children and Youth Services Northern Youth Centre	----- Northern Youth Centre	x	--- 30 Aug 2005	6 1
3	Ministry of Children and Youth Services Northern Youth Centre	----- Northern Youth Centre	x	--- 30 Aug 2005	6 1
4	Ministry of Children and Youth Services Northern Youth Centre	----- Northern Youth Centre	x	--- 30 Aug 2005	6 1
5	Ministry of Children and Youth Services Northern Youth Centre	----- Northern Youth Centre	x	--- 30 Aug 2005	6 1
6	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services Solicitor General of Canada	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services Solicitor General of Canada		19 Apr 2005 22 Feb 2005	4 2
7	Grassy Narrow First Nation	-----	x	---	6
8	Grassy Narrow First Nation	-----	x	---	6
9	Grassy Narrow First Nation	-----	x	---	6
10	Ontario Provincial Police	Ontario Provincial Police		30 May 2005	2
11	Ontario Provincial Police	Ontario Provincial Police		30 May 2005	1A
12	Ontario Provincial Police	Ontario Provincial Police		30 May 2005	1
13	Ontario Provincial Police	Ontario Provincial Police		30 May 2005	1
14	Ontario Provincial Police	Ontario Provincial Police		30 May 2005	2
15	Ontario Provincial Police	Ontario Provincial Police		30 May 2005	1
16	New Directions Counselling Centre	New Directions Counselling Centre		11 Oct 2005	3

LEGEND: 1 Has been implemented 1A Will be implemented 1B Alternate has been implemented 1C Alternate will be implemented
 2 under consideration 3 unresolved issues 4 rejected 4A rejected due to flaws 4B rejected due to lack of resources
 5 did not apply to assigned agency 6 no response 7 unable to evaluate

17	Ministry of Children and Youth Services	-----	×	---	6
18	Ministry of Children and Youth Services	-----	×	---	6
19	Ministry of Children and Youth Services	-----	×	---	6
20	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services		19 Apr 2005	4

LEGEND:

1 Has been implemented

2 under consideration

5 did not apply to assigned agency

1A Will be implemented

3 unresolved issues

1B Alternate has been implemented

4 rejected

6 no response

1C Alternate will be implemented

4A rejected due to flaws

7 unable to evaluate

4B rejected due to lack of resources

Total number of Recommendations: 20

Evaluation Definition	HAS been Implemented	WILL BE implemented	ALTERNATE HAS BEEN implemented	ALTERNATE WILL BE implemented	Under Consideration	Unresolved Issues	Rejected	Rejected (due to flaws or impractical)	Rejected (due to lack of resources)	Not Applicable	No Response	Unable to Evaluate
	1	1A	1B	1C	2	3	4	4A	4B	5	6	7
# of Recommend.	8	1	0	0	3	1	1	0	0	0	6	0
Percentage of Recommend.	40%	5%	0%	0%	15%	5%	5%	0%	0%	0%	30%	0%

# of Agencies asked to Respond	7
# of Agencies Responding	5
% of Agencies Responding	71%

LEGEND: 1 Has been implemented 1A Will be implemented 1B Alternate has been implemented 1C Alternate will be implemented
2 under consideration 3 unresolved issues 4 rejected 4A rejected due to flaws 4B rejected due to lack of resources
5 did not apply to assigned agency 6 no response 7 unable to evaluate

**SUMMARY OF RESPONSES TO RECOMMENDATIONS
REGARDING THE INQUEST INTO THE DEATH OF:
Christopher MAGEE
Date of Death: November 14, 2003.
Date of Inquest: October 18-29, 2004.
Inquest number: Q2004-46
Presiding Coroner: Dr. T. Wilson**

