

ANNUAL REPORT 2012-2013

**CHIEF
DRINKING WATER
INSPECTOR**



TABLE OF CONTENTS

3	Message from the Chief Drinking Water Inspector
4	Protecting Ontario's Drinking Water
4	Ontario's Drinking Water Safety Net
5	Source Water Protection
6	Statutory Standard of Care
6	Municipal Drinking Water Licensing Program
7	Ontario's Drinking Water Report Card
7	Drinking Water Quality Standards
8	Drinking Water Quality: Test Results by Parameter
11	Drinking Water Quality: Test Results by System Type
13	Adverse Water Quality Incidents and Corrective Actions
15	Lead Action Plan
18	Inspecting Drinking Water Systems
23	Inspecting Laboratories that Test Ontario's Drinking Water
25	Compliance and Enforcement: Enforcement Results
26	Convictions: Conviction Results
27	Training Drinking Water System Professionals
30	Small Drinking Water Systems Program Results — Ministry of Health and Long-Term Care
35	List of Tables and Figures
36	Glossary

Cette publication est également disponible en français
© Queen's Printer for Ontario, 2014

ISSN 1718-5629 (Online)
PIBS# 9663e

Information on individual inspection ratings, drinking water quality results for municipal residential drinking water systems and details of orders and convictions for drinking water systems and licensed and eligible laboratories can be found in this report's **Appendices**, available at ontario.ca/drinkingwater.

MESSAGE FROM THE CHIEF DRINKING WATER INSPECTOR



I am pleased to share this annual report with you, and showcase the achievements of the ministry and our drinking water partners during 2012-13.

Ontario's drinking water continues to be among the best protected in North America, thanks to our comprehensive drinking water safety net that starts from our drinking water sources and continues until you turn on your tap. Our multi-barrier approach sets a world-class example, and we continue to be a leader in drinking water safety.

Ontario's regulated drinking water systems and laboratories that analyse our drinking water samples continue to successfully meet the province's regulatory requirements, as proven through test results of drinking water samples and inspections. In 2012-13:

- 99.88 per cent of more than 530,000 drinking water test results from municipal residential drinking water systems met Ontario's strict drinking water quality standards.
- 99.7 per cent of inspections of municipal residential drinking water systems resulted in inspection ratings higher than 80 per cent, and 68 per cent scored 100 per cent.

From our comprehensive legislation to protect source water to our stringent health-based drinking water quality standards, we continue to work vigilantly with our partners and stakeholders to provide the highest quality drinking water to the people of Ontario today and for future generations.

This report also includes a message from Ontario's former Chief Medical Officer of Health, Dr. Arlene King, as well as information on small drinking water systems that are regulated by the Ministry of Health and Long-Term Care.

I encourage you to read this report to learn more about the excellent quality of Ontario's drinking water, inspection results of drinking water systems and laboratories as well as the ministry's enforcement activities. You can read more about our source-to-tap programs at www.ontario.ca/drinkingwater.

Susan Lo,
Chief Drinking Water Inspector
Ministry of the Environment and Climate Change

PROTECTING ONTARIO'S DRINKING WATER

ONTARIO'S DRINKING WATER SAFETY NET

Ontario's drinking water safety net is a multi-barrier approach to protecting our drinking water. Strong legislation, stringent health-based drinking water quality standards, regular and reliable testing, highly trained operators, regular inspections and a comprehensive source protection program, all work together to protect the safety of our drinking water.

The ongoing success of our safety net is the result of continuous collaboration between our many partners including municipalities, system owners and/or operators, local health units, laboratories, the **Walkerton Clean Water Centre** and stakeholder associations. It includes:

- **Source-to-tap focus:** safeguards are in place at every step of the process to address risks to the quality of drinking water, and identify potential issues before problems occur.
- **Strong legislative and regulatory framework:** the Safe Drinking Water Act, the Clean Water Act and their regulations help form the foundation for our safety net.
- **Health-based standards for drinking water:** our standards are based on the best available science, and are regularly reviewed to provide protection.
- **Regular and reliable testing:** licensed and eligible laboratories¹ (laboratories) test hundreds of thousands of drinking water samples from the regulated systems to ensure our drinking water quality meets Ontario's stringent health-based standards.
- **Swift, strong action on adverse water quality incidents:** this critical component of our safety net ensures effective oversight, strict monitoring and prompt action if an event such as an adverse test result occurs.
- **Mandatory licensing, operator certification and training requirements:** licensed municipal drinking water systems, well-trained and certified drinking water system operators and municipal drinking water system




Figure 1: Ontario's drinking water safety net

owners across the province are a key component of the safety net.

- **A multi-faceted compliance improvement toolkit:** we use a risk-based approach to improving compliance, increasing awareness and enabling informed and effective actions, conducting targeted inspections to confirm compliance and, where necessary, enforcement actions to address significant non-compliance issues.
- **Partnership, transparency and public engagement:** we work with many partners to deliver high-quality tap water for the people of Ontario, and produce the Chief Drinking Water Inspector's and the Minister's Annual Reports on Drinking Water.

Thanks to our strong framework of protection and the safeguards at every step of the process, people of Ontario can be confident in the quality and safety of their drinking water.

¹ Laboratories wishing to perform testing of Ontario drinking water are appropriately accredited and/or licensed and inspected at least twice per year by the ministry. See **Inspecting Laboratories that Test Ontario's Drinking Water** section to learn more about these facilities.


SOURCE WATER PROTECTION

We continue to see significant progress in **source water protection**. The ministry has received all source protection plans from 19 local source protection committees. With the help of our many partners including local source protection committees, source protection authorities and First Nations, we are completing source-to-tap drinking water protection. This will help enhance the quality of life for Ontario families and support sustainable communities now and into the future. When completed, watershed-based source protection plans will safeguard more than 450 municipal residential drinking water systems. In addition, three First Nations drinking water systems have been included in the source protection process through a Lieutenant Governor-in-Council regulation amendment under the Clean Water Act.

As of April 30, 2014, the ministry has approved the Lakehead Source Protection Plan in the Thunder Bay area, the plan for the Niagara Peninsula and the Mattagami plan for the Timmins area. Plans are coming into effect and the province and its partners including municipalities, source protection authorities and local health boards are preparing to implement the related policies and report on progress. They have begun examining their internal processes to determine what changes are necessary to ensure their plans can be implemented.

To help small, rural municipalities prepare to implement actions that will protect local sources of drinking water, the government is providing \$13.5 million of funding through the Source Protection Municipal Implementation Fund. One hundred and eighty-eight small, rural municipalities are receiving one-time grants ranging from \$15,000 to \$100,000 to help offset some of the start-up costs as these municipalities prepare to implement their local source protection plans.

To assist our local partners including municipalities, who play a key role in implementing source protection plans, we provided risk management officials and inspectors a ministry-approved training program to help them fulfil their responsibilities under the Clean Water Act. As of April 30, 2014, more than 190 risk management officials and inspectors have taken this training program.



The ministry continues to fund **conservation authorities**, which are instrumental in supporting the review and approval of source protection plans, and helping source protection partners prepare for implementation.

To find out more about drinking water source protection in your local source protection area, please visit www.conservation-ontario.on.ca/uncategorised/143-otherswpreionsindex.

STATUTORY STANDARD OF CARE

Municipal officials play an important role in protecting public health by providing responsible and diligent oversight of their community's drinking water.

Under Section 19 of the Safe Drinking Water Act, people with oversight responsibilities for a municipal drinking water system must exercise a level of care, diligence and skill that a reasonably prudent person would be expected to take in a similar situation. They are required to act honestly, competently and with integrity with a view to ensuring the protection and safety of the communities that are served by the municipal drinking water system. The standard of care applies to:

- the owner of a municipal drinking water system
- directors and officers of corporations if the municipal drinking water system is owned by a corporation other than a municipality
- those who oversee the accredited operating authority or exercise decision making authority over the system

The **Walkerton Clean Water Centre** offers a training course for municipal officials to help them understand their role in ensuring safe drinking water for their community, and to help them provide better oversight of drinking water systems. The ministry also published a guide entitled, "**Taking Care of Your Drinking Water**", which is available at www.ontario.ca/drinkingwater.

The course and guide help municipal officials understand their responsibilities, and help ensure drinking water standards are maintained and public health is protected. As of March 31, 2014, 1,362 municipal officials have received training.

MUNICIPAL DRINKING WATER LICENSING PROGRAM

Our Municipal Drinking Water Licensing Program is designed to ensure the people responsible for managing and operating our regulated systems meet regulatory requirements and have the skills and qualifications they need. All of Ontario's municipal residential drinking water systems require a licence as part of the Municipal Drinking Water Licensing Program. To become licensed, an owner may require the following:

- a financial plan for their system
- a permit to take water
- a drinking water works permit
- an operational plan that is based on the Drinking Water Quality Management Standard
- an operating authority for the system that has received accreditation based on a third-party audit of their Quality Management System

Since issuing the first licences and permits in 2009, the ministry has been monitoring the program's implementation and consulting with owners and operators to identify opportunities for continuous improvement.


The ministry has worked closely with the Walkerton Clean Water Centre since 2012 to hold a series of provincial workshops which provide attendees a forum to establish peer support networks and share best practices on Quality Management Systems. We continue to collaborate with the Walkerton Clean Water Centre to identify and respond to any additional needs for further guidance.

Municipal drinking water licences are valid for five years, and many came up for renewal in 2014. The ministry is committed to working with system owners to help them meet their renewal requirements.

ONTARIO'S DRINKING WATER REPORT CARD

Ontario's regulated drinking water systems continue to deliver high-quality drinking water. The year-over-year results clearly demonstrate that the quality of our drinking water is consistently high and it continues to meet the province's health-based standards.

Figure 2: Trends in percentage of drinking water tests meeting standards, by facility type¹



¹ There were slight variations in the methods used to tabulate the percentages year-over-year due to regulatory changes and because different counting methods were used.

² Lead results were not included as they were reported separately.

³ Lead distribution results were included and lead plumbing results were reported separately.

DRINKING WATER QUALITY STANDARDS

Ontario's drinking water must meet 158 strict health-based standards for microbiological, chemical and **radiological parameters**. These standards are listed in **O. Reg. 169/03** of the Safe Drinking Water Act.

Most of the Ontario Drinking Water Quality Standards are based on Health Canada's Canadian Drinking Water Quality Guidelines which are developed by the Federal-Provincial-Territorial Committee on Drinking Water, which includes Ontario. When developing these standards, Health Canada

also collaborates with international organizations such as the World Health Organization and the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency.

Health Canada regularly evaluates the existing Canadian Drinking Water Quality Guidelines to determine whether any new information on health impacts or treatment technologies has become available. It also reviews new scientific information and national drinking water surveillance data to determine if guidelines for new substances are required.

DRINKING WATER QUALITY: TEST RESULTS BY PARAMETER

Through regular monitoring of Ontario's drinking water, we ensure our regulated drinking water systems continue to provide high-quality drinking water. Regular testing of Ontario's drinking water is carried out by laboratories that are regulated under the Safe Drinking Water Act and the Drinking Water Testing Services Regulation (**O. Reg. 248/03**). This legislation describes regulatory requirements of testing laboratories and the tests they can perform, as well as tests that can be carried out by a system operator.

Reporting Requirements

If a laboratory determines that sample test results have concentrations over the prescribed standards of any parameters, they must report the adverse test result immediately to the:

- ministry's **Spills Action Centre**; and the
- local medical officer of health; and the
- **operating authority** and/or owner of the drinking water system if appropriate

In addition, the operating authority and/or owner of the drinking water system must be available to be notified immediately of the adverse result of a drinking water test. They must also immediately notify the ministry's Spills Action Centre and the local medical officer of health. This duplication of reporting is a key component of Ontario's safety net. It ensures all appropriate notifications are made and corrective action(s) are taken.

The following section of this report covers results from drinking water quality testing, which are measured against our stringent standards for microbiological, chemical and **radiological parameters**.

Types of Corrective Actions

The Safe Drinking Water Act requires taking corrective action when and where an adverse water quality incident is reported. This is a critical component of Ontario's drinking water safety net.

Corrective actions depend on the type of incident and facility. Some of the corrective actions required by the Safe Drinking Water Act include one or more of the following:

- resampling and retesting
- immediately flushing the system
- reviewing operational processes to identify and correct faulty processes
- increasing chlorine/chloramine doses and flushing the system
- take such steps as are directed by the local medical officer of health

In response to an adverse water quality incident, the local medical officer of health may issue a **boil water advisory**

or a **drinking water advisory** or require a system owner and/or operator to take one or more of the following actions:

- resample and retest at the same location or multiple locations
- bag the drinking water fountains in the case of a lead exceedance
- post signs advising the public not to drink the water
- provide alternate sources of drinking water

When an adverse incident occurs, ministry staff and local public health units work with affected system owners and/or facilities to ensure corrective actions are appropriately taken. If the issue is ongoing, ministry staff — in collaboration with local public health units and the system owner(s) — will continue to monitor the incident until it is resolved. Once the issue has been resolved, all corrective actions must be identified and reported to the ministry.

Microbiological Test Results and Standards

The provincial regulations require that drinking water samples be regularly tested to detect the presence of **microbiological organisms, total coliforms** and ***Escherichia coli* (*E. coli*)** bacteria. The presence of these organisms in drinking water may indicate microbiological contamination with a potential to cause serious health problems. For this reason, Ontario's Drinking Water Quality Standards require that total coliforms and *E. coli* not be present in drinking water samples. If

these parameters are positively confirmed, an adverse water quality incident has occurred requiring immediate mandatory reporting and corrective actions by the system owner and/or operator.

The following table shows the results of microbiological tests for the 2012-13 reporting year.

Table 1: Breakdown of microbiological test results in 2012-13


Drinking water facility type	Parameter	Total # of test results	Total # of test results meeting standards	Total # of adverse test results	Total # of systems submitting test results ¹	Total # of systems with adverse test results
Municipal residential systems	<i>E. coli</i>	234,785	234,749	36	657	30
	Total coliform	234,829	234,385	444	657	174
Non-municipal year-round residential systems	<i>E. coli</i>	15,479	15,469	10	434	9
	Total coliform	15,483	15,343	140	434	71
Systems serving designated facilities	<i>E. coli</i>	21,993	21,980	13	1,369	13
	Total coliform	22,003	21,793	210	1,369	125

¹ Regulatory requirements for testing vary by category and source of water and are identified in O. Reg. 170/03.

A comparison of drinking water test results over the past nine years indicates that the percentage of **municipal residential drinking water systems** that met microbiological standards has remained consistently high.

Table 2: Percentage of test results from municipal residential drinking water systems meeting Ontario's Drinking Water Quality Standards, by year, for *E. coli*

Municipal residential drinking water systems	Percentage of drinking water tests meeting standards								
	2004-05	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13
<i>E. coli</i>	99.97	99.99	99.98	99.99	99.98	99.99	99.99	99.98	99.98



Chemical Test Results and Standards

Ontario's Drinking Water Quality Standards also establish maximum acceptable concentrations for chemical parameters in drinking water. Some of these adverse test results may be due to naturally occurring deposits such as barium, fluoride and/or selenium.

The following table provides a list of the chemical adverse test results that were reported in 2012-13.

Table 3: Number of chemical standard adverse test results by facility type¹

Parameter	2012-13	
	Total # of adverse test results	Total # of systems with adverse test results ²
Municipal residential drinking water systems		
Total # of systems submitting results		660
Barium ³	1	1
Bromate	3	1
Fluoride ³	64	16
Lead ⁴	28	17
Selenium ³	9	1
Total trihalomethanes ⁵	43	20
Non-municipal year-round residential drinking water systems		
Total # of systems submitting results		399
Antimony	1	1
Barium ³	2	1
Fluoride ³	24	11
Lead ⁴	3	2
Nitrate (as nitrogen)	16	6
Nitrate + nitrite (as nitrogen)	16	6
Total trihalomethanes ⁵	7	3
Uranium ³	3	3
Systems serving designated facilities		
Total # of systems submitting results		1,285
Barium ³	1	1
Benzo[a]pyrene	1	1
Fluoride ³	20	10
Lead ⁴	4	4
Nitrate (as nitrogen)	37	13
Nitrite (as nitrogen)	1	1
Nitrate + nitrite (as nitrogen)	36	13
Uranium ³	3	2

¹ Sampling frequency varies according to regulatory requirements and facility type.

² A single system could have adverse test results for multiple parameters. For the calculation of total number of systems with adverse results, a system with adverse test results across multiple parameters is counted only once.

³ In some parts of the province, there are naturally occurring deposits of barium, fluoride, selenium and uranium that may result in adverse test results.

⁴ The lead parameter did not include lead sampled in plumbing for municipal residential and non-municipal year-round residential drinking water systems; however, lead sampled in the distribution system was included.

⁵ Total trihalomethanes are reported as the running annual average of quarterly samples.

Radiological Test Results and Standards

O. Reg. 169/03 sets the drinking water quality standards for **radiological parameters**. In some parts of the province, there are naturally occurring deposits of uranium, requiring regular drinking water testing to monitor the levels of radiological parameters in the water. In accordance with

their drinking water licence requirements, some drinking water systems, whose source waters contain these naturally occurring parameters, are required to sample and test for these parameters. No radiological adverse test results were reported in 2012-13.

DRINKING WATER QUALITY: TEST RESULTS BY SYSTEM TYPE

The following section of the report includes additional drinking water quality test results for different types of systems. The percentage of all systems with drinking water test results that met our stringent standards for

microbiological, chemical and radiological parameters continues to remain consistently high. Overall, in 2012-13, 99.8 per cent of test results submitted to the ministry met the provincial standards.



Municipal Residential Drinking Water Systems

Ontario’s **municipal residential drinking water systems** continue to deliver high-quality drinking water, as confirmed by test results submitted by laboratories to the ministry for 661 municipal residential drinking water systems. In

2012-13, the ministry received 531,859 drinking water test results. Almost 100 per cent of these results met Ontario’s rigorous standards.

Table 4: Percentage of test results meeting Ontario Drinking Water Quality Standards in municipal residential drinking water systems

Drinking water facility type	Parameter	2010-11 % meeting standards	2011-12 % meeting standards	2012-13 % meeting standards
Municipal residential systems	Microbiological ¹	99.90	99.89	99.90
	Chemical ²	99.67	99.69	99.76
	Radiological	100.00	100.00	100.00
	TOTAL	99.87	99.87	99.88

¹ Microbiological includes only *E. coli* and total coliform results.

² Lead plumbing results were not included in chemical analysis; however, lead distribution results were included. See **Table 11** for additional details about lead in plumbing.

Non-Municipal Year-Round Residential Drinking Water Systems and Systems Serving Designated Facilities

Ontario residents living in mobile home parks and other residential facilities may be serviced by **non-municipal year-round residential drinking water systems**.

During 2012-13, 99.47 per cent of the 41,848 drinking water test results submitted by laboratories on behalf of 434 non-municipal year-round residential drinking water systems met Ontario's strict standards.

We continue to work with the owners and/or operators of these systems to inform them about their regulatory responsibilities and help them comply with the requirements of **O. Reg. 170/03**.

Table 5: Percentage of test results meeting Ontario Drinking Water Quality Standards in non-municipal year-round residential drinking water systems

Drinking water facility type	Parameter	2010-11 % meeting standards	2011-12 % meeting standards	2012-13 % meeting standards
Non-municipal year-round residential systems ³	Microbiological ¹	99.32	99.46	99.52
	Chemical ²	99.56	99.43	99.34
	TOTAL	99.38	99.45	99.47

¹ Microbiological includes only *E. coli* and total coliform results.

² Lead plumbing results were not included in this chemical analysis; however, lead distribution results were included. See **Table 11** for additional details about lead in plumbing.

³ Radiological parameters are tested in drinking water systems where directed by the ministry.



Some health care centres, schools and day nurseries in Ontario that have their own drinking water systems, are referred to as systems that serve designated facilities.

During 2012-13, of the 75,328 drinking water tests submitted by laboratories on behalf of 1,389 of these systems, 99.57 per cent met Ontario's strict standards.

As shown in the following table, the percentage of test results for **systems serving designated facilities** that met Ontario's standards has been consistently high over the past several years.

Table 6: Percentage of test results meeting Ontario Drinking Water Quality Standards in systems serving designated facilities

Drinking water facility type	Parameter	2010-11 % meeting standards	2011-12 % meeting standards	2012-13 % meeting standards
Systems serving designated facilities ¹	Microbiological ²	99.50	99.40	99.49
	Chemical	99.31	99.67	99.67
	TOTAL	99.43	99.52	99.57

¹ Radiological parameters are tested in drinking water systems where directed by the ministry.

² Microbiological includes only *E. coli* and total coliform results.

Table 7: Summary of drinking water test results for all facility types in 2012-13

Drinking water facility type	Parameter	Total # of test results	Total # of test results meeting standards	Total # of adverse test results	Per cent of adverse test results	Total # of systems submitting test results ¹	Total # of systems with adverse test results ²
Municipal residential systems⁵	Microbiological ³	469,614	469,134	480	0.10	657	174
	Chemical ⁴	62,243	62,095	148	0.24	660	55
	Radiological	2	2	0	0.00	1	0
	TOTAL	531,859	531,231	628	0.12	661	212
Non-municipal year-round residential systems⁵	Microbiological ³	30,962	30,812	150	0.48	434	71
	Chemical ⁴	10,886	10,814	72	0.66	399	27
	TOTAL	41,848	41,626	222	0.53	434	93
Systems serving designated facilities⁵	Microbiological ³	43,996	43,773	223	0.51	1,369	125
	Chemical	31,332	31,229	103	0.33	1,285	29
	TOTAL	75,328	75,002	326	0.43	1,389	150

¹ Regulatory requirements for testing vary by category and source of water and are identified in O. Reg. 170/03.

² A single system could have adverse test results for multiple parameters. For calculating total number of systems with adverse results, a system with adverse test results across multiple parameters is counted only once.

³ Microbiological includes only *E. coli* and total coliform results.

⁴ Lead plumbing results were not included in this chemical analysis; however, lead distribution results were included. See **Table 11** for additional details about lead in plumbing.

⁵ Radiological parameters are tested in drinking water systems where directed by the ministry.

ADVERSE WATER QUALITY INCIDENTS AND CORRECTIVE ACTIONS

Corrective Action on Adverse Water Quality Incidents

As part of the safety net that protects our drinking water, the Safe Drinking Water Act requires every adverse water quality incident to be reported immediately, and corrective action to be taken.

Corrective actions vary depending on the adverse event and may include resampling and retesting and adjusting the system or treatment processes. The local medical officer of health may direct an alternate drinking water source be used and/or issue a **boil water** or **drinking water advisory** and direct system owners to issue these advisories if necessary.

An adverse water quality incident (AWQI) does not necessarily indicate that the drinking water is unsafe. An AWQI indicates that a drinking water test result has not met an Ontario Drinking Water Quality Standard, or that there is an operational problem within a drinking water system.

Under **O. Reg. 170/03**, the following are some of the conditions that may result in an adverse water quality incident:

- a drinking water test result exceeding the Ontario Drinking Water Quality Standards
- presence of microbiological parameters that are not listed in the Ontario Drinking Water Quality Standards in a drinking water test result

- a test result exceeding the maximum concentration of a health-related parameter as established in a municipal drinking water licence or order
- a test result indicating the presence of a pesticide, not listed in the Ontario Drinking Water Quality Standards, at any concentration
- certain operational issues occurring in a drinking water system, such as insufficient disinfection, reduction in water pressure, high turbidity, equipment problems, contamination following a heavy rainfall or other adverse weather events.

It is important to note that while an AWQI does not always mean that the drinking water is unsafe, the Safe Drinking Water Act requires taking corrective action when and where

an adverse water quality incident is reported, regardless of the circumstances behind it. This is a critical component of Ontario's drinking water safety net.

When necessary, the ministry conducts site inspections and may collect drinking water samples where issues may be suspected requiring confirmation. We will also monitor and manage all reports from system owners that document the resolutions to issues to help ensure that the adverse water quality incidents are appropriately resolved.

Table 8: Summary of adverse water quality incidents by drinking water facility type

	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13
Municipal residential systems			
# of Systems submitting test results	681	671	661
# of Systems with AWQIs	404	389	381
# of AWQIs	1,562	1,402	1,446
# of Results within AWQIs ¹	1,717	1,603	1,700
Non-municipal year-round residential systems			
# of Systems submitting test results	434	429	434
# of Systems with AWQIs	177	180	179
# of AWQIs	445	412	359
# of Results within AWQIs ¹	546	489	415
Systems serving designated facilities			
# of Systems submitting test results	1,457	1,426	1,389
# of Systems with AWQIs	373	476	390
# of AWQIs	630	736	625
# of Results within AWQIs ¹	759	828	740

¹ An AWQI may occur as a result of a single issue or multiple issues such as the presence of microbiological or chemical parameters and/or operational issues.



Drinking Water Advisories and Corrective Actions

Drinking water advisories may be issued due to a range of factors that may affect drinking water, such as watermain breaks, low pressure events, presence of microbiological parameters, low disinfectant levels, or drinking water system compliance issues (e.g., equipment failure). The local medical officer of health may issue a **boil water** or drinking water advisory or require the system owner to take other corrective actions if there is a concern that the drinking water may not be fit for human consumption. The system owner may also recommend its consumers boil their water or use an alternative source as a precautionary measure.

Drinking water system owners must take corrective actions and follow any additional directions from the local health unit to rectify the incident. Ministry staff, in collaboration with local public health units and system owners and/or operators, and in some instances municipalities, monitor incidents to ensure they are resolved.

Boil water advisories that last for 12 consecutive months are considered to be long-term. In 2012-13, all pre-existing provincial long-term boil water advisories for municipal residential drinking water systems were lifted.

In 2012-13, the Lynden Drinking Water System, located near Hamilton had a long-term drinking water advisory due to the presence of lead. The affected residents were offered on-tap filters that are certified for lead removal. In addition, the system owner retained qualified consultants to undertake additional studies to identify the source(s) of lead and options to address this ongoing issue. Ministry staff will continue to work closely with the system owner and the local medical officer of health to ensure ongoing appropriate corrective actions are taken.

Please contact your local medical officer of health for more information on specific advisories.

LEAD ACTION PLAN

The most common source of lead in drinking water is lead pipes or solder used to connect the pipes and plumbing fixtures. When water wears away the inner surface of pipes, it is called corrosion. If the pipe's inner surface contains lead, corrosion can cause lead to enter the drinking water. Through regular testing, facility owners and/or operators and ministry staff monitor the presence of lead in drinking water.

Most municipalities have ongoing programs to remove lead service lines up to the property line. It is important for homeowners to remove lead service lines on their property to reduce their exposure to lead from drinking water. For more information on lead service line replacement visit www.ontario.ca/environment-and-energy/information-property-owners-about-lead-water-service-line-replacement.

Our Lead Action Plan sets sampling and testing requirements that allow us to collect information about lead levels in drinking water in communities throughout Ontario.

Schools, day nurseries and regulated drinking water systems are required to collect and submit samples to laboratories for lead testing. They must report any exceedances to the ministry and take corrective action if the results indicate there is a lead issue. All schools and day nurseries are also required to regularly flush their plumbing. Flushing is effective at reducing lead levels in drinking water because it prevents water from standing in the plumbing for extended

Lead Testing Results: Schools and Day Nurseries

Under **O. Reg. 243/07** all schools and day nurseries are required to regularly flush the water in their facility's plumbing to minimize potential lead exposure in their drinking water. They also must submit two types of samples of their drinking water to a laboratory for lead testing:



periods of time thus reducing contact time with the pipes and plumbing.

Through regular testing, facility owners, operators and ministry staff monitor the presence of lead in drinking water. Where lead levels exceed the provincial standard, owners and/or operators continue to focus on public protection by working with municipalities and the local medical officers of health to ensure appropriate corrective actions are taken. Corrective actions are recommended by the local public health unit and can include providing an alternative drinking water supply and/or filters, undertaking longer flushing periods before taking additional samples, or replacing plumbing known to contain lead solder, pipes and fixtures.

The vast majority of test results submitted on behalf of schools, day nurseries and regulated systems continue to meet the provincial standard for lead.

- standing samples collected after the plumbing has not been used for at least six hours
- flushed samples collected 30 to 35 minutes after running taps and/or faucets for five minutes

Consistent lead test results over the past several years have shown that flushing significantly reduces lead in drinking water. In 2012-13, 96.74 per cent of flushed sample results for schools and day nurseries met the lead standard. This result is almost six per cent better than the results for standing samples.

Laboratories must report lead test results that exceed the standard for lead to the local public health unit, the system owner and/or operator and to the ministry. In these situations, ministry staff follow up with the local public health unit and the facility to ensure that all recommended corrective actions have been taken.

Table 9: Year-over-year comparison for lead test results meeting Ontario Drinking Water Quality Standard for schools and day nurseries under O. Reg. 243/07

Parameter	2010-11 % meeting standards	2011-12 % meeting standards	2012-13 % meeting standards
Lead - Flushed	94.56	95.93	96.74
Lead - Standing	87.58	89.01	90.79

Table 10: Test results for schools and day nurseries under O. Reg. 243/07 in 2012-13

Parameter	Total # of results	Total # of lead exceedances	Total # of schools and day nurseries submitting results ¹	Total # of schools and day nurseries with lead exceedances
Lead - Flushed	8,987	293	7,330	170
Lead - Standing	8,841	814	7,326	589

¹ Facilities that share the same plumbing system, known as co-located facilities, may submit a single set of samples. Allowances have been made for facilities to reduce sampling frequency to every 36 months from the required annual testing, based on satisfactory test results.

Lead Testing Results: Municipal Residential Drinking Water Systems and Non-Municipal Year-Round Residential Drinking Water Systems

Under **O. Reg. 170/03** all **municipal residential** and **non-municipal year-round residential drinking water systems** are required to collect and submit samples to laboratories for lead testing in plumbing. The following table shows the number of lead exceedances that were reported to the ministry for these systems in 2012-13.




Table 11: Summary of drinking water test results for lead in plumbing for municipal residential drinking water systems and non-municipal year-round residential drinking water systems in 2012-13

Drinking water facility type ¹	Parameter	Total # of test results	Total # of lead exceedances	Total # of systems submitting results ²	Total # of systems with lead exceedances
Municipal residential systems	Lead in plumbing ³	9,330	445	181	55
Non-municipal year-round residential systems	Lead in plumbing ³	1,622	17	137	11

¹ Systems serving designated facilities are exempt from this requirement.

² Regulatory requirements for testing vary by category and source of water and are identified in O. Reg. 170/03.

³ Samples taken after flushing of system.

As illustrated in the following table, test results for lead in plumbing of **municipal residential drinking water systems** and **non-municipal year-round residential**

drinking water systems show that year after year the vast majority of these systems consistently meet Ontario's standards.

Table 12: Comparison of drinking water test results for lead in plumbing meeting standards for municipal residential drinking water systems and non-municipal year-round residential drinking water systems

Drinking water facility type ¹	2010-11 % meeting standards	2011-12 % meeting standards	2012-13 % meeting standards
Municipal residential systems	95.68	96.96	95.23
Non-municipal year-round residential systems	98.62	98.82	98.95

¹ Systems serving designated facilities are exempt from this requirement.

Corrosion Control for Municipal Residential Drinking Water Systems

Owners and/or operating authorities of municipal residential drinking water systems that serve more than 100 private residences must develop corrosion control plans if:

- more than 10 per cent of all plumbing location test results confirm lead concentrations greater than 10 micrograms per litre in two out of three sampling rounds
- in those two rounds, at least two sample results exceed the standard of 10 micrograms per litre for lead

These plans must be submitted to the ministry for the **Director's** review and approval.