Recommendation Number	Lead Agency(s)/ Ministry(s)/Organization(s)/Subject Areas Assigned Recommendation	Lead Agency(s)/ Ministry(s)/Organization(s) responding to Recommendation	No Response	Date of Response	Response Analysis
1	Ministry of Health and Long Term Care College of Nurses of Ontario St. Joseph's Hospital – St. Thomas	Ministry of Health and Long Term Care College of Nurses of Ontario St. Joseph's Health Care London		17 Jan 2005 11 Feb 2005 20 Dec 2005	5 1B 1
2	Ministry of Health and Long Term Care College of Nurses of Ontario St. Joseph's Hospital – St. Thomas	Ministry of Health and Long Term Care College of Nurses of Ontario St. Joseph's Health Care London		17 Jan 2005 11 Feb 2005 20 Dec 2005	5 1B 1
3	St. Joseph's Hospital – St. Thomas	St. Joseph's Health Care London		20 Dec 2005	1
4	St. Joseph's Hospital – St. Thomas	St. Joseph's Health Care London		20 Dec 2005	1
5	St. Joseph's Hospital – St. Thomas	St. Joseph's Health Care London		20 Dec 2005	1B
6	St. Joseph's Hospital – St. Thomas	St. Joseph's Health Care London		20 Dec 2005	1
7	St. Joseph's Hospital – St. Thomas	St. Joseph's Health Care London		20 Dec 2005	1
8	St. Joseph's Hospital – St. Thomas	St. Joseph's Health Care London		20 Dec 2005	1
9	St. Joseph's Hospital – St. Thomas	St. Joseph's Health Care London		20 Dec 2005	1
10	Ministry of Health and Long Term Care	Ministry of Health and Long Term Care		17 Jan 2005	5
11	Ministry of Health and Long Term Care	Ministry of Health and Long Term Care		17 Jan 2005	5
12	Ministry of Health and Long Term Care	Ministry of Health and Long Term Care		17 Jan 2005	5
13	Ministry of Health and Long Term Care	Ministry of Health and Long Term Care		17 Jan 2005	2
14	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services	Emergency Management Ontario		2 Feb 2005	2

LEGEND: 1 Has been implemented 1A Will be implemented 1B Alternate has been implemented 1C Alternate will be implemented
 2 under consideration 3 unresolved issues 4 rejected 4A rejected due to flaws 4B rejected due to lack of resources
 5 did not apply to assigned agency 6 no response 7 unable to evaluate

Total number of Recommendations: 14

Evaluation Definition	HAS been Implemented	WILL BE implemented	ALTERNATE HAS BEEN implemented	ALTERNATE WILL BE implemented	Under Consideration	Unresolved Issues	Rejected	Rejected (due to flaws or impractical)	Rejected (due to lack of resources)	Not Applicable	No Response	Unable to Evaluate
	1	1A	1B	1C	2	3	4	4A	4B	5	6	7
# of Recommend.	8	0	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	3	0	0
Percentage of Recommend.	57%	0%	7%	0%	14%	0%	0%	0%	0%	21%	0%	0%

* NOTE: Total percentage may not equal 100 due to rounding off.

# of Agencies asked to Respond	4
# of Agencies Responding	4
% of Agencies Responding	100%

LEGEND: 1 Has been implemented 1A Will be implemented 1B Alternate has been implemented 1C Alternate will be implemented
2 under consideration 3 unresolved issues 4 rejected 4A rejected due to flaws 4B rejected due to lack of resources
5 did not apply to assigned agency 6 no response 7 unable to evaluate

**SUMMARY OF RESPONSES TO RECOMMENDATIONS
REGARDING THE INQUEST INTO THE DEATH OF:
Saad AL-AMIZI
Date of Death: March 30, 2003.
Date of Inquest: October 29, 2004.
Inquest number: Q2004-47
Inquest Coroner: Dr. G. Watt**

There were no recommendations made in this inquest.

LEGEND: 1 Has been implemented 1A Will be implemented 1B Alternate has been implemented 1C Alternate will be implemented
2 under consideration 3 unresolved issues 4 rejected 4A rejected due to flaws 4B rejected due to lack of resources
5 did not apply to assigned agency 6 no response 7 unable to evaluate

**SUMMARY OF RESPONSES TO RECOMMENDATIONS
REGARDING THE INQUEST INTO THE DEATH OF:
James O'BRIEN
Date of Death: November 5, 2003.
Date of Inquest: November 1, 2004.
Inquest number: Q2004-48
Presiding Coroner: Dr. G. Watt**

Recommendation Number	Lead Agency(s)/ Ministry(s)/Organization(s)/Subject Areas Assigned Recommendation	Lead Agency(s)/ Ministry(s)/Organization(s) responding to Recommendation	No Response	Date of Response	Response Analysis
1	Ministry of Health and Long Term Care Cornwall Community Hospital	Ministry of Health and Long Term Care Cornwall Community Hospital		15 Mar 2005 21 Apr 2005	2 2
2	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services Ministry of Health and Long Term Care Cornwall Police Service Cornwall Community Hospital	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services Ministry of Health and Long Term Care Cornwall Police Service Cornwall Community Hospital		28 Dec 2005 15 Mar 2005 1 Nov 2005 21 Apr 2005	5 1A 1 1
3	Ministry of Health and Long Term Care Cornwall Community Hospital	Ministry of Health and Long Term Care Cornwall Community Hospital		15 Mar 2005 21 Apr 2005	1B 1
4	Ministry of Health and Long Term Care Cornwall Community Hospital	Ministry of Health and Long Term Care Cornwall Community Hospital		15 Mar 2005 21 Apr 2005	1 1
5	Ministry of Health and Long Term Care Cornwall Community Hospital	Ministry of Health and Long Term Care Cornwall Community Hospital		15 Mar 2005 21 Apr 2005	2 3
6	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services	Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services		28 Dec 2005	7

LEGEND: 1 Has been implemented 1A Will be implemented 1B Alternate has been implemented 1C Alternate will be implemented
 2 under consideration 3 unresolved issues 4 rejected 4A rejected due to flaws 4B rejected due to lack of resources
 5 did not apply to assigned agency 6 no response 7 unable to evaluate

Total number of Recommendations: 6

Evaluation Definition	HAS been Implemented	WILL BE implemented	ALTERNATE HAS BEEN implemented	ALTERNATE WILL BE implemented	Under Consideration	Unresolved Issues	Rejected	Rejected (due to flaws or impractical)	Rejected (due to lack of resources)	Not Applicable	No Response	Unable to Evaluate
	1	1A	1B	1C	2	3	4	4A	4B	5	6	7
# of Recommend.	3	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Percentage of Recommend.	50%	0%	0%	0%	33%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	17%

# of Agencies asked to Respond	4
# of Agencies Responding	4
% of Agencies Responding	100%

LEGEND: 1 Has been implemented 1A Will be implemented 1B Alternate has been implemented 1C Alternate will be implemented
2 under consideration 3 unresolved issues 4 rejected 4A rejected due to flaws 4B rejected due to lack of resources
5 did not apply to assigned agency 6 no response 7 unable to evaluate

**SUMMARY OF RESPONSES TO RECOMMENDATIONS
REGARDING THE INQUEST INTO THE DEATH OF:
Jonathan Andrew DAVIS
Date of Death: June 15, 2002.
Date of Inquest: November 3-4, 2004.
Inquest number: Q2004-49
Presiding Coroner: Dr. R. Sawkiw**

Recommendation Number	Lead Agency(s)/ Ministry(s)/Organization(s)/Subject Areas Assigned Recommendation	Lead Agency(s)/ Ministry(s)/Organization(s) responding to Recommendation	No Response	Date of Response	Response Analysis
1	Ministry of Labour	Ministry of Labour		16 Sept 2005	2

LEGEND: 1 Has been implemented 1A Will be implemented 1B Alternate has been implemented 1C Alternate will be implemented
2 under consideration 3 unresolved issues 4 rejected 4A rejected due to flaws 4B rejected due to lack of resources
5 did not apply to assigned agency 6 no response 7 unable to evaluate

Total number of Recommendations: 1

Evaluation Definition	HAS been Implemented	WILL BE implemented	ALTERNATE HAS BEEN implemented	ALTERNATE WILL BE implemented	Under Consideration	Unresolved Issues	Rejected	Rejected (due to flaws or impractical)	Rejected (due to lack of resources)	Not Applicable	No Response	Unable to Evaluate
	1	1A	1B	1C	2	3	4	4A	4B	5	6	7
# of Recommend.	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Recommend.	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