In 2012-13, no new communities were required to prepare corrosion control plans. Of the 20 communities that were included in the last two Chief Drinking Water Inspector's reports (2010-2011 and 2011-2012) significant progress is being made:

- eleven communities have submitted their plans and are currently implementing them.
- six communities are pursuing alternative lead control strategies such as replacing lead service lines.
- the City of Sault Ste. Marie's corrosion control plan is on hold as the city is evaluating additional actions and/or upgrades to their water treatment processes and system.
- the City of Brantford and the Town of Arnprior are working towards modifying their treatment process, which would improve the quality of their drinking water. When the modifications are completed, these communities will be required to carry out two full rounds of community lead sampling. If lead exceedances are still present, corrosion control plans will be prepared.



INSPECTING DRINKING WATER SYSTEMS

Municipal Residential Drinking Water Systems

Ministry staff inspect all **municipal residential drinking water systems** at least once a year to ensure compliance with Ontario's strict regulatory requirements.

The Compliance and Enforcement Regulation (**O. Reg. 242/05**) legislates annual inspections of all municipal residential drinking water systems, with one out of every three inspections being unannounced. The ministry inspects these systems using a proactive, risk-based compliance approach.

The ministry provides inspection reports to municipal residential drinking water system owners and/or **operating authorities** within 45 days of completing inspections, and these reports must be made publicly available by municipalities. If inspectors identify non-compliance issues at a system, the ministry may take actions such as:

- identifying suggestions to address areas of non-compliance in the inspection report
- discussing crucial inspection findings with the owner and/or operator
- providing the inspection report to other affected parties, including the local medical officer of health and the local **conservation authority**
- providing education and outreach on issues that are not directly related to drinking water safety, such as administrative non-compliance issues
- issuing a **provincial officer's order** that requires the system owner and/or operator to take corrective action by a specific deadline
- referring the incident to the ministry's Investigations and Enforcement Branch


INSPECTION RESULTS

In 2012-13, 455 of 666² (or 68 per cent) of municipal residential drinking water systems that were inspected yielded inspection ratings of 100 per cent — an increase of eight per cent compared to the 2011-12 results. Of the total number of systems, 99.7 per cent achieved inspection ratings greater than 80 per cent.



² During the reporting period, some municipal systems ceased to operate or amalgamated their operations. The ministry conducted inspections of these individual systems to ensure compliance prior to ceasing operations or amalgamating.

Figure 3: Year-over-year comparison of municipal residential drinking water system inspection ratings¹



¹ The decline in the total number of municipal residential drinking water systems is due to amalgamations of these systems.

The quality of drinking water and the proper maintenance and operation of these systems are a priority for the ministry. We continue to work with the owners and/or operators of systems with inspection ratings below 100 per cent to assess their regulatory requirements and improve the performance of their systems.

After analysing inspection program results for 2012-13, we identified the following trends in non-compliance and areas that require special attention and improvement ensuring that:

- continuously monitoring equipment is performing tests properly
- all equipment is installed in accordance with the requirements of the system's drinking water works permit
- operating treatment equipment is working properly (e.g., ultraviolet radiation equipment, correct dosage of chlorine is used, etc.)
- secondary disinfection is maintained (e.g., ensuring chlorine residuals do not drop below the required levels)

All drinking water system owners and/or operators have the opportunity to meet with the local water supervisor to discuss any concerns related to their compliance inspection and rating reports.

DEFICIENCIES

In 2012-13, no deficiencies were identified at any municipal residential drinking water systems.

A deficiency is a violation of specified provisions of the Safe Drinking Water Act and its regulations that, in the opinion of the **Director**, could pose a drinking water health hazard. For example, water treatment equipment that is not operating according to provincial standards may impact the quality of drinking water and adversely affect the health of those using the system. Under the act, the system owner and/or operator must take mandatory action within 14 days of an inspector confirming a deficiency at a **municipal residential drinking water system**.

ORDERS AND ORDER RESOLUTIONS

To resolve non-compliance issues at a drinking water system, our inspectors may issue a **contravention order** and/or **preventative measures order** to the system owner and/or operator.

In 2012-13, we issued nine orders to nine municipal residential drinking water systems:

- two contravention orders were issued to two municipal residential drinking water systems as a result of inspections. The orders addressed issues such as a failure to record the flow monitoring data correctly and immediately notify the consumers and the local medical officer of health of the loss of disinfection.
- six preventative measures orders and one contravention order were issued to seven municipal residential drinking water systems as a result of incidents identified outside of an inspection. Some requirements of the orders included removing the end of the watermain from the sewer manhole and having a qualified person survey the water distribution system for additional cross-connections.

Seven systems have complied with the requirements of their orders. The two remaining systems continue to make progress and work towards meeting regulatory requirements. See the **Appendices** for more details on these nine systems.

When potential violations of Ontario’s environmental laws such as the Safe Drinking Water Act occur, ministry inspectors may refer violations to the ministry’s Investigations and Enforcement Branch for follow-up action.




Table 13: Municipal residential drinking water systems that received orders

Systems with inspection-related orders	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13
Total number of inspections of municipal residential drinking water systems	682	676	666
Total number of municipal residential drinking water systems with inspection-related orders	5 ¹	6	2
Systems with non-inspection-related orders ²	4	1	7
Total number of orders issued to municipal residential drinking water systems (inspection and non-inspection)	9	7	9

¹ In 2010-11, three municipal residential drinking water systems were issued preventative measures orders during their inspections.

² Non-inspection-related orders are issued as a result of an issue at a drinking water system that occurred outside of the context of a scheduled inspection.

Non-Municipal Year-Round Residential Drinking Water Systems and Systems Serving Designated Facilities

The ministry conducts proactive, risk-based inspections of **non-municipal year-round residential drinking water systems** and **systems serving designated facilities**. When considering which systems to inspect, the ministry assesses compliance history, number and reasons for adverse water quality incidents and recommendations made by local public health units.

When necessary, ministry staff work with the owners and/or operators of non-municipal year-round residential drinking water systems and those serving designated facilities to address non-compliance issues and to help them understand their regulatory requirements.

INSPECTION RESULTS AND ORDERS

In 2012-13, the ministry inspected 135 of 458³ registered non-municipal year-round residential drinking water systems and issued 10 **contravention orders**.

Also during this period, the ministry inspected 488 of 1,525⁴ registered systems serving designated facilities. Ten contravention orders and one **preventative measures**

order were issued to system owners as a result of these inspections or in response to adverse water quality incidents.

Examples of issues described in the orders include failure to operate a drinking water system with a certified operator, failure to meet minimum treatment requirements, and failure to sample raw water for microbiological parameters according to legislation.

To help these owners and/or operators better understand their legal obligations, we have a range of educational materials including instructional videos and easy-to-follow guides available at www.ontario.ca/drinkingwater.

-
- ³ In 2012-13, some non-municipal year-round residential drinking water systems ceased to operate and/or data was not provided to the ministry.
 - ⁴ The number of designated facilities that submitted samples in 2012-13 was less than those registered for the following reasons: some systems ceased to operate and/or data was not provided to the ministry, while some received drinking water for their cistern from municipal residential drinking water systems which carried out the required sampling on their behalf. Sampling was not required for those systems that posted notices advising people not to drink the water.



Local Services Boards

Local services boards operate drinking water systems in northern communities that do not have municipal government structures. These systems are classified as **non-municipal year-round residential drinking water systems**, but are inspected using a detailed municipal inspection protocol.

INSPECTION RESULTS AND ORDERS


In 2012-13, ministry staff inspected all seven drinking water systems operated by local services boards and no orders were issued as a result of these inspections. One local services board, that received orders in 2005-06 and 2006-07, is constructing and installing a treatment system designed to meet all disinfection requirements as identified in the orders.

Schools and Day Nurseries

Whether they are connected to **municipal residential drinking water systems** or not, we conduct risk-based inspections of schools and day nurseries to minimize potential lead exposure of school children from pipes and plumbing. In 2012-13, the ministry conducted 883 inspections of 11,082 registered schools and day nurseries.

Several years of testing for lead in drinking water indicates that more than 80 per cent of Ontario schools and day nurseries regulated under **O. Reg. 243/07** do not have issues of excess lead in their drinking water and for those that do, appropriate flushing remains part of their routine.

As a result, in August 2012, we established a risk-based compliance strategy focussing resources on the areas of highest risk. To supplement the inspection program, over 10,000 owners and operators of schools and day nurseries were asked to complete and submit an online report to the ministry. The information provides us with valuable profile and compliance information and allows us to take a risk-based approach when targeting schools and day nurseries for inspection. It also helps us determine which facilities need further education and guidance to ensure they fulfil their regulatory requirements.



RISK-BASED COMPLIANCE AUDITS

In 2012-13, the ministry introduced a new component to its O. Reg. 243/07 compliance program in the form of a “compliance audit”. The compliance audit is a review to validate information contained in forms that are submitted to the ministry by owners and/or operators of schools and day nurseries in Ontario. The audit was designed and implemented as an additional compliance check to verify information submitted to the ministry outside of the regular inspection program.

During this time, we conducted 946 compliance audits of the registered schools and day nurseries. No orders were issued as a result of inspections and audits completed during the reporting period.

Schools and day nurseries may be eligible for a reduced flushing and lead testing schedule if they meet certain criteria. If the results of the compliance audit indicated that a facility did not fulfill these criteria, the ministry issued a letter instructing the operator to return to an annual lead sampling and testing schedule until the criteria are met.

INSPECTING LABORATORIES THAT TEST ONTARIO'S DRINKING WATER

Safeguarding Ontario's drinking water includes regular testing and inspections of the laboratories that test Ontario drinking water samples. Laboratories within the province that perform testing of Ontario drinking water must be appropriately accredited, inspected at least twice per year and licensed by the ministry. Eligible laboratories located outside the province must be appropriately accredited and posted on the ministry's Eligibility List, and are inspected at least twice per year. In 2012-13, there were two eligible laboratories on the Eligibility List.

Before the ministry issues a drinking water testing licence to a laboratory, or adds a laboratory to the Eligibility List, the laboratory must meet certain requirements of the Safe Drinking Water Act, such as:

- ability to analyse Ontario's drinking water at prescribed low-level detection limits
- using and applying only ministry-approved referenced analytical methods
- using and applying instrumentation designed to analyse specific parameters in drinking water
- documenting policies and procedures pertaining to the laboratory's regulatory requirements

An important cornerstone of Ontario's multi-barrier approach to safeguard our drinking water is inspecting all 54 laboratories that analyse Ontario's drinking water. Laboratory inspections are necessary to ensure they are operating according to provincial regulations.

Laboratories are inspected at least twice each year, with one of these inspections being unannounced. Ministry staff may also perform inspections if complaints of suspicious test results are received or if non-licensed facilities are found analysing drinking water samples.



Table 14: Summary of laboratory inspections

Inspection type	Laboratory inspections		
	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13
Announced	53	51	53
Unannounced	52 ¹	52 ²	53
Other ³	1	2 ⁴	2
Total	106	105	108
Number of laboratories inspected	53	53	54 ⁵

¹ During 2010-11, one laboratory voluntarily withdrew from the licensing program between its announced and unannounced inspections.

² During 2011-12, one out-of-province laboratory joined the program in progress and received one unannounced inspection.

³ Other inspections included laboratory pre-licensing or relocation inspections.

⁴ During 2011-12, of the two laboratories that received pre-licensing inspections, one did not receive any other inspection as it was granted its drinking water testing licence less than three months before the fiscal year ended.

⁵ During 2012-13, one laboratory that joined the licensing program in the second half of the fiscal year was not inspected; another laboratory voluntarily withdrew its licence in the second half and was not inspected.

We provide laboratories an inspection report within 45 days of completing the inspection. Drinking water testing methods, sample handling, management practices, and reporting of adverse water quality incidents are just some of the areas covered during an inspection.

In 2012-13, two **contravention orders** were issued to one licensed laboratory and one non-licensed facility. The licensed laboratory has complied with the requirements of their order. The non-compliance issues of the non-licensed facility have been referred to the ministry's Investigations and Enforcement Branch for follow up.

Table 15: Summary of orders issued to licensed laboratories and non-licensed facilities

	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13
Licensed laboratories with orders			
Number of licensed laboratories that received inspection-related orders	0	0	0
Number of licensed laboratories that received non-inspection-related orders	1	0	1
Non-licensed facilities with orders			
Number of non-licensed facilities that received non-inspection-related orders	0	0	1
Total number of orders issued to licensed laboratories and non-licensed facilities (inspection and non-inspection)	1	0	2

While inspection results show that laboratories that test Ontario's drinking water continue to perform well, we identified the following areas for improvement:

- development of a policy/procedure to notify impacted drinking water system owners and/or operators that an order or decision was given to suspend/revoke the accreditation of the laboratory
- creation of a policy/procedure to ensure that samples related to drinking water are not filtered before they are analysed
- ensuring that reported results to the system owner are routinely validated to confirm they are the same as those that are submitted electronically to the ministry's Drinking Water Information System and/or Laboratory Results Management Application databases
- maintenance of records and procedures for the calculation of aggregate parameters such as total trihalomethanes

COMPLIANCE AND ENFORCEMENT: ENFORCEMENT RESULTS

Ontario's environmental protection legislation protects communities and the environment. Breaking the law can result in serious penalties.

The Compliance and Enforcement Regulation (**0. Reg. 242/05**) of the Safe Drinking Water Act requires the Ministry of the Environment and Climate Change to fulfill a number of specific activities such as taking mandatory actions and conducting inspections of **municipal**

residential drinking water systems and laboratories that test Ontario's drinking water.

The table below summarizes the ministry's compliance and enforcement activities for 2012-13.

Table 16: Summary of compliance and enforcement activities in 2012-13

Municipal residential drinking water systems
- Inspected all 666 municipal residential drinking water systems and provided inspection reports to required persons within 45 days of completing the inspections. - Ensured that at least one out of every three inspections is unannounced. - Responded as required to adverse water quality incidents or other reported problems.
Laboratories that test Ontario's drinking water
- Inspected all 54 laboratories and provided inspection reports within 45 days of completing the inspections. - Ensured laboratories are inspected at least twice per year with one inspection being unannounced. - Took mandatory action within 14 days of finding an infraction at a laboratory (or immediately in the case of a present drinking water health hazard) – one infraction at a non-licensed facility was found and referred to the ministry's Investigations and Enforcement Branch.

In addition to providing a framework for inspection and compliance activities, the Compliance and Enforcement Regulation also provides the public with the right to request

an investigation of an alleged contravention of the Safe Drinking Water Act or any of its regulations or instruments. In 2012-13, the ministry did not receive any such requests.



CONVICTIONS: CONVICTION RESULTS

We continue to work with our drinking water partners on many fronts to address areas of non-compliance. Our inspectors may refer violations of Ontario's environmental laws, such as the Safe Drinking Water Act, to the ministry's Investigations and Enforcement Branch (the Branch) for follow up and investigation if warranted. The Branch works with Crown Counsel to determine whether to proceed with a prosecution.

For 2012-13, there were 14 convictions of **municipal residential** and **non-municipal year-round residential drinking water systems, systems serving designated facilities** as well as schools and day nurseries which resulted in fines totalling \$300,900.

Table 17: Summary of convictions for drinking water prosecutions by facility type in 2012-13

Facility type	Number of facilities	Total number of cases with convictions ¹	Fines
Municipal residential drinking water systems ²	3	3	\$186,500
Non-municipal year-round residential drinking water systems ³	6	6	\$55,000
Systems serving designated facilities ³	3	3	\$17,900
Schools and day nurseries ³	2	2	\$41,500
Licensed laboratories	0	0	
Total	14	14	\$300,900

¹ A case may involve one or more charges.

² For further details, please see [Appendix 3](#).

³ Includes convictions against legal entities and individuals.