# of Agencies asked to Respond	1
# of Agencies Responding	1
% of Agencies Responding	100%

LEGEND: 1 Has been implemented 1A Will be implemented 1B Alternate has been implemented 1C Alternate will be implemented
2 under consideration 3 unresolved issues 4 rejected 4A rejected due to flaws 4B rejected due to lack of resources
5 did not apply to assigned agency 6 no response 7 unable to evaluate

**SUMMARY OF RESPONSES TO RECOMMENDATIONS
REGARDING THE INQUEST INTO THE DEATH OF:**

John CORRIGAN

Date of Death: August 28, 2001

Date of Inquest: November 8, 2004.

Inquest number: Q2004-50

Presiding Coroner: Dr. J. Kovacs

Recommendation Number	Lead Agency(s)/ Ministry(s)/Organization(s)/Subject Areas Assigned Recommendation	Lead Agency(s)/ Ministry(s)/Organization(s) responding to Recommendation	No Response	Date of Response	Response Analysis
1	Ministry of Education Ministry of Labour	Ministry of Education Ministry of Labour		6 Jan 2006 19 Sept 2005	5 1B
2	Ministry of Labour	Ministry of Labour		19 Sept 2005	1B
3	Ministry of Labour	Ministry of Labour		19 Sept 2005	1B
4	Ministry of Labour	Ministry of Labour		19 Sept 2005	1B
5	Ministry of Municipal Affairs and Housing	Ministry of Municipal Affairs and Housing		11 Mar 2005	7
6	Ministry of Labour	Ministry of Labour		19 Sept 2005	1

LEGEND: 1 Has been implemented 1A Will be implemented 1B Alternate has been implemented 1C Alternate will be implemented
2 under consideration 3 unresolved issues 4 rejected 4A rejected due to flaws 4B rejected due to lack of resources
5 did not apply to assigned agency 6 no response 7 unable to evaluate

Total number of Recommendations: 6

Evaluation Definition	HAS been Implemented	WILL BE implemented	ALTERNATE HAS BEEN implemented	ALTERNATE WILL BE implemented	Under Consideration	Unresolved Issues	Rejected	Rejected (due to flaws or impractical)	Rejected (due to lack of resources)	Not Applicable	No Response	Unable to Evaluate
	1	1A	1B	1C	2	3	4	4A	4B	5	6	7
# of Recommend.	1	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Percentage of Recommend.	17%	0%	67%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	17%

# of Agencies asked to Respond	3
# of Agencies Responding	3
% of Agencies Responding	100%

LEGEND: 1 Has been implemented 1A Will be implemented 1B Alternate has been implemented 1C Alternate will be implemented
2 under consideration 3 unresolved issues 4 rejected 4A rejected due to flaws 4B rejected due to lack of resources
5 did not apply to assigned agency 6 no response 7 unable to evaluate

**SUMMARY OF RESPONSES TO RECOMMENDATIONS
REGARDING THE INQUEST INTO THE DEATH OF:
Grace Marie RADFORD
Date of Death: July 12, 2002.
Date of Inquest: November 8-10, 2004.
Inquest number: Q2004-51
Presiding Coroner: Dr. G.R. Mann**

Recommendation Number	Lead Agency(s)/ Ministry(s)/Organization(s)/Subject Areas Assigned Recommendation	Lead Agency(s)/ Ministry(s)/Organization(s) responding to Recommendation	No Response	Date of Response	Response Analysis
1	Ministry of Labour	Ministry of Labour		29 Aug 2005	1B
2	Ministry of Labour	Ministry of Labour		29 Aug 2005	1B
3	Ministry of Labour	Ministry of Labour		29 Aug 2005	1B
4	Ministry of Labour	Ministry of Labour		29 Aug 2005	1B
5	Ministry of Labour	Ministry of Labour		29 Aug 2005	1B
6	Ministry of Labour Ministry of Education Ministry of Training Colleges and Universities	Ministry of Labour Ministry of Education Ministry of Training, Colleges and Universities		29 Aug 2005 6 Jan 2006 9 Feb 2005	1B 5 1

LEGEND: 1 Has been implemented 1A Will be implemented 1B Alternate has been implemented 1C Alternate will be implemented
2 under consideration 3 unresolved issues 4 rejected 4A rejected due to flaws 4B rejected due to lack of resources
5 did not apply to assigned agency 6 no response 7 unable to evaluate

Total number of Recommendations: 6

Evaluation Definition	HAS been Implemented	WILL BE implemented	ALTERNATE HAS BEEN implemented	ALTERNATE WILL BE implemented	Under Consideration	Unresolved Issues	Rejected	Rejected (due to flaws or impractical)	Rejected (due to lack of resources)	Not Applicable	No Response	Unable to Evaluate
	1	1A	1B	1C	2	3	4	4A	4B	5	6	7
# of Recommend.	1	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Recommend.	17%	0%	83%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

# of Agencies asked to Respond	3
# of Agencies Responding	3
% of Agencies Responding	100%

LEGEND: 1 Has been implemented 1A Will be implemented 1B Alternate has been implemented 1C Alternate will be implemented
2 under consideration 3 unresolved issues 4 rejected 4A rejected due to flaws 4B rejected due to lack of resources
5 did not apply to assigned agency 6 no response 7 unable to evaluate

SUMMARY OF RESPONSES TO RECOMMENDATIONS
REGARDING THE INQUEST INTO THE DEATH OF:
Gordon HEFFERN
Date of Death: July 28, 2001.
Date of Inquest: November 15-19, 2004.
Inquest number: Q2004-52
Presiding Coroner: Dr. J. Deacon

Recommendation Number	Lead Agency(s)/ Ministry(s)/Organization(s)/Subject Areas Assigned Recommendation	Lead Agency(s)/ Ministry(s)/Organization(s) responding to Recommendation	No Response	Date of Response	Response Analysis
1	Inco Limited	-----	x	---	6
2	Inco Limited	-----	x	---	6
3	Inco Limited	-----	x	---	6
4	Inco Limited	-----	x	---	6
5	Inco Limited	-----	x	---	6
6	Inco Limited	-----	x	---	6
7	Inco Limited	-----	x	---	6
8	Inco Limited	-----	x	---	6
9	City of Greater Sudbury Inco Limited	City of Greater Sudbury -----	x	25 July 2005 ---	1 6
10	Inco Limited	-----	x	---	6

LEGEND: 1 Has been implemented 1A Will be implemented 1B Alternate has been implemented 1C Alternate will be implemented
2 under consideration 3 unresolved issues 4 rejected 4A rejected due to flaws 4B rejected due to lack of resources
5 did not apply to assigned agency 6 no response 7 unable to evaluate

Total number of Recommendations: 10

Evaluation Definition	HAS been Implemented	WILL BE implemented	ALTERNATE HAS BEEN implemented	ALTERNATE WILL BE implemented	Under Consideration	Unresolved Issues	Rejected	Rejected (due to flaws or impractical)	Rejected (due to lack of resources)	Not Applicable	No Response	Unable to Evaluate
	1	1A	1B	1C	2	3	4	4A	4B	5	6	7
# of Recommend.	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	0
Percentage of Recommend.	10%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	90%	0%

# of Agencies asked to Respond	2
# of Agencies Responding	1
% of Agencies Responding	50%

LEGEND: 1 Has been implemented 1A Will be implemented 1B Alternate has been implemented 1C Alternate will be implemented
2 under consideration 3 unresolved issues 4 rejected 4A rejected due to flaws 4B rejected due to lack of resources
5 did not apply to assigned agency 6 no response 7 unable to evaluate