Convictions - Municipal Residential Drinking Water Systems

- The Corporation of the Municipality of West Elgin and three of its water distribution system operators were fined for drinking water violations including providing false information and failing to report adverse test results. Ministry staff inspected and found that the minimum level of chlorine had not been maintained in the drinking water distribution system, log books had been altered and false information had been provided to the ministry. In addition, the operators did not report occurrences to the ministry or the local medical officer of health when chlorine levels in the distribution system were below the minimum level. One operator was sentenced to 30 days in jail and ordered to surrender his certificate to operate a drinking water system and not to work at or operate any business or employment concerning or related to drinking water.
- The Corporation of the Municipality of North Middlesex and two of its operators were fined. Ministry staff inspected the drinking water distribution system and found that the two system operators were operating with expired certificates. The municipality was also responsible for failing to collect a sample following watermain repairs.
- PUC Services Inc., an operating authority responsible for the Blind River drinking water system and providing disinfection of raw water on behalf of the Town of Blind River, failed to immediately report the results of the filtered water quality tests indicating that raw water from the drinking water system was not properly disinfected. Data submitted by the company showed that filtered water quality tests did not meet the criteria for disinfection of raw water.

TRAINING DRINKING WATER SYSTEM PROFESSIONALS

The strength of Ontario's drinking water safety net relies on the skills, experience and performance of those individuals who are responsible for operating our regulated systems. Ontario's drinking water operators are among the best trained in the world, thanks to our stringent certification and training requirements. Operators are required to go through rigorous training, write examinations, and meet mandatory continuing education requirements to renew and maintain their certification.

OPERATOR CERTIFICATION REQUIREMENTS

To be certified, all municipal drinking water operators in Ontario must meet education, training, experience and examination requirements.

In Ontario, a new operator starts as an operator-in-training. Operators-in-training must meet experience requirements and write an examination to become certified for each type of system. A drinking water operator-in-training is also required to complete the ministry's entry-level drinking water operator training course to qualify for higher drinking water certificates.

To help promote careers in the water and wastewater industry, the ministry has agreements with 16 community colleges that offer the mandatory entry-level drinking water operator training course as part of their environmental and engineering programs.

As of December 31, 2013, 1,137 students received the entry-level drinking water operator course certificate. Of these, approximately 27 per cent hold a valid drinking water operator certificate.

Once certified, drinking water operators in Ontario must be trained according to the type and class of facility they operate. The more complex a system is (the higher the class of system), the more training an operator must complete.


If an operator works in more than one type of drinking water system, he or she may hold multiple certificates.

STATISTICS ON DRINKING WATER OPERATORS IN ONTARIO

In 2012-13, 719 new operators received 1,231 new operator-in-training certificates. Of these, eight operator-in-training certificates were issued to seven new First Nations operators⁵.

As of March 31, 2013, 6,340 operators held a total of 8,775 certificates. Of these, 135 were employed as First Nations system operators across the province and held a total of 205 drinking water operator certificates

Figure 4: Number of certified drinking water operators in Ontario



⁵ Given federal responsibility for First Nations reserves, the Government of Ontario does not regulate on-reserve drinking water systems. However, the Ministry of Environment and Climate Change encourages system operators for First Nations communities to achieve provincial certification and provides training through the **Walkerton Clean Water Centre**.

OPERATOR TRAINING AND THE WALKERTON CLEAN WATER CENTRE

The **Walkerton Clean Water Centre** (the Centre) is one of the educational institutions in Ontario that offers operator training programs. The Centre is a state-of-the-art facility providing high-quality hands-on training, classroom training and technology demonstrations.

In addition to delivering the Ministry of the Environment and Climate Change's mandatory courses, the Centre also delivers province-wide training, with a focus on small and remote drinking water systems, including those serving First Nations. In 2012-13, the Centre presented a small systems workshop, in cooperation with the Ontario Water Works Association, to small drinking water system owners, operators and **operating authorities**.

To further enhance opportunities for hands-on, province-wide training, the Centre offers regional maintenance events across the province. **Maintenancefest** is an annual training experience during which participants cycle through several two-hour hands-on training modules and topics that are specific to the operation and maintenance of drinking water systems.

As of March 31, 2014, the Centre has trained more than 48,580 new and existing professionals since it opened in 2004.

DISCIPLINARY ACTIONS

Holding a drinking water certificate grants operators a right to operate in Ontario. With this right comes a responsibility. Operators are expected to follow all applicable laws and to act honestly, competently and with integrity with a view to the public's protection.

If an operator fails to meet these requirements, the ministry is authorized to take disciplinary action. While instances of unethical behaviour tend to be rare, disciplinary actions are necessary to help ensure that public health is protected, and that the integrity of the operator certification program is maintained.

The following table provides details about the disciplinary actions the ministry took against one operator in 2012-13.

Table 18: Disciplinary actions taken in 2012-13

Reason:	Action taken:
Operator removed exam materials from the examination room during a certification exam.	• Certification exam results were not considered valid. • Operator was barred from writing certification exams for one year.





SMALL DRINKING WATER SYSTEMS PROGRAM RESULTS MINISTRY OF HEALTH AND LONG-TERM CARE



MESSAGE FROM THE CHIEF MEDICAL OFFICER OF HEALTH

The Ministry of Health and Long-Term Care continues to share a strong commitment to excellence with the Ministry of the Environment and Climate Change by supporting health units and communities to provide safe drinking water in the province.

Since the 2008 transfer of oversight for small drinking water systems to the Ministry of Health and Long-Term Care, we have moved the yardstick for safeguarding Ontario's drinking water. Through the successful implementation of the Small Drinking Water Systems Program, local public health units have conducted detailed inspections and risk assessments on existing small drinking water systems across Ontario, and those operators each have a system-specific plan to keep their drinking water safe. This customized approach, rather than a one-size-fits-all program, addressed the Ontario Drinking Water Advisory Council's recommendation for a better way to provide safe drinking water from small systems without compromising provincial drinking water standards.

The Ministry of Health and Long-Term Care and local public health units also provide support and input to the Ministry of the Environment and Climate Change on a range of other drinking water initiatives including adverse

drinking water incident response and provincial drinking water quality standards applicable to all systems. This ongoing collaboration and exchange of information and advice to protect public health further fortifies Ontario's drinking water safety net.

The success of the Small Drinking Water Systems Program is now being realized through the identification of and corrective actions taken to reduce adverse water quality incidents in systems that were not previously inspected. Achieving this milestone in drinking water protection was made possible through effective partnerships with provincial and local public health officials.

I want to take this opportunity to thank the local boards of health and all of our partners for their ongoing efforts and leadership to protect the health and safety of all people of Ontario.

Dr. Arlene King, MD, MHSc, FRCPC

Chief Medical Officer of Health⁶

Ministry of Health and Long-Term Care

⁶ Chief Medical Officer of Health from June 2009 to June 2014.

The information in the Small Drinking Water Program Results section was provided by the Ministry of Health and Long-Term Care. For more information, please visit www.health.gov.on.ca/english/public/program/pubhealth/safewater/safewater_resources.html.

RISK ASSESSMENTS

Small drinking water systems are generally stand-alone systems that supply drinking water at public facilities that do not get their drinking water from a **municipal residential drinking water system**. The majority of these facilities are located outside of major metropolitan areas and may include community centres, motels, restaurants, seasonal camps, places of worship and bed and breakfasts.

As of March 31, 2013, there were 11,221 small drinking water systems in Ontario. The number of systems can fluctuate as some systems are decommissioned and new systems are built or come into use.

The Ministry of Health and Long-Term Care’s Small Drinking Water Systems Program is regulated under the Health Protection and Promotion Act and its regulations, and is administered by local boards of health (public health units). Public health inspectors conduct risk assessments of small drinking water systems in the province to determine what owners and/or operators must do to keep their drinking water safe. The small drinking water system owner receives a legally

binding directive that applies to that system, which may include requirements for water sampling, water treatment options, operational checks, and owner and/or operator training.

The risk assessment process involves visiting each small drinking water system to identify and assess risks that may affect the quality of the water produced. Public health inspectors use a web-based application – Risk Categorization Assessment Tool – to conduct the risk assessment. The assessment includes visual inspections of the water source, system equipment and components, and an evaluation of documentation relating to the system’s water testing and historical sampling results. These factors are then taken into consideration in establishing the sampling requirements for a given small drinking water system and determining the level of risk (low, moderate or high).

As of March 31, 2013, 96 per cent⁷ of the Small Drinking Water Systems Program has been implemented. A total of 10,755 risk assessments have been finalized and 466 are in progress.

Table 19: Small drinking water systems risk assessments

Risk assessments		As of March 31, 2012	As of March 31, 2013
Finalized		7,633	10,755
In progress		1,885	466
Categories of finalized risk assessments	High	1,362 (17.84%)	1,789 (16.63%)
	Moderate	1,341 (17.57%)	1,708 (15.88%)
	Low	4,930 (64.59%)	7,258 (67.48%)

The Small Drinking Water Systems Program reflects a risk-based approach for each small drinking water system depending on the level of risk. Risk category is determined by grading factors that are applied to results of a drinking water source and treatment components questionnaire, as well as a distribution component questionnaire. To help ensure that small drinking water systems are providing safe drinking water, systems categorized as “high risk” are monitored through more frequent sampling and testing, and are required to be re-inspected every two years. While moderate and low risk systems are also monitored through routine sampling and re-inspections, drinking water is sampled

and tested at a lower frequency and these systems are re-inspected every four years.

⁷ The reported percentage of finalized risk assessments will fluctuate as new small drinking water systems are built/come into use. The proportion of systems categorized as high, moderate or low risk may also fluctuate. While an initial assessment may result in a high risk categorization, a reassessment may reduce the level of risk if, for example, recommended improvements are taken to reduce the system’s risk. Similarly, a system may need to have another risk assessment, for example, if the water source and/or system’s integrity has been affected by adverse weather events and/or system modifications are made, to determine if the risk level has changed.

ADVERSE WATER QUALITY INCIDENTS FOR SMALL DRINKING WATER SYSTEMS

Small drinking water system operators are required to sample their water supplies for the presence of indicator bacteria (**total coliform** and ***E. coli***) at a frequency outlined in the directive or as set out in regulations. Adverse water quality incidents (AWQIs) can result from an observation (e.g., an observation of treatment malfunction) or an adverse test result.

As the primary contaminant of concern for small drinking water system water is bacteria, small drinking water systems are required to routinely test for microbiological indicator organisms such as total coliform and *E. coli*. Testing for other contaminants such as chemicals (e.g., nitrates) is only required where the risk assessment and resulting directive determines that other possible contaminants could potentially pose a risk. For example, a small drinking water

system that is located near an agricultural or industrial setting may be required to test for additional parameters. Since over 99 per cent of water samples are tested for bacterial contaminants, it is not surprising that the majority of adverse test results reported are microbiological.

Detection of these indicator organisms triggers an adverse water quality response such as notification of users. Further follow-up is immediately taken to determine if the water poses a risk to health if consumed or used. Additional action is also taken as required. In other words, an adverse test result does not mean that users are at risk of becoming sick because immediate precautions are taken based on the initial test result and drinking water advisories are issued where appropriate.

A Small Drinking Water Information System supports the program and has two applications: a Risk Categorization Assessment Tool and a Laboratory Result Management System Application.

The Laboratory Result Management System is used for review of small drinking water systems' sampling compliance, test results and AWQIs. This system, together with the Risk Categorization Assessment Tool, is also used for monitoring the program's implementation. Regular sampling of drinking water systems is performed by operators who then submit the water samples to laboratories for testing. These results are recorded in the Laboratory Result Management System.

In the event of an adverse test result, the laboratory will notify both the owner and/or operator of the small drinking water system and the local public health unit for immediate response to the incident. Details of the AWQI will also be tracked in the Laboratory Result Management System.

For the reporting period of April 1, 2012 to March 31, 2013, 1,173 or about 10 per cent of 11,221 small drinking water systems reported adverse water quality incidents. This number may remain steady or increase initially, as





owners and/or operators continue to comply with sampling requirements in accordance with their directive and institute improvements in their drinking water system. Our adverse water quality incidents data demonstrates the success and value of the Ministry of Health and Long-Term Care's Small Drinking Water Systems Program because adverse incidents are now being systematically captured and appropriate action is taken and tracked to help protect drinking water users.

In 2012-13, 98.78 per cent of test results submitted by laboratories on behalf of small drinking water systems met the provincial standards.

During the period between April 1, 2012 and March 31, 2013, 1,173 small drinking water systems reported a total of 1,316 adverse test results (from a total of 107,755 sample results). These systems also reported 155 adverse water quality incidents identified through other means such as observation of treatment malfunction.

Through the Small Drinking Water Systems Program more operators know how to determine when their water may not be safe to drink and are working closely with public health units to take appropriate corrective actions to protect drinking water users.

Table 20: Summary of test results for small drinking water systems by parameter type for April 1, 2012 to March 31, 2013

Parameter type	Total # of test results	Total # of test results meeting standards	Total # of adverse test results	2012-13 % meeting standards
Microbiological	106,894	105,662	1,232	98.85%
Chemical/Inorganic	648	564	84	87.04%
Organic	213	213	0	100.00%
Total	107,755	106,439	1,316	98.78%

Response To Adverse Water Quality Incidents For Small Drinking Water Systems

When an AWQI is detected through an observation or an adverse sample result, the owner and/or operator of the small drinking water system is required to notify the local medical officer of health and to follow up with any instruction that may be issued by a public health inspector or medical officer of health. The local public health unit will perform a risk analysis and take appropriate action to inform and protect the public.

Response to an AWQI may include issuing a drinking water advisory that will notify potential users whether the water is safe to use and drink or if it requires boiling to render it safe for use. The local public health unit may also provide the owners and/or operators of a drinking water system with instructions on necessary corrective action(s) to be taken on the affected drinking water system to mitigate the risk.

To help minimize the occurrence of AWQIs, the Small Drinking Water Systems Program takes a comprehensive and

proactive approach to helping protect water that comes from small systems. Local public health units provide information to owners and/or operators on:

- how to protect their drinking water at the source by identifying possible contaminants
- how and when to test their water
- treatment options and maintenance of treatment equipment, where necessary
- when and how to notify the public, whether it is a poor water sample test result or equipment that is not working properly
- what actions need to be taken to mitigate a problem

Throughout Ontario, small drinking water system owners and/or operators are working closely with public health inspectors to follow their directives which contain their system-specific plan to protect drinking water users. The Small Drinking Water Systems Program addresses the recommendations from Ontario's Drinking Water Advisory Council to provide safe drinking water from small systems that meets provincial drinking water standards.



LIST OF TABLES AND FIGURES

TABLES

TABLE	PAGE	
1	9	Breakdown of microbiological test results in 2012-13
2	9	Percentage of test results from municipal residential drinking water systems meeting Ontario's Drinking Water Quality Standards, by year, for <i>E. coli</i>
3	10	Number of chemical standard adverse test results by facility type
4	11	Percentage of test results meeting Ontario Drinking Water Quality Standards in municipal residential drinking water systems
5	12	Percentage of test results meeting Ontario Drinking Water Quality Standards in non-municipal year-round residential drinking water systems
6	12	Percentage of test results meeting Ontario Drinking Water Quality Standards in systems serving designated facilities
7	13	Summary of drinking water test results for all facility types in 2012-13
8	14	Summary of adverse water quality incidents by drinking water facility type
9	16	Year-over-year comparison for lead test results meeting Ontario Drinking Water Quality Standards for schools and day nurseries under O. Reg. 243/07
10	16	Test results for schools and day nurseries under O. Reg. 243/07 in 2012-13
11	16	Summary of drinking water test results for lead in plumbing for municipal residential drinking water systems and non-municipal year-round residential drinking water systems in 2012-13
12	17	Comparison of drinking water test results for lead in plumbing meeting standards for municipal residential drinking water systems and non-municipal year-round residential drinking water systems
13	20	Municipal residential drinking water systems that received orders
14	24	Summary of laboratory inspections
15	24	Summary of orders issued to licensed laboratories and non-licensed facilities
16	25	Summary of compliance and enforcement activities in 2012-13
17	26	Summary of convictions for drinking water prosecutions by facility type in 2012-13
18	28	Disciplinary actions taken in 2012-13
19	31	Small drinking water systems risk assessments
20	33	Summary of test results for small drinking water systems by parameter type for April 1, 2012 to March 31, 2013

FIGURES

FIGURE	PAGE	
1	4	Ontario's drinking water safety net
2	7	Trends in percentage of drinking water tests meeting standards, by facility type
3	19	Year-over-year comparison of municipal residential drinking water system inspection ratings
4	27	Number of certified drinking water operators in Ontario

GLOSSARY

Boil water advisory: notice issued by local medical officer of health to advise the community to boil or disinfect water before consumption.