**SUMMARY OF RESPONSES TO RECOMMENDATIONS
REGARDING THE INQUEST INTO THE DEATHS OF:
David Joseph STEVENSON (DOD 12 Nov 2002)
Steven Randall PIDGEON (DOD 16 Jul 2003)
Judith JENKINS (DOD 21 Sept 2003)
Craig Robert BEERS (DOD 13 Jul 2003)
Date of Inquest: November 1 – December 2, 2004.
Inquest number: Q2004-53
Presiding Coroner: Dr. W. Lucas**

Recommendation Number	Lead Agency(s)/ Ministry(s)/Organization(s)/Subject Areas Assigned Recommendation	Lead Agency(s)/ Ministry(s)/Organization(s) responding to Recommendation	No Response	Date of Response	Response Analysis
1	Ministry of Health and Long Term Care	Ministry of Health and Long Term Care		14 Jul 2005	7
2	Office of the Chief Coroner	Office of the Chief Coroner		12 Mar 2007	1A
3	Office of the Chief Coroner	Office of the Chief Coroner		12 Mar 2007	1
4	Office of the Chief Coroner	Office of the Chief Coroner		12 Mar 2007	1
5	Office of the Chief Coroner	Office of the Chief Coroner		12 Mar 2007	1
6	Office of the Chief Coroner	Office of the Chief Coroner		12 Mar 2007	1A
7	Office of the Chief Coroner	Office of the Chief Coroner		12 Mar 2007	1
8	Office of the Chief Coroner	Office of the Chief Coroner		12 Mar 2007	1
9	Office of the Chief Coroner Centre of Forensic Services, MCSCS	Office of the Chief Coroner Centre of Forensic Services, MCSCS		12 Mar 2007 31 Jan 2005	1 7
10	College of Physicians and Surgeons	College of Physicians and Surgeons		6 Mar 2006	1
11	College of Physicians and Surgeons	College of Physicians and Surgeons		6 Mar 2006	2
12	College of Physicians and Surgeons	College of Physicians and Surgeons		6 Mar 2006	1
13	College of Physicians and Surgeons	College of Physicians and Surgeons		6 Mar 2006	1A
14	College of Physicians and Surgeons	College of Physicians and Surgeons		6 Mar 2006	2
15	College of Physicians and Surgeons	College of Physicians and Surgeons		6 Mar 2006	1
16	College of Physicians and Surgeons	College of Physicians and Surgeons		6 Mar 2006	1
17	College of Physicians and Surgeons	College of Physicians and Surgeons		6 Mar 2006	1
18	College of Physicians and Surgeons	College of Physicians and Surgeons		6 Mar 2006	1
19	College of Physicians and Surgeons	College of Physicians and Surgeons		6 Mar 2006	1

LEGEND: 1 Has been implemented 1A Will be implemented 1B Alternate has been implemented 1C Alternate will be implemented
 2 under consideration 3 unresolved issues 4 rejected 4A rejected due to flaws 4B rejected due to lack of resources
 5 did not apply to assigned agency 6 no response 7 unable to evaluate

20	College of Physicians and Surgeons	College of Physicians and Surgeons		6 Mar 2006	1
21	College of Physicians and Surgeons	College of Physicians and Surgeons		6 Mar 2006	1
22	College of Physicians and Surgeons	College of Physicians and Surgeons		6 Mar 2006	1
23	Ministry of Health and Long Term Care College of Physicians and Surgeons	Ministry of Health and Long Term Care College of Physicians and Surgeons		14 Jul 2005 6 Mar 2006	2 1
24	College of Physicians and Surgeons	College of Physicians and Surgeons		6 Mar 2006	1
25	College of Physicians and Surgeons	College of Physicians and Surgeons		6 Mar 2006	1
26	College of Physicians and Surgeons	College of Physicians and Surgeons		6 Mar 2006	1
27	College of Physicians and Surgeons	College of Physicians and Surgeons		6 Mar 2006	1
28	College of Physicians and Surgeons	College of Physicians and Surgeons		6 Mar 2006	1
29	Ontario College of Pharmacists	Ontario College of Pharmacists		5 Oct 2005	2
30	Ontario College of Pharmacists	Ontario College of Pharmacists		5 Oct 2005	2
31	Ontario College of Pharmacists	Ontario College of Pharmacists		5 Oct 2005	2
32	Ontario College of Pharmacists	Ontario College of Pharmacists		5 Oct 2005	2
33	College of Physicians and Surgeons Ontario College of Pharmacists	College of Physicians and Surgeons Ontario College of Pharmacists		6 Mar 2006 5 Oct 2005	1 2
34	Ministry of Health and Long Term Care	Ministry of Health and Long Term Care		14 Jul 2005	1
35	Ministry of Health and Long Term Care	Ministry of Health and Long Term Care		14 Jul 2005	2
36	Ontario College of Pharmacists	Ontario College of Pharmacists		5 Oct 2005	7
37	Office of the Chief Coroner	Office of the Chief Coroner		12 Mar 2007	4
38	Office of the Chief Coroner Ministry of Health and Long Term Care College of Physicians and Surgeons Ontario College of Pharmacists	Office of the Chief Coroner Ministry of Health and Long Term Care College of Physicians and Surgeons Ontario College of Pharmacists		12 Mar 2007 14 Jul 2005 6 Mar 2006 5 Oct 2005	1 4 2 2
39	Ministry of Health and Long Term Care	Ministry of Health and Long Term Care		14 Jul 2005	2
40	Ministry of Health and Long Term Care	Ministry of Health and Long Term Care		14 Jul 2005	2
41	Ministry of Education	Ministry of Education Ministry of Health and Long Term Care		23 Dec 2005 14 Jul 2005	2 1A
42	Health Canada	Health Canada		4 Aug 2005	2
43	Health Canada	Health Canada		4 Aug 2005	2
44	Health Canada	Health Canada		4 Aug 2005	1B
45	Ministry of Health and Long Term Care	Ministry of Health and Long Term Care		14 Jul 2005	2
46	University of Western Ontario University of Toronto Queen's University University of Ottawa McMaster University Ontario College of Pharmacists	University of Western Ontario University of Toronto Queen's University ----- ----- Ontario College of Pharmacists	 x x	20 April 2005 5 Feb 2005 9 Feb 2005 --- --- 5 Oct 2005	1 1 1 6 6 5

Total number of Recommendations: 46

Evaluation Definition	HAS been Implemented	WILL BE implemented	ALTERNATE HAS BEEN implemented	ALTERNATE WILL BE implemented	Under Consideration	Unresolved Issues	Rejected	Rejected (due to flaws or impractical)	Rejected (due to lack of resources)	Not Applicable	No Response	Unable to Evaluate
	1	1A	1B	1C	2	3	4	4A	4B	5	6	7
# of Recommend.	26	4	1	0	12	0	1	0	0	0	0	2
Percentage of Recommend.	57%	9%	2%	0%	26%	0%	2%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4%

# of Agencies asked to Respond	12
# of Agencies Responding	10
% of Agencies Responding	83%

LEGEND: 1 Has been implemented 1A Will be implemented 1B Alternate has been implemented 1C Alternate will be implemented
2 under consideration 3 unresolved issues 4 rejected 4A rejected due to flaws 4B rejected due to lack of resources
5 did not apply to assigned agency 6 no response 7 unable to evaluate

**SUMMARY OF RESPONSES TO RECOMMENDATIONS
REGARDING THE INQUEST INTO THE DEATH OF:
Shashi SHARMA
Date of Death: August 18, 2003.
Date of Inquest: December 1, 2004.
Inquest number: Q2004-54
Inquest Coroner: Dr. R. Caskey**

There were no recommendations made in this inquest.

**SUMMARY OF RESPONSES TO RECOMMENDATIONS
REGARDING THE INQUEST INTO THE DEATH OF:
Alfred Hector WHITE
Date of Death: November 29, 2003.
Date of Inquest: December 1, 2004.
Inquest number: Q2004-55
Inquest Coroner: Dr. R. McIlquham**

There were no recommendations made in this inquest.