Conservation authorities: local watershed management agencies that deliver services and programs that protect and manage water and other natural resources in partnership with government, landowners and other organizations (www.conservation-ontario.on.ca).

Contravention order: an order a provincial officer may issue under section 105 of the Safe Drinking Water Act if the provincial officer reasonably believes a person is contravening or has contravened a provision of the act or its regulations, an order issued under the act, or a condition in a certificate, permit, licence or approval issued under the act. It may require the ordered party to comply with any directions set out in the order within the time specified.

Director: a person appointed by the Minister of the Environment and Climate Change under section 6 of the Safe Drinking Water Act.

Drinking water advisory: notice issued by a local medical officer of health when a drinking water problem cannot be corrected simply by boiling the water or through disinfection. Under a drinking water advisory, consumers are advised to use another source of drinking water until further notice.

Drinking water systems serving designated facilities: drinking water systems that only serve designated facilities such as schools (elementary and public), universities, colleges, children and youth care facilities (including day nurseries), health care facilities, children's camps and delivery agent care facilities (including certain hostels).

***Escherichia coli (E. coli)*:** a species of bacteria naturally present in the intestines of humans and animals. If animal or human waste containing *E. coli* contaminates drinking water it may cause gastrointestinal disease in humans. Most types of *E. coli* are harmless, but some active strains produce harmful toxins and can cause severe illness.

Local services boards: provide services (including, in some cases, water services) to communities in areas of Northern Ontario without municipal structure. They are established pursuant to the Northern Services Boards Act. Drinking water systems run by local services boards are generally categorized as non-municipal year-round residential drinking water systems under **O. Reg. 170/03**.

Microbiological organism: organisms such as bacteria, protozoa, fungi, viruses and algae.

Municipal residential drinking water systems: drinking water systems (or part of a drinking water system) that are owned by and/or supply water to a municipality, that serve six or more private residences, and that meet other relevant criteria under the Safe Drinking Water Act and its regulations.

Non-municipal year-round residential drinking water systems: drinking water systems that are not municipal systems (and are not seasonal residential systems) that serve six or more private residences or a trailer park or campground with more than five service connections.

Operating authority: with reference to a drinking water system, the person or entity to whom the owner gives responsibility for the operation, management, maintenance and/or alteration of the system.

Preventative measures order: an order that a provincial officer may issue under section 106 of the Safe Drinking Water Act to a person who owns, manages or has control of a municipal drinking water system or a regulated non-municipal drinking water system, if the provincial officer considers it necessary for the purposes of the act. Such an order may be issued in the absence of a contravention, and is used to prevent possible future adverse effects.

Radiological parameter: refers to radionuclides which are an unstable form of a chemical element that decays and results in the emission of nuclear radiation.

Source water protection: action taken to prevent the pollution or depletion of drinking water sources, including groundwater, lakes, rivers and streams. Source water protection under Ontario's Clean Water Act helps ensure public health through developing and implementing local plans to manage land uses and potential contaminants.

Total coliform: a group of waterborne bacteria consisting of three main groups with common characteristics that are used to indicate water quality. The presence of total coliform bacteria in water leaving a treatment plant or in any treated water immediately after treatment could indicate inadequate treatment and possible water contamination.

Water quality: a term used to describe the chemical, physical and biological characteristics of water, usually in respect to its suitability for a particular purpose, such as drinking.

© Queen's Printer for Ontario, 2014

ISSN 1718-5629 (Online)

PIBS# 9663e



ANNUAL REPORT 2012-2013

CHIEF
DRINKING WATER
INSPECTOR

APPENDICES

INSPECTION RATINGS AND DRINKING WATER QUALITY RESULTS

2

Appendix 1: Municipal Residential Drinking Water Systems' 2012-13 Inspection Ratings and Drinking Water Quality Results (Percentage of Tests Meeting Standards)

ORDERS

21

Appendix 2-A-1: Summary of Municipal Residential Drinking Water Systems Receiving Contravention Orders as a Result of an Inspection in 2012-13

21

Appendix 2-A-2: Summary of Municipal Residential Drinking Water Systems Receiving Contravention Orders as a Result of an Incident in 2012-13

22

Appendix 2-B: Summary of Municipal Residential Drinking Water Systems Receiving Preventative Measures Orders as a Result of an Incident in 2012-13

23

Appendix 2-C-1: Summary of Laboratories Licensed to Perform Drinking Water Testing Receiving Contravention Orders in 2012-13

23

Appendix 2-C-2: Summary of Non-licensed Facilities Receiving Contravention Orders in 2012-13

CONVICTIONS

24

Appendix 3: Summary of Municipal Residential Drinking Water System Convictions – April 1, 2012 to March 31, 2013

APPENDIX 1: Municipal Residential Drinking Water Systems' 2012-13 Inspection Ratings and Drinking Water Quality Results (Percentage of Tests Meeting Standards)

Municipal Location (Municipality Where the Drinking Water System is Located)	Drinking Water System Name	2012-13 Inspection Rating	2012-13 Drinking Water Quality (% Tests Meeting Standards)
Adjala-Tosorontio, Township of	Colgan Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Adjala-Tosorontio, Township of	Everett Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Adjala-Tosorontio, Township of	Hockley Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Adjala-Tosorontio, Township of	Lisle Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Adjala-Tosorontio, Township of	Loretto Heights Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Adjala-Tosorontio, Township of	Rosemont Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Adjala-Tosorontio, Township of	Weca Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Ajax, Town of	Oshawa-Whitby-Ajax Drinking Water System-Ajax	100.00%	99.81%
Alfred and Plantagenet, Township of	Lefaire and Plantagenet Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Alfred and Plantagenet, Township of	Wendover Drinking Water System	100.00%	99.23%
Alnwick/Haldimand, Township of	Grafton Drinking Water System	96.97%	100.00%
Amaranth, Township of	Waldemar Drinking Water System	95.68%	99.76%
Amherstburg, Town of	Amherstburg Drinking Water System	95.45%	100.00%
Armstrong, Township of	Earlton Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Arnprior, Town of	Arnprior Drinking Water System	100.00%	99.76%
Arran-Elderslie, Municipality of	Arran-Elderslie Drinking Water System	99.26%	100.00%
Arran-Elderslie, Municipality of	Tara Drinking Water System	96.65%	100.00%
Ashfield-Colborne-Wawanosh, Township of	Benmiller Drinking Water System	100.00%	97.30%
Ashfield-Colborne-Wawanosh, Township of	Century Heights Subdivision Drinking Water System	100.00%	98.44%
Ashfield-Colborne-Wawanosh, Township of	Courtney Subdivision Distribution System	100.00%	99.40%
Ashfield-Colborne-Wawanosh, Township of	Dungannon Drinking Water System	100.00%	99.41%
Ashfield-Colborne-Wawanosh, Township of	Huron Sands Drinking Water System	100.00%	96.15%
Ashfield-Colborne-Wawanosh, Township of	South Lucknow Distribution System	100.00%	99.45%
Asphodel-Norwood, Township of	Norwood Drinking Water System	100.00%	99.78%
Asphodel-Norwood, Township of	Trentview Estates Distribution System	100.00%	100.00%
Assiginack, Township of	Manitowaning Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Assiginack, Township of	Sunsite Estates Subdivision Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Atikokan, Township of	Atikokan Drinking Water System	87.66%	99.88%
Aurora, Town of	Town of Aurora Distribution System	98.18%	99.89%
Aurora, Town of	York Drinking Water System-Aurora	100.00%	100.00%
Aylmer, Town of	Aylmer Distribution System	95.16%	100.00%
Aylmer, Town of	Aylmer Secondary Area Water Supply System	100.00%	100.00%

APPENDIX 1: Municipal Residential Drinking Water Systems' 2012-13 Inspection Ratings and Drinking Water Quality Results (Percentage of Tests Meeting Standards)

Municipal Location (Municipality Where the Drinking Water System is Located)	Drinking Water System Name	2012-13 Inspection Rating	2012-13 Drinking Water Quality (% Tests Meeting Standards)
Bancroft, Town of	Bancroft Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Barrie, City of	Barrie Drinking Water System	100.00%	99.95%
Bayham, Municipality of	Municipality of Bayham Distribution System	100.00%	100.00%
Belleville, City of	Belleville Drinking Water System	100.00%	99.84%
Belleville, City of	Point Anne Hamlet Drinking Water System	100.00%	98.40%
Billings, Township of	Kagawong Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Black River-Matheson, Township of	Holtyre Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Black River-Matheson, Township of	Matheson Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Black River-Matheson, Township of	Ramore Drinking Water System	100.00%	98.84%
Black River-Matheson, Township of	Val Gagne Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Blandford-Blenheim, Township of	Bright Drinking Water System	98.05%	100.00%
Blandford-Blenheim, Township of	Drumbo Drinking Water System	95.78%	100.00%
Blandford-Blenheim, Township of	Plattsville Drinking Water System	96.86%	99.77%
Blandford-Blenheim, Township of	Princeton Manor Cistern System	100.00%	100.00%
Blind River, Town of	Blind River Drinking Water System	98.29%	98.70%
Bluewater, Municipality of	Bluewater Lakeshore Distribution System	100.00%	99.74%
Bluewater, Municipality of	Carriage Lane Drinking Water System	97.62%	100.00%
Bluewater, Municipality of	Harbour Lights Drinking Water System	97.77%	98.77%
Bluewater, Municipality of	Hensall Distribution System	93.09%	100.00%
Bluewater, Municipality of	Zurich Drinking Water System	94.57%	100.00%
Bonnechere Valley, Township of	Eganville Drinking Water System	98.36%	99.65%
Bracebridge, Town of	Bracebridge (Kirby's Beach) Drinking Water System	100.00%	99.87%
Bradford West Gwillimbury, Town of	Bradford/Bondhead Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Brant, County of	Airport Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Brant, County of	Cainsville-King George Road Distribution System-Cainsville Distribution System	100.00%	100.00%
Brant, County of	Cainsville-King George Road Distribution System-King George Road Distribution System	100.00%	100.00%
Brant, County of	Mt. Pleasant Drinking Water System	95.98%	100.00%
Brant, County of	Paris Drinking Water System	99.21%	100.00%
Brant, County of	St. George Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Brantford, City of	City of Brantford Drinking Water System	92.71%	99.89%
Brighton, Municipality of	Brighton Springs Drinking Water System	98.46%	100.00%
Brock, Township of	Beaverton Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Brock, Township of	Cannington Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Brock, Township of	Sunderland Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Brockton, Municipality of	Chepstow Drinking Water System	100.00%	98.98%
Brockton, Municipality of	Lake Rosalind Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%

APPENDIX 1: Municipal Residential Drinking Water Systems' 2012-13 Inspection Ratings and Drinking Water Quality Results (Percentage of Tests Meeting Standards)

Municipal Location (Municipality Where the Drinking Water System is Located)	Drinking Water System Name	2012-13 Inspection Rating	2012-13 Drinking Water Quality (% Tests Meeting Standards)
Brockton, Municipality of	Town of Hanover Drinking Water System	100.00%	99.83%
Brockton, Municipality of	Walkerton Drinking Water System	100.00%	98.62%
Brockville, City of	Brockville Drinking Water System	100.00%	99.92%
Brooke-Alvinston, Municipality of	Alvinston Distribution System	94.27%	100.00%
Bruce Mines, Town of	Bruce Mines Drinking Water System	95.67%	100.00%
Burk's Falls, Village of	Burk's Falls Drinking Water System	81.62%	100.00%
Burlington, City of	South Halton Drinking Water System-Bridgeview Community Distribution System	100.00%	100.00%
Burlington, City of	South Halton Drinking Water System-Burlington	100.00%	100.00%
Burlington, City of	South Halton Drinking Water System-North Aldershot Distribution System	100.00%	100.00%
Burlington, City of	South Halton Drinking Water System-Snake Road Distribution System	100.00%	100.00%
Caledon, Town of	Caledon Village and Alton Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Caledon, Town of	Cheltenham Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Caledon, Town of	Inglewood Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Caledon, Town of	Palgrave-Caledon East Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Callander, Municipality of	Callander Drinking Water System	85.89%	100.00%
Cambridge, City of	Cambridge Distribution System	100.00%	99.97%
Cambridge, City of	Region of Waterloo Drinking Water System-Cambridge	99.43%	100.00%
Carleton Place, Town of	Carleton Place Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Casselman, Village of	Casselman Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Cavan-Monaghan, Township of	Millbrook Drinking Water System	98.38%	100.00%
Central Elgin, Municipality of	Belmont Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Central Elgin, Municipality of	Central Elgin Distribution System	100.00%	99.94%
Central Elgin, Municipality of	Elgin Area Primary Water Supply System	90.54%	100.00%
Central Huron, Municipality of	Auburn Drinking Water System	98.12%	97.79%
Central Huron, Municipality of	Clinton Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Central Huron, Municipality of	Kelly Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Central Huron, Municipality of	McClinchey Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Central Huron, Municipality of	S.A.M. Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Central Huron, Municipality of	Van De Wetering Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Central Manitoulin, Municipality of	Mindemoya Drinking Water System	99.21%	100.00%
Centre Wellington, Township of	Centre Wellington Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Champlain, Township of	Laurentian Park Distribution System	100.00%	100.00%
Champlain, Township of	L'Orignal Distribution System	100.00%	98.20%
Champlain, Township of	Vankleek Hill Distribution System	100.00%	100.00%
Chapleau, Township of	Chapleau Drinking Water System	97.57%	100.00%

APPENDIX 1: Municipal Residential Drinking Water Systems' 2012-13 Inspection Ratings and Drinking Water Quality Results (Percentage of Tests Meeting Standards)

Municipal Location (Municipality Where the Drinking Water System is Located)	Drinking Water System Name	2012-13 Inspection Rating	2012-13 Drinking Water Quality (% Tests Meeting Standards)
Chapple, Township of	Barwick Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Charlton and Dack, Municipality of	Bradley Subdivision Distribution System	100.00%	96.55%
Charlton and Dack, Municipality of	Charlton Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Chatham-Kent, Municipality of	Bothwell Distribution System	100.00%	100.00%
Chatham-Kent, Municipality of	Chatham-Kent Drinking Water System-Chatham	97.89%	99.89%
Chatham-Kent, Municipality of	Chatham-Kent Drinking Water System-South Chatham-Kent	96.75%	99.91%
Chatham-Kent, Municipality of	Chatham-Kent Drinking Water System-Wallaceburg	100.00%	99.79%
Chatham-Kent, Municipality of	Chatham-Kent Drinking Water System-Wheatley	100.00%	100.00%
Chatham-Kent, Municipality of	Highgate Drinking Water System	99.47%	98.92%
Chatham-Kent, Municipality of	North Wallaceburg Distribution System	100.00%	100.00%
Chatham-Kent, Municipality of	Ridgetown Drinking Water System	95.79%	99.57%
Chatsworth, Township of	Chatsworth Drinking Water System	97.22%	100.00%
Chatsworth, Township of	Walter's Falls Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Clarence-Rockland, City of	Rockland Drinking Water System	100.00%	99.71%
Clarington, Municipality of	Bowmanville Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Clarington, Municipality of	Newcastle Drinking Water System	100.00%	99.93%
Clarington, Municipality of	Orono Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Clearview, Township of	Buckingham Woods Drinking Water System	96.88%	100.00%
Clearview, Township of	Colling-Woodlands Drinking Water System	96.91%	100.00%
Clearview, Township of	Creemore Drinking Water System	97.30%	100.00%
Clearview, Township of	New Lowell Drinking Water System	96.94%	99.77%
Clearview, Township of	Nottawa Drinking Water System	97.30%	99.71%
Clearview, Township of	Stayner Drinking Water System	97.30%	99.85%
Cobalt, Town of	Cobalt Drinking Water System	97.05%	99.80%
Cobourg, Town of	Cobourg Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Cochrane, Town of	Cochrane Drinking Water System	97.13%	100.00%
Coleman, Township of	Coleman Distribution System	100.00%	99.80%
Collingwood, Town of	Collingwood Drinking Water System	100.00%	99.78%
Cornwall, City of	Cornwall Drinking Water System	100.00%	99.89%
Cramahe, Township of	Colborne Drinking Water System	99.13%	100.00%
Dawn-Euphemia, Township of	Dawn-Euphemia Distribution System	94.63%	100.00%
Deep River, Town of	Deep River Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Deseronto, Town of	Deseronto Drinking Water System	100.00%	99.60%
Dryden, City of	Dryden Drinking Water System	94.82%	99.74%
Dubreuilville, Township of	Dubreuilville Drinking Water System	94.24%	100.00%
Dutton/Dunwich, Municipality of	Dutton-Dunwich Distribution System	100.00%	100.00%
Ear Falls, Township of	Ear Falls Drinking Water System	79.08%	100.00%