**SUMMARY OF RESPONSES TO RECOMMENDATIONS
REGARDING THE INQUEST INTO THE DEATH OF:
Joseph BALOG
Date of Death: September 29, 2003.
Date of Inquest: December 13-15, 2004.
Inquest number: Q2004-56
Presiding Coroner: Dr. P. Savage**

Recommendation Number	Lead Agency(s)/ Ministry(s)/Organization(s)/Subject Areas Assigned Recommendation	Lead Agency(s)/ Ministry(s)/Organization(s) responding to Recommendation	No Response	Date of Response	Response Analysis
1	Ministry of Education	Ministry of Education		6 Jan 2006	2
2	Ministry of Education Health Canada	Ministry of Education Health Canada		6 Jan 2006 8 June 2005	5 1B
3	Ministry of Education	Ministry of Education		6 Jan 2006	7

LEGEND: 1 Has been implemented 1A Will be implemented 1B Alternate has been implemented 1C Alternate will be implemented
 2 under consideration 3 unresolved issues 4 rejected 4A rejected due to flaws 4B rejected due to lack of resources
 5 did not apply to assigned agency 6 no response 7 unable to evaluate

Total number of Recommendations: 3

Evaluation Definition	HAS been Implemented	WILL BE implemented	ALTERNATE HAS BEEN implemented	ALTERNATE WILL BE implemented	Under Consideration	Unresolved Issues	Rejected	Rejected (due to flaws or impractical)	Rejected (due to lack of resources)	Not Applicable	No Response	Unable to Evaluate
	1	1A	1B	1C	2	3	4	4A	4B	5	6	7
# of Recommend.	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Percentage of Recommend.	0%	0%	33%	0%	33%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	33%

*NOTE: Total percentage may not equal 100 due to rounding off.

# of Agencies asked to Respond	2
# of Agencies Responding	2
% of Agencies Responding	100%

LEGEND: 1 Has been implemented 1A Will be implemented 1B Alternate has been implemented 1C Alternate will be implemented
2 under consideration 3 unresolved issues 4 rejected 4A rejected due to flaws 4B rejected due to lack of resources
5 did not apply to assigned agency 6 no response 7 unable to evaluate

**SUMMARY OF RESPONSES TO RECOMMENDATIONS
REGARDING THE INQUEST INTO THE DEATH OF:
Kerri Nicole CUDDY
Date of Death: June 16, 2003.
Date of Inquest: December 13 – 16, 2004.
Inquest number: Q2004-57
Presiding Coroner: Dr. W. Lucas**

Recommendation Number	Lead Agency(s)/ Ministry(s)/Organization(s)/Subject Areas Assigned Recommendation	Lead Agency(s)/ Ministry(s)/Organization(s) responding to Recommendation	No Response	Date of Response	Response Analysis
1	Ministry of Health and Long Term Care	Ministry of Health and Long Term Care		20 June 2005	1
2	Ministry of Health and Long Term Care	Ministry of Health and Long Term Care		20 June 2005	2

LEGEND: 1 Has been implemented 1A Will be implemented 1B Alternate has been implemented 1C Alternate will be implemented
2 under consideration 3 unresolved issues 4 rejected 4A rejected due to flaws 4B rejected due to lack of resources
5 did not apply to assigned agency 6 no response 7 unable to evaluate

Total number of Recommendations: 2

Evaluation Definition	HAS been Implemented	WILL BE implemented	ALTERNATE HAS BEEN implemented	ALTERNATE WILL BE implemented	Under Consideration	Unresolved Issues	Rejected	Rejected (due to flaws or impractical)	Rejected (due to lack of resources)	Not Applicable	No Response	Unable to Evaluate
	1	1A	1B	1C	2	3	4	4A	4B	5	6	7
# of Recommend.	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Recommend.	50%	0%	0%	0%	50%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

# of Agencies asked to Respond	1
# of Agencies Responding	1
% of Agencies Responding	100%

LEGEND: 1 Has been implemented 1A Will be implemented 1B Alternate has been implemented 1C Alternate will be implemented
2 under consideration 3 unresolved issues 4 rejected 4A rejected due to flaws 4B rejected due to lack of resources
5 did not apply to assigned agency 6 no response 7 unable to evaluate