APPENDIX 1: Municipal Residential Drinking Water Systems' 2012-13 Inspection Ratings and Drinking Water Quality Results (Percentage of Tests Meeting Standards)

Municipal Location (Municipality Where the Drinking Water System is Located)	Drinking Water System Name	2012-13 Inspection Rating	2012-13 Drinking Water Quality (% Tests Meeting Standards)
East Garafraxa, Township of	Marsville Subdivision Drinking Water System	95.91%	100.00%
East Gwillimbury, Town of	Holland Landing/Queensville/Sharon Distribution System	100.00%	99.83%
East Gwillimbury, Town of	Mount Albert Distribution System	100.00%	99.73%
East Gwillimbury, Town of	Mount Albert Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
East Gwillimbury, Town of	Yonge-Green Lane Distribution System	100.00%	100.00%
East Gwillimbury, Town of	York Drinking Water System-Holland Landing	100.00%	100.00%
East Gwillimbury, Town of	York Drinking Water System-Queensville	100.00%	100.00%
East Luther Grand Valley, Township of	East Luther Grand Valley Drinking Water System	100.00%	99.71%
East Zorra-Tavistock, Township of	Hickson Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
East Zorra-Tavistock, Township of	Innerkip Drinking Water System	96.98%	99.73%
East Zorra-Tavistock, Township of	Tavistock Drinking Water System	96.94%	100.00%
Edwardsburgh/Cardinal, Township of	Bennett Street Drinking Water System	95.58%	100.00%
Edwardsburgh/Cardinal, Township of	Cardinal Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Edwardsburgh/Cardinal, Township of	Edwardsburgh Industrial Park Distribution System	100.00%	100.00%
Elizabethtown-Kitley, Township of	Elizabethtown-Kitley Distribution System	100.00%	99.92%
Elliot Lake, City of	Elliot Lake Drinking Water System	95.96%	99.57%
Emo, Township of	Emo Drinking Water System	95.08%	100.00%
Englehart, Town of	Englehart Drinking Water System	100.00%	99.78%
Enniskillen, Township of	Enniskillen Township Distribution System	100.00%	100.00%
Erin, Town of	Erin Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Erin, Town of	Hillsburgh Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Espanola, Town of	Espanola Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Essa, Township of	Angus Drinking Water System	98.97%	100.00%
Essa, Township of	Baxter Distribution System	94.73%	100.00%
Essa, Township of	Glen Avenue (Thornton) Drinking Water System	98.85%	100.00%
Essex, Town of	Essex Drinking Water System-Essex Distribution System	100.00%	100.00%
Essex, Town of	Essex Drinking Water System-Harrow-Colchester South	100.00%	100.00%
Fauquier-Strickland, Township of	Fauquier Drinking Water System	96.82%	99.24%
Fort Erie, Town of	Fort Erie Distribution System	100.00%	99.58%
Fort Erie, Town of	Rosehill Drinking Water System	100.00%	99.44%
Fort Frances, Town of	Fort Frances Drinking Water System	95.56%	99.89%
Front of Yonge, Township of	Miller Manor Apartments Drinking Water System	90.76%	100.00%
Galway-Cavendish and Harvey, Township of	Alpine/Pirates Glen Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Galway-Cavendish and Harvey, Township of	Buckhorn Lake Estates Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%

APPENDIX 1: Municipal Residential Drinking Water Systems' 2012-13 Inspection Ratings and Drinking Water Quality Results (Percentage of Tests Meeting Standards)

Municipal Location (Municipality Where the Drinking Water System is Located)	Drinking Water System Name	2012-13 Inspection Rating	2012-13 Drinking Water Quality (% Tests Meeting Standards)
Gananoque, Town of	James W. King Drinking Water System	98.38%	99.62%
Georgian Bay, Township of	Mactier (Beech) Drinking Water System	100.00%	99.80%
Georgian Bay, Township of	Port Severn (Lone Pine) Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Georgian Bluffs, Township of	East Linton Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Georgian Bluffs, Township of	Oxenden Distribution System	100.00%	100.00%
Georgian Bluffs, Township of	Pottawatomi Drinking Water System	89.80%	100.00%
Georgian Bluffs, Township of	Shallow Lake Drinking Water System	97.15%	100.00%
Georgina, Town of	Georgina Drinking Water System-Georgina	100.00%	100.00%
Georgina, Town of	Georgina Drinking Water System-Keswick	100.00%	100.00%
Georgina, Town of	Keswick-Sutton Distribution System	100.00%	99.85%
Goderich, Town of	Goderich Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Gore Bay, Town of	Gore Bay Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Gravenhurst, Town of	Gravenhurst (Muskoka Beach) Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Greater Napanee, Town of	A.L. Dafoe Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Greater Napanee, Town of	Sandhurst Shores Drinking Water System	100.00%	99.27%
Greater Sudbury, City of	Dowling Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Greater Sudbury, City of	Falconbridge Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Greater Sudbury, City of	Onaping/Levack Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Greater Sudbury, City of	Sudbury Drinking Water System-David St.	100.00%	99.81%
Greater Sudbury, City of	Sudbury Drinking Water System-Garson	100.00%	99.85%
Greater Sudbury, City of	Sudbury Drinking Water System-Wahnapitei	100.00%	99.88%
Greater Sudbury, City of	Valley Drinking Water System	96.35%	99.89%
Greater Sudbury, City of	Vermilion Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Greater Sudbury, City of	Vermillion Distribution System	100.00%	99.82%
Greenstone, Municipality of	Beardmore Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Greenstone, Municipality of	Caramat Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Greenstone, Municipality of	Geraldton Drinking Water System	64.62%	99.55%
Greenstone, Municipality of	Longlac Drinking Water System	91.39%	100.00%
Greenstone, Municipality of	Nakina Drinking Water System	87.48%	100.00%
Grey Highlands, Municipality of	Kimberley-Amik-Talisman Drinking Water System	97.29%	100.00%
Grey Highlands, Municipality of	Markdale Drinking Water System	95.74%	100.00%
Grimsby, Town of	Grimsby Distribution System	100.00%	99.93%
Grimsby, Town of	Grimsby Drinking Water System	96.92%	100.00%
Guelph, City of	Guelph Drinking Water System	96.40%	99.96%
Guelph/Eramosa, Township of	Gazer Mooney Subdivision Distribution System	100.00%	100.00%
Guelph/Eramosa, Township of	Hamilton Drive Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Guelph/Eramosa, Township of	Rockwood Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%

APPENDIX 1: Municipal Residential Drinking Water Systems' 2012-13 Inspection Ratings and Drinking Water Quality Results (Percentage of Tests Meeting Standards)

Municipal Location (Municipality Where the Drinking Water System is Located)	Drinking Water System Name	2012-13 Inspection Rating	2012-13 Drinking Water Quality (% Tests Meeting Standards)
Haldimand County	Caledonia and Cayuga Distribution System	100.00%	99.62%
Haldimand County	Dunnville Drinking Water System	97.86%	99.89%
Haldimand County	Nanticoke Drinking Water System	100.00%	99.78%
Halton Hills, Town of	Acton Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Halton Hills, Town of	Georgetown Drinking Water System	100.00%	99.96%
Hamilton, City of	Carlisle Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Hamilton, City of	Freelton Drinking Water System	100.00%	99.89%
Hamilton, City of	Greensville Drinking Water System	100.00%	99.80%
Hamilton, City of	Hamilton Drinking Water System-Fifty Road Distribution System	100.00%	100.00%
Hamilton, City of	Hamilton Drinking Water System-Woodward	100.00%	99.94%
Hamilton, City of	Lynden Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Hamilton, Township of	Camborne Drinking Water System	96.76%	100.00%
Hamilton, Township of	Creighton Heights Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Hamilton, Township of	Hamilton Township Distribution System	100.00%	100.00%
Havelock-Belmont-Methuen, Township of	Havelock Drinking Water System	99.06%	100.00%
Hawkesbury, Town of	Hawkesbury Drinking Water System	100.00%	99.90%
Hearst, Town of	Hearst Drinking Water System	100.00%	99.68%
Highlands East, Municipality of	Cardiff Drinking Water System	100.00%	99.77%
Highlands East, Municipality of	Dyno Estates Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Hilton Beach, Village of	Hilton Beach Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Hornepayne, Township of	Herbert Avenue Drinking Water System	100.00%	99.79%
Huntsville, Town of	Huntsville (Fairview) Drinking Water System	100.00%	99.77%
Huntsville, Town of	Port Sydney (Clarke Well) Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Huron East, Municipality of	Brucefield Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Huron East, Municipality of	Brussels Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Huron East, Municipality of	Seaforth Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Huron East, Municipality of	Vanastra Distribution System	100.00%	100.00%
Huron-Kinloss, Township of	Huronville Subdivision Distribution System	100.00%	100.00%
Huron-Kinloss, Township of	Lakeshore Drinking Water System	100.00%	99.40%
Huron-Kinloss, Township of	Lucknow Drinking Water System	100.00%	99.45%
Huron-Kinloss, Township of	Ripley Drinking Water System	100.00%	99.51%
Huron-Kinloss, Township of	Whitechurch Drinking Water System	95.62%	99.48%
Ignace, Township of	Ignace Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Ingersoll, Town of	Ingersoll Drinking Water System	96.03%	100.00%
Innisfil, Town of	Alcona Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Innisfil, Town of	Churchill Drinking Water System	100.00%	99.49%
Innisfil, Town of	Cookstown Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%

APPENDIX 1: Municipal Residential Drinking Water Systems' 2012-13 Inspection Ratings and Drinking Water Quality Results (Percentage of Tests Meeting Standards)

Municipal Location (Municipality Where the Drinking Water System is Located)	Drinking Water System Name	2012-13 Inspection Rating	2012-13 Drinking Water Quality (% Tests Meeting Standards)
Innisfil, Town of	Goldcrest Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Innisfil, Town of	Golf Haven Drinking Water System	100.00%	Not available ¹
Innisfil, Town of	Innisfil Heights Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Innisfil, Town of	Stroud Drinking Water System	100.00%	99.62%
Iroquois Falls, Town of	Iroquois Falls Drinking Water System	100.00%	99.78%
Iroquois Falls, Town of	Monteith Correctional Complex Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Iroquois Falls, Town of	Monteith Distribution System	100.00%	100.00%
Iroquois Falls, Town of	Porquis Junction Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
James, Township of	Elk Lake Drinking Water System	100.00%	98.88%
Johnson, Township of	Desbarats Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Kapuskasing, Town of	Kapuskasing Drinking Water System	100.00%	99.63%
Kawartha Lakes, City of	Birchpoint Estates Drinking Water System	96.89%	100.00%
Kawartha Lakes, City of	Bobcaygeon Drinking Water System	99.41%	99.81%
Kawartha Lakes, City of	Canadiana Shores Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Kawartha Lakes, City of	Fenelon Falls Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Kawartha Lakes, City of	Janetville Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Kawartha Lakes, City of	King's Bay Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Kawartha Lakes, City of	Kinmount Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Kawartha Lakes, City of	Lindsay Drinking Water System	95.50%	99.94%
Kawartha Lakes, City of	Manilla Drinking Water System	96.77%	100.00%
Kawartha Lakes, City of	Manorview Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Kawartha Lakes, City of	Mariposa Estates Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Kawartha Lakes, City of	Norland Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Kawartha Lakes, City of	Omeme Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Kawartha Lakes, City of	Pinewood Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Kawartha Lakes, City of	Pleasant Point Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Kawartha Lakes, City of	Sonya Village Subdivision Drinking Water System	93.47%	100.00%
Kawartha Lakes, City of	Southview Estates Drinking Water System	100.00%	99.77%
Kawartha Lakes, City of	Victoria Place Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Kawartha Lakes, City of	Western Trent/Palmina Drinking Water System	93.22%	100.00%
Kawartha Lakes, City of	Woodfield Drinking Water System	95.75%	100.00%
Kawartha Lakes, City of	Woodville Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Kenora, City of	Kenora Area Drinking Water System	87.01%	99.80%
Killaloe, Hagarty and Richards, Township of	Killaloe Drinking Water System	100.00%	99.28%
Killarney, Municipality of	Killarney Drinking Water System	96.89%	100.00%
Kincardine, Municipality of	Armow Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%

¹ Drinking water quality data is not available for this system as it ceased operations in the 2011-12 fiscal year.

APPENDIX 1: Municipal Residential Drinking Water Systems' 2012-13 Inspection Ratings and Drinking Water Quality Results (Percentage of Tests Meeting Standards)

Municipal Location (Municipality Where the Drinking Water System is Located)	Drinking Water System Name	2012-13 Inspection Rating	2012-13 Drinking Water Quality (% Tests Meeting Standards)
Kincardine, Municipality of	Kincardine Drinking Water System	100.00%	99.86%
Kincardine, Municipality of	Scott Point Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Kincardine, Municipality of	Tiverton Drinking Water System	95.82%	100.00%
Kincardine, Municipality of	Underwood Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
King, Township of	Ansnoerveldt Distribution System	88.00%	99.55%
King, Township of	Ansnoerveldt Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
King, Township of	King City Distribution System	91.03%	99.80%
King, Township of	King City Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
King, Township of	Nobleton Distribution System	100.00%	99.53%
King, Township of	Nobleton Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
King, Township of	Schomberg Distribution System	91.03%	99.70%
King, Township of	Schomberg Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Kingston, City of	Cana Drinking Water System	100.00%	98.68%
Kingston, City of	Kingston Drinking Water System-King Street	100.00%	99.91%
Kingston, City of	Kingston Drinking Water System-Point Pleasant	100.00%	100.00%
Kingsville, Town of	Kingsville Distribution System	100.00%	100.00%
Kingsville, Town of	Union Area Water Supply System	100.00%	100.00%
Kirkland Lake, Town of	Kirkland Lake Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Kitchener, City of	Kitchener Distribution System	87.61%	99.70%
Kitchener, City of	Region of Waterloo Drinking Water System-Kitchener	100.00%	100.00%
Kitchener, City of	Region of Waterloo Drinking Water System-Mannheim	100.00%	100.00%
Lake of Bays, Township of	Birch Glen (Baysville) Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Lakeshore, Town of	Town of Lakeshore Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Lakeshore, Town of	Town of Lakeshore Drinking Water System-Stoney Point	97.58%	99.88%
Lakeshore, Town of	Town of Lakeshore Drinking Water System-Tecumseh Distribution System	100.00%	100.00%
Lakeshore, Town of	Town of Lakeshore Drinking Water System-Union Distribution System	100.00%	100.00%
Lambton Shores, Municipality of	East Lambton Shores Distribution System	84.74%	99.94%
Lambton Shores, Municipality of	West Lambton Shores Distribution System	86.79%	99.81%
Larder Lake, Township of	Larder Lake Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
LaSalle, Town of	Town of LaSalle Distribution System	100.00%	100.00%
Latchford, Town of	Latchford Drinking Water System	96.77%	100.00%
Laurentian Hills, Town of	Chalk River Drinking Water System	100.00%	99.60%
Laurentian Valley, Township of	Laurentian Valley Distribution System	100.00%	99.56%
Leamington, Municipality of	Leamington Distribution System-Union Distribution System	100.00%	100.00%

APPENDIX 1: Municipal Residential Drinking Water Systems' 2012-13 Inspection Ratings and Drinking Water Quality Results (Percentage of Tests Meeting Standards)

Municipal Location (Municipality Where the Drinking Water System is Located)	Drinking Water System Name	2012-13 Inspection Rating	2012-13 Drinking Water Quality (% Tests Meeting Standards)
Leamington, Municipality of	Leamington Distribution System-Wheatley Distribution System	100.00%	100.00%
Leeds and the Thousand Islands, Township of	Lansdowne Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Lincoln, Town of	Beamsville Distribution System	100.00%	99.28%
Lincoln, Town of	Jordan-Vineland Distribution System	100.00%	99.82%
London, City of	City of London Distribution System	95.83%	99.88%
Loyalist, Township of	Bath Drinking Water System	100.00%	99.83%
Loyalist, Township of	Fairfield Drinking Water System	100.00%	99.91%
Lucan Biddulph, Township of	Lucan Biddulph Distribution System	91.11%	100.00%
Macdonald, Meredith and Aberdeen Additional, Township of	Echo Bay Drinking Water System	96.84%	100.00%
Machin, Township of	Vermilion Bay Drinking Water System	99.45%	100.00%
Madawaska Valley, Township of	Barry's Bay Drinking Water System	100.00%	99.60%
Madoc, Township of	Madoc Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Malahide, Township of	Malahide Distribution System	100.00%	99.70%
Malahide, Township of	Port Burwell Area Secondary Water Supply System	100.00%	100.00%
Manitouwadge, Township of	Manitouwadge Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Mapleton, Township of	Drayton Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Mapleton, Township of	Moorefield Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Marathon, Town of	Marathon Drinking Water System	98.29%	100.00%
Markham, Town of	Markham Distribution System	100.00%	99.82%
Markham/Richmond Hill/Vaughan, Municipalities of	York Drinking Water System-York Distribution System	100.00%	100.00%
Markstay-Warren, Municipality of	Markstay Distribution System	94.56%	98.83%
Markstay-Warren, Municipality of	Warren Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Marmora and Lake, Municipality of	Deloro Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Marmora and Lake, Municipality of	Marmora Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Matachewan, Township of	Matachewan Drinking Water System	100.00%	99.13%
Mattawa, Town of	Mattawa Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Mattice-Val Côté, Township of	Mattice Drinking Water System	100.00%	99.49%
McDougall, Township of	McDougall Nobel Distribution System	100.00%	100.00%
McGarry, Township of	Virginiatown-Kearns Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Meaford, Municipality of	Leith Distribution System	99.22%	100.00%
Meaford, Municipality of	Meaford Drinking Water System	96.24%	100.00%
Merrickville-Wolford, Village of	Merrickville Drinking Water System	100.00%	99.73%
Middlesex Centre, Municipality of	Birr Drinking Water System	99.45%	100.00%
Middlesex Centre, Municipality of	Melrose Drinking Water System	97.15%	100.00%

APPENDIX 1: Municipal Residential Drinking Water Systems' 2012-13 Inspection Ratings and Drinking Water Quality Results (Percentage of Tests Meeting Standards)

Municipal Location (Municipality Where the Drinking Water System is Located)	Drinking Water System Name	2012-13 Inspection Rating	2012-13 Drinking Water Quality (% Tests Meeting Standards)
Middlesex Centre, Municipality of	Middlesex Centre Distribution System-Middlesex Centre Distribution System	100.00%	100.00%
Midland, Town of	Midland Drinking Water System	99.49%	100.00%
Milton, Town of	Campbellville Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Milton, Town of	South Halton Drinking Water System-Milton	100.00%	99.94%
Minden Hills, Township of	Lutterworth Pines Drinking Water System	96.83%	100.00%
Minden Hills, Township of	Minden Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Minto, Town of	Clifford Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Minto, Town of	Harriston Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Minto, Town of	Minto Pines Subdivision Drinking Water System	99.39%	100.00%
Minto, Town of	Palmerston Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Mississauga, City of	South Peel Drinking Water System-Lakeview	98.72%	99.94%
Mississauga, City of	South Peel Drinking Water System-Lorne Park	97.40%	99.79%
Mississippi Mills, Town of	Mississippi Mills Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Mono, Town of	Cardinal Woods Drinking Water System	94.72%	100.00%
Mono, Town of	Island Lake Drinking Water System	95.87%	100.00%
Montague, Township of	Montague Distribution System	98.23%	100.00%
Moonbeam, Township of	Moonbeam Drinking Water System	93.69%	100.00%
Moosonee, Town of	Moosonee Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Morris-Turnberry, Municipality of	Belgrave Drinking Water System	100.00%	99.70%
Mulmur, Township of	Mansfield Drinking Water System	93.45%	100.00%
Muskoka Lakes, Township of	Bala (Minto) Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Muskoka Lakes, Township of	Port Carling (Ferndale) Drinking Water System	100.00%	99.80%
Nairn and Hyman, Township of	Nairn Centre Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
New Tecumseth, Town of	Alliston Drinking Water System	98.41%	100.00%
New Tecumseth, Town of	Tottenham Drinking Water System	98.37%	99.37%
Newbury, Village of	Newbury (West Elgin Area Water Supply) Distribution System	100.00%	100.00%
Newmarket, Town of	Newmarket Distribution System	100.00%	99.92%
Newmarket, Town of	York Drinking Water System-Newmarket	100.00%	100.00%
Niagara Falls, City of	City of Niagara Falls Distribution System	89.32%	99.89%
Niagara Falls, City of	Decew Falls-Niagara Falls Drinking Water System-Niagara Falls	100.00%	99.73%
Niagara-on-the-Lake, Town of	Bevan Heights Distribution System	96.71%	100.00%
Niagara-on-the-Lake, Town of	Niagara-on-the-Lake Distribution System	88.07%	99.71%
Nipigon, Township of	Nipigon Drinking Water System	93.86%	100.00%
Norfolk County	Delhi Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Norfolk County	Port Dover Drinking Water System	97.15%	99.59%
Norfolk County	Port Rowan Drinking Water System	100.00%	99.81%

APPENDIX 1: Municipal Residential Drinking Water Systems' 2012-13 Inspection Ratings and Drinking Water Quality Results (Percentage of Tests Meeting Standards)

Municipal Location (Municipality Where the Drinking Water System is Located)	Drinking Water System Name	2012-13 Inspection Rating	2012-13 Drinking Water Quality (% Tests Meeting Standards)
Norfolk County	Simcoe Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Norfolk County	Waterford Drinking Water System	100.00%	99.81%
North Bay, City of	North Bay Drinking Water System	94.91%	100.00%
North Dumfries, Township of	Ayr Drinking Water System	100.00%	99.85%
North Dumfries, Township of	Branchton Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
North Dumfries, Township of	Region of Waterloo Drinking Water System-Lloyd Brown Distribution System	100.00%	99.19%
North Dumfries, Township of	Roseville Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
North Dundas, Township of	Chesterville Drinking Water System	100.00%	99.87%
North Dundas, Township of	Winchester Drinking Water System	Not available ²	100.00%
North Glengarry, Township of	Alexandria Drinking Water System	100.00%	99.63%
North Glengarry, Township of	Glen Robertson Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
North Grenville, Municipality of	Kemptville Drinking Water System	100.00%	99.61%
North Huron, Township of	Blyth Drinking Water System	96.98%	99.55%
North Huron, Township of	Wingham Drinking Water System	96.87%	100.00%
North Middlesex, Municipality of	North Middlesex Distribution System	88.33%	100.00%
North Perth, Municipality of	Atwood Drinking Water System	96.90%	100.00%
North Perth, Municipality of	Gowanstown Subdivision Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
North Perth, Municipality of	Listowel Drinking Water System	96.97%	99.70%
North Perth, Municipality of	Molesworth Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
North Stormont, Township of	Crysler Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
North Stormont, Township of	Finch Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
North Stormont, Township of	Moose Creek Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Northeastern Manitoulin and The Islands, Town of	Little Current Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Northeastern Manitoulin and The Islands, Town of	Sheguiandah Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Northern Bruce Peninsula, Municipality of	Lion's Head Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Norwich, Township of	Norwich Drinking Water System	98.41%	99.82%
Norwich, Township of	Otterville-Springford Drinking Water System	93.10%	99.57%
Oakville, Town of	South Halton Drinking Water System-Burloak	100.00%	100.00%
Oakville, Town of	South Halton Drinking Water System-Oakville	100.00%	100.00%
Oakville, Town of	South Halton Drinking Water System-South Halton Distribution System	100.00%	99.94%
Oil Springs, Village of	Oil Springs Water Distribution System	98.21%	100.00%
Oliver Paipoonge, Municipality of	Roslyn Village Subdivision Drinking Water System	95.64%	100.00%
Opasatika, Township of	Opasatika Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%

² Inspection rating is not available for this system as it was amalgamated with the Chesterville Drinking Water System.

APPENDIX 1: Municipal Residential Drinking Water Systems' 2012-13 Inspection Ratings and Drinking Water Quality Results (Percentage of Tests Meeting Standards)

Municipal Location (Municipality Where the Drinking Water System is Located)	Drinking Water System Name	2012-13 Inspection Rating	2012-13 Drinking Water Quality (% Tests Meeting Standards)
Orangeville, Town of	Orangeville Drinking Water System	92.87%	100.00%
Orillia, City of	Orillia Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Oro-Medonte, Township of	Canterbury Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Oro-Medonte, Township of	Cedar Brook Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Oro-Medonte, Township of	Craighurst Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Oro-Medonte, Township of	Harbourwood Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Oro-Medonte, Township of	Horseshoe Highlands Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Oro-Medonte, Township of	Maplewood Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Oro-Medonte, Township of	Medonte Hills Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Oro-Medonte, Township of	Robin Crest Drinking Water System	97.19%	99.75%
Oro-Medonte, Township of	Shanty Bay Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Oro-Medonte, Township of	Sugar Bush Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Oro-Medonte, Township of	Warminster Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Oshawa, City of	Oshawa-Whitby-Ajax Drinking Water System-Oshawa	100.00%	99.87%
Otonabee-South Monaghan, Township of	Elgeti and Crystal Springs Subdivisions Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Otonabee-South Monaghan, Township of	Keene Heights Subdivision Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Ottawa, City of	Carp Drinking Water System	100.00%	99.89%
Ottawa, City of	Central Drinking Water System-Britannia	100.00%	99.74%
Ottawa, City of	Central Drinking Water System-Lemieux Island	100.00%	99.94%
Ottawa, City of	Kings Park Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Ottawa, City of	Munster Hamlet Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Ottawa, City of	Shadow Ridge Drinking Water System	96.82%	100.00%
Ottawa, City of	Vars Drinking Water System	100.00%	99.76%
Owen Sound, City of	Owen Sound Drinking Water System	96.21%	100.00%
Parry Sound, Town of	Parry Sound Drinking Water System	94.12%	100.00%
Pelham, Town of	Pelham Distribution System	100.00%	100.00%
Pembroke, City of	Pembroke Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Penetanguishene, Town of	Lepage Subdivision (Penetanguishene) Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Penetanguishene, Town of	Payette (Penetanguishene) Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Perth East, Township of	Milverton Drinking Water System	89.15%	100.00%
Perth East, Township of	Shakespeare Drinking Water System	90.77%	100.00%
Perth South, Township of	Sebringville Drinking Water System	89.56%	97.65%
Perth South, Township of	St. Pauls Drinking Water System	91.01%	97.87%
Perth, Town of	Perth Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%

APPENDIX 1: Municipal Residential Drinking Water Systems' 2012-13 Inspection Ratings and Drinking Water Quality Results (Percentage of Tests Meeting Standards)

Municipal Location (Municipality Where the Drinking Water System is Located)	Drinking Water System Name	2012-13 Inspection Rating	2012-13 Drinking Water Quality (% Tests Meeting Standards)
Petawawa, Town of	Petawawa Drinking Water System	100.00%	99.44%
Peterborough, City of	Peterborough Drinking Water System	100.00%	99.87%
Petrolia, Town of	Petrolia Drinking Water System	85.46%	100.00%
Pickle Lake, Township of	Pickle Lake Drinking Water System	99.44%	100.00%
Plympton-Wyoming, Town of	Plympton-Wyoming Distribution System	100.00%	100.00%
Point Edward, Village of	Village of Point Edward Distribution System	95.09%	99.70%
Port Colborne, City of	Port Colborne Distribution System	100.00%	99.94%
Port Colborne, City of	Port Colborne Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Port Hope, Municipality of	Port Hope Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Powassan, Municipality of	Powassan Drinking Water System	87.45%	100.00%
Prescott, Town of	Prescott Drinking Water System	95.73%	100.00%
Prince Edward, County of	Ameliasburgh Hamlet Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Prince Edward, County of	Consecon/Carrying Place Distribution System	100.00%	99.23%
Prince Edward, County of	Fenwood Gardens/Rossmore Distribution System	100.00%	99.63%
Prince Edward, County of	Peats Point Subdivision Drinking Water System	100.00%	98.80%
Prince Edward, County of	Picton Drinking Water System	97.15%	99.84%
Prince Edward, County of	Wellington Drinking Water System	98.96%	99.59%
Quinte West, City of	Bayside Drinking Water System	94.84%	100.00%
Quinte West, City of	Frankford-Batawa Drinking Water System	100.00%	99.81%
Quinte West, City of	Trenton Drinking Water System	100.00%	99.90%
Rainy River, Town of	Rainy River Drinking Water System	100.00%	99.50%
Ramara, Township of	Bayshore Village Subdivision Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Ramara, Township of	Brechin & Lagoon City Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Ramara, Township of	Davy Drive Subdivision Drinking Water System	92.96%	100.00%
Ramara, Township of	Park Lane Subdivision Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Ramara, Township of	Somerset/ Knob Hill Distribution System	100.00%	100.00%
Ramara, Township of	South Ramara Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Ramara, Township of	Val Harbour Subdivision Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Red Lake, Municipality of	Balmertown Cochenour Mackenzie Island Drinking Water System	92.97%	100.00%
Red Lake, Municipality of	Madsen Drinking Water System	96.24%	100.00%
Red Lake, Municipality of	Red Lake Drinking Water System	100.00%	99.63%
Red Rock, Township of	Red Rock Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Renfrew, Town of	Renfrew Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Richmond Hill, Town of	Richmond Hill Distribution System	100.00%	99.87%
Russell, Township of	Russell Distribution System	93.09%	99.77%
Sables-Spanish Rivers, Township of	Massey Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Sarnia, City of	Lambton Area Water Supply System	84.58%	100.00%

APPENDIX 1: Municipal Residential Drinking Water Systems' 2012-13 Inspection Ratings and Drinking Water Quality Results (Percentage of Tests Meeting Standards)

Municipal Location (Municipality Where the Drinking Water System is Located)	Drinking Water System Name	2012-13 Inspection Rating	2012-13 Drinking Water Quality (% Tests Meeting Standards)
Sarnia, City of	Sarnia Distribution System	96.15%	99.86%
Saugeen Shores, Town of	Saugeen Shores Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Sault Ste. Marie, City of	Sault Ste. Marie Drinking Water System	99.08%	99.97%
Schreiber, Township of	Schreiber Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Scugog, Township of	Blackstock Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Scugog, Township of	Greenbank Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Scugog, Township of	Port Perry Drinking Water System	100.00%	99.91%
Severn, Township of	Bass Lake Woodlands Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Severn, Township of	Coldwater Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Severn, Township of	Sandcastle Estates Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Severn, Township of	Severn Estates Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Severn, Township of	Washago Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Severn, Township of	West Shore Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Shelburne, Town of	Shelburne Drinking Water System	99.21%	100.00%
Sioux Lookout, Municipality of	Hudson Drinking Water System	98.49%	100.00%
Sioux Lookout, Municipality of	Sioux Lookout Urban Drinking Water System	100.00%	99.73%
Smith-Ennismore-Lakefield, Township of	Lakefield Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Smith-Ennismore-Lakefield, Township of	Woodland Acres Subdivision Distribution System	100.00%	99.78%
Smiths Falls, Town of	Smiths Falls Drinking Water System	100.00%	99.89%
Smooth Rock Falls, Town of	Smooth Rock Falls Drinking Water System	91.53%	99.55%
South Bruce Peninsula, Town of	Amabel-Sauble Drinking Water System	97.37%	100.00%
South Bruce Peninsula, Town of	Foreman Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
South Bruce Peninsula, Town of	Huron Woods Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
South Bruce Peninsula, Town of	Oliphant Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
South Bruce Peninsula, Town of	Warton Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
South Bruce, Municipality of	Mildmay Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
South Bruce, Municipality of	Teeswater Drinking Water System	99.16%	99.79%
South Dundas, Township of	South Dundas Regional Drinking Water System	100.00%	99.59%
South Frontenac, Township of	Sydenham Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
South Glengarry, Township of	Glen Walter Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
South Glengarry, Township of	Lancaster Drinking Water System	96.69%	100.00%
South Glengarry, Township of	Redwood Estates Drinking Water System	100.00%	99.21%
South Huron, Municipality of	Lake Huron Primary Water Supply System	97.35%	99.92%
South Huron, Municipality of	South Huron Distribution System	100.00%	100.00%
South River, Village of	South River Drinking Water System	90.50%	100.00%
South Stormont, Township of	Long Sault/Ingleside Regional Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%

APPENDIX 1: Municipal Residential Drinking Water Systems' 2012-13 Inspection Ratings and Drinking Water Quality Results (Percentage of Tests Meeting Standards)

Municipal Location (Municipality Where the Drinking Water System is Located)	Drinking Water System Name	2012-13 Inspection Rating	2012-13 Drinking Water Quality (% Tests Meeting Standards)
South Stormont, Township of	Newington Drinking Water System	96.89%	100.00%
South Stormont, Township of	St. Andrews/Rosedale Terrace Distribution System	100.00%	100.00%
Southgate, Township of	Dundalk Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Southwest Middlesex, Municipality of	Southwest Middlesex Distribution System	99.22%	100.00%
South-West Oxford, Township of	Beachville Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
South-West Oxford, Township of	Brownsville Drinking Water System	96.44%	99.74%
South-West Oxford, Township of	Dereham Centre Drinking Water System	97.50%	100.00%
South-West Oxford, Township of	Mount Elgin Drinking Water System	96.48%	100.00%
Southwold, Township of	Southwold Distribution System	100.00%	100.00%
Spanish, Town of	Spanish Drinking Water System	94.96%	99.81%
Springwater, Township of	Anten Mills Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Springwater, Township of	Del Trend Subdivision Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Springwater, Township of	Elmvale Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Springwater, Township of	Hillsdale Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Springwater, Township of	Midhurst Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Springwater, Township of	Minesing Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Springwater, Township of	Phelpston Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Springwater, Township of	Snow Valley Highlands Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Springwater, Township of	Vespra Downs Subdivision Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
St. Catharines, City of	Decew Falls-Niagara Falls Drinking Water System-Decew Falls	100.00%	99.92%
St. Catharines, City of	St. Catharines Distribution System	100.00%	99.87%
St. Clair, Township of	St. Clair Distribution System	88.79%	100.00%
St. Joseph, Township of	Richards Landing Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
St. Marys, Town of	St. Marys Drinking Water System	97.40%	100.00%
St. Thomas, City of	City of St. Thomas Distribution System	100.00%	99.94%
St. Thomas, City of	St. Thomas Area Secondary Water Supply System	100.00%	100.00%
Stirling-Rawdon, Township of	Stirling Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Stratford, City of	Stratford Drinking Water System	97.33%	98.98%
Strathroy-Caradoc, Township of	Strathroy-Caradoc Distribution System-Strathroy Distribution System	93.31%	100.00%
Tay, Township of	Rope Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Tay, Township of	Victoria Harbour Drinking Water System	86.16%	99.74%
Tecumseh, Town of	Tecumseh Distribution System	100.00%	100.00%
Tehkummah, Township of	South Baymouth Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Temagami, Municipality of	Temagami North Drinking Water System	96.90%	100.00%

APPENDIX 1: Municipal Residential Drinking Water Systems' 2012-13 Inspection Ratings and Drinking Water Quality Results (Percentage of Tests Meeting Standards)

Municipal Location (Municipality Where the Drinking Water System is Located)	Drinking Water System Name	2012-13 Inspection Rating	2012-13 Drinking Water Quality (% Tests Meeting Standards)
Temagami, Municipality of	Temagami South Drinking Water System	92.24%	100.00%
Temiskaming Shores, City of	Dymond Drinking Water System	93.90%	100.00%
Temiskaming Shores, City of	Haileybury Drinking Water System	92.37%	100.00%
Temiskaming Shores, City of	New Liskeard Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Terrace Bay, Township of	Terrace Bay Drinking Water System	89.86%	100.00%
Thames Centre, Municipality of	Dorchester Drinking Water System	99.50%	100.00%
Thames Centre, Municipality of	Thorndale Drinking Water System	100.00%	99.40%
The Blue Mountains, Town of	Thornbury Drinking Water System	100.00%	99.92%
The Nation, Municipality of	Limoges Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
The Nation, Municipality of	St. Isidore Distribution System	100.00%	100.00%
The North Shore, Township of	Pronto East Subdivision Drinking Water System	96.31%	100.00%
The North Shore, Township of	Serpent River Drinking Water System	100.00%	98.65%
Thessalon, Town of	Thessalon Drinking Water System	100.00%	99.64%
Thorold, City of	Thorold (Decew) Distribution System	100.00%	100.00%
Thorold, City of	Thorold (Port Robinson) Distribution System	94.63%	100.00%
Thunder Bay, City of	Bare Point Road Drinking Water System	96.04%	99.83%
Tillsonburg, Town of	Tillsonburg Drinking Water System	96.17%	99.93%
Timmins, City of	Timmins Drinking Water System	100.00%	99.95%
Tiny, Township of	Bluewater Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Tiny, Township of	Castle Cove Drinking Water System	95.76%	100.00%
Tiny, Township of	Cook's Lake Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Tiny, Township of	Georgian Bay Estates Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Tiny, Township of	Georgian Sands Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Tiny, Township of	Lafontaine Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Tiny, Township of	Lefaive Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Tiny, Township of	McMahan Drinking Water System	Not available ³	Not available ³
Tiny, Township of	Pennorth Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Tiny, Township of	Perkinsfield Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Tiny, Township of	Rayko Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Tiny, Township of	Sawlog Bay Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Tiny, Township of	Tee Pee Point Drinking Water System	96.27%	100.00%
Tiny, Township of	Thunder Bay Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Tiny, Township of	Vanier Woods Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Tiny, Township of	Whip-Poor-Will Drinking Water System	96.08%	100.00%
Tiny, Township of	Woodland Beach Drinking Water System	95.57%	100.00%
Tiny, Township of	Wyevale Drinking Water System	87.27%	100.00%
Toronto, City of	City of Toronto Drinking Water System-F.J. Horgan	96.61%	100.00%

³ Inspection rating and drinking water quality data is not available for this system as it was amalgamated with the Bluewater Drinking Water System.

APPENDIX 1: Municipal Residential Drinking Water Systems' 2012-13 Inspection Ratings and Drinking Water Quality Results (Percentage of Tests Meeting Standards)

Municipal Location (Municipality Where the Drinking Water System is Located)	Drinking Water System Name	2012-13 Inspection Rating	2012-13 Drinking Water Quality (% Tests Meeting Standards)
Toronto, City of	City of Toronto Drinking Water System-R.C. Harris	100.00%	100.00%
Toronto, City of	City of Toronto Drinking Water System-R.L. Clark	95.31%	100.00%
Toronto, City of	City of Toronto Drinking Water System-Toronto Distribution System	100.00%	99.57%
Toronto, City of	City of Toronto Drinking Water System-Toronto Island	100.00%	99.97%
Trent Hills, Municipality of	Campbellford Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Trent Hills, Municipality of	Hastings Drinking Water System	100.00%	99.83%
Trent Hills, Municipality of	Warkworth Drinking Water System	98.41%	99.76%
Tweed, Municipality of	Tweed Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Uxbridge, Township of	Uxbridge Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Val Rita-Harty, Township of	Val Rita Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Vaughan, City of	Kleinburg Distribution System	100.00%	99.68%
Vaughan, City of	Kleinburg Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Vaughan, City of	Vaughan Distribution System	100.00%	99.79%
Warwick, Township of	Township of Warwick Distribution System	91.30%	100.00%
Wasaga Beach, Town of	Wasaga Beach Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Waterloo, City of	City of Waterloo Distribution System	100.00%	99.97%
Waterloo, City of	Region of Waterloo Drinking Water System-Waterloo	100.00%	100.00%
Wawa, Municipality of	Wawa Drinking Water System	96.92%	100.00%
Welland, City of	Welland Distribution System	100.00%	99.91%
Welland, City of	Welland Drinking Water System	100.00%	99.74%
Wellesley, Township of	Linwood Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Wellesley, Township of	St. Clements Drinking Water System	100.00%	99.79%
Wellesley, Township of	Wellesley Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Wellington North, Township of	Arthur Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Wellington North, Township of	Mount Forest Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
West Elgin, Municipality of	West Elgin Drinking Water System	88.95%	99.83%
West Grey, Municipality of	Durham Drinking Water System	99.45%	100.00%
West Grey, Municipality of	Neustadt Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
West Lincoln, Township of	Smithville Distribution System	82.72%	100.00%
West Nipissing, Municipality of	Sturgeon Falls Drinking Water System	95.38%	100.00%
West Nipissing, Municipality of	Verner Drinking Water System	93.56%	100.00%
West Perth, Municipality of	Mitchell Drinking Water System	100.00%	99.46%
Westport, Village of	Westport Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Whitby, Town of	Oshawa-Whitby-Ajax Drinking Water System-Whitby	100.00%	99.96%
Whitchurch-Stouffville, Town of	Ballantrae-Musselman Lake Distribution System	93.40%	100.00%

APPENDIX 1: Municipal Residential Drinking Water Systems' 2012-13 Inspection Ratings and Drinking Water Quality Results (Percentage of Tests Meeting Standards)

Municipal Location (Municipality Where the Drinking Water System is Located)	Drinking Water System Name	2012-13 Inspection Rating	2012-13 Drinking Water Quality (% Tests Meeting Standards)
Whitchurch-Stouffville, Town of	Ballantrae-Musselman's Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Whitchurch-Stouffville, Town of	Stouffville Distribution System	100.00%	99.67%
Whitchurch-Stouffville, Town of	York Drinking Water System-Stouffville	100.00%	100.00%
White River, Township of	White River Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Whitewater Region, Township of	Beachburg Drinking Water System	95.65%	100.00%
Whitewater Region, Township of	Cobden Drinking Water System	95.80%	99.78%
Whitewater Region, Township of	Haley Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Wilmot, Township of	Foxboro Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Wilmot, Township of	New Dundee Distribution System	98.78%	100.00%
Wilmot, Township of	New Dundee Drinking Water System	96.23%	100.00%
Wilmot, Township of	New Hamburg-Baden Distribution System	100.00%	99.89%
Wilmot, Township of	Region of Waterloo Drinking Water System -Shingletown	100.00%	100.00%
Wilmot, Township of	Region of Waterloo Drinking Water System-Mannheim Village	100.00%	100.00%
Wilmot, Township of	Region of Waterloo Drinking Water System-New Hamburg-Baden	100.00%	100.00%
Wilmot, Township of	St. Agatha Distribution System	100.00%	100.00%
Windsor, City of	City of Windsor Drinking Water System	100.00%	99.92%
Woodstock, City of	Woodstock Drinking Water System	97.58%	99.64%
Woolwich, Township of	Conestogo Golf Distribution System	100.00%	100.00%
Woolwich, Township of	Conestogo Golf Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Woolwich, Township of	Conestogo Plains Distribution System	100.00%	99.69%
Woolwich, Township of	Conestogo Plains Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Woolwich, Township of	Elmira-St. Jacobs-Breslau Distribution System-Breslau Distribution System	97.71%	99.15%
Woolwich, Township of	Elmira-St. Jacobs-Breslau Distribution System-Elmira-St. Jacobs Distribution System	98.11%	99.86%
Woolwich, Township of	Heidelberg Distribution System	100.00%	99.69%
Woolwich, Township of	Heidelberg Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Woolwich, Township of	Maryhill Drinking Water System-Maryhill	100.00%	100.00%
Woolwich, Township of	Maryhill Drinking Water System-Maryhill Village Heights	100.00%	100.00%
Woolwich, Township of	Maryhill Heights Distribution System	100.00%	100.00%
Woolwich, Township of	Maryhill Isley Distribution System	100.00%	100.00%
Woolwich, Township of	West Montrose Distribution System	100.00%	100.00%
Woolwich, Township of	West Montrose Drinking Water System	95.17%	100.00%
Zorra, Township of	Embro Drinking Water System	100.00%	100.00%
Zorra, Township of	Lakeside Drinking Water System	94.24%	100.00%
Zorra, Township of	Thamesford Drinking Water System	94.53%	100.00%

APPENDIX 2-A-1: Summary of Municipal Residential Drinking Water Systems Receiving Contravention Orders as a Result of an Inspection in 2012-13

DRINKING WATER SYSTEM OWNER	DRINKING WATER SYSTEM NAME	DATE ORDER ISSUED	ORDER SYNOPSIS
Atikokan, The Corporation of the Town of	Atikokan Drinking Water System	May 27, 2013	• Provide a report on the status of the chlorinator, and review of the flow features of the fluoride system. • Provide a report describing what actions have been taken to ensure that data from the continuous analyzers is recorded and kept for the required period of time. • Confirm whether the installation of the raw water flow meters meets the manufacturer's requirements. • Confirm that flow monitoring data is being recorded correctly for raw water flow meters.
Greenstone, The Corporation of the Municipality of	Geraldton Drinking Water System	April 18, 2013	• The conventional filtration process did not meet the design requirements of the "Procedure for Disinfection of Drinking Water in Ontario". • Chlorine contact time calculations were based on an incorrect detention time of water in the clearwells. • Treatment equipment for gas chlorination and chemical addition were not in operation whenever water was being supplied. Following the response to an alarm related to the loss of chlorination, the operator-in-charge did not take appropriate actions and did not monitor the situation. The operator in charge did not ensure that records were made of all adjustments to the process. • During periods when primary and secondary disinfection were not maintained, the operating authority did not immediately take measures to notify users to use an alternate supply of water or to boil the water. • Reports of loss of disinfection were not immediately provided to the medical officer of health and the ministry.

APPENDIX 2-A-2: Summary of Municipal Residential Drinking Water Systems Receiving Contravention Orders as a Result of an Incident in 2012-13

DRINKING WATER SYSTEM OWNER	DRINKING WATER SYSTEM NAME	DATE ORDER ISSUED	ORDER SYNOPSIS
Tiny, The Corporation of the Township of	McMahan Drinking Water System	November 14, 2012	• Requirements of the order included, for example, sampling and testing the drinking water according to legislation. The municipality amalgamated this system with the Bluewater Drinking Water System and this system ceased to operate.

APPENDIX 2-B: Summary of Municipal Residential Drinking Water Systems Receiving Preventative Measures Orders as a Result of an Incident in 2012-13

DRINKING WATER SYSTEM OWNER	DRINKING WATER SYSTEM NAME	DATE ORDER ISSUED	ORDER SYNOPSIS
Emo, The Corporation of the Township of	Emo Drinking Water System	May 14, 2012	To grant the Emo Water Treatment Plant relief from strict compliance during the installation of new turbidity analyzers. The owner is not required to continuously monitor filter effluent turbidity and the plant is not required to be equipped with a high turbidity alarm during the maintenance work.
Ignace, The Corporation of the Township of	Ignace Drinking Water System	January 18, 2013	To grant the Ignace Water Treatment Plant relief from strict compliance until the treated water flow meter is operational. The owner and operating authority are not required to continuously monitor and record the flow rate and daily volume of treated water that flows from the treatment subsystem to the distribution system until then.
Kenora Association for Community Living	Kenora Area Drinking Water System	September 20, 2012	- Remove the terminating end of the existing watermain from the sewer manhole and ensure that the separation distance between the main and the manhole conforms with the standards recommended by the ministry. - Implement the following mitigating measures to the area potentially impacted by the cross-connection: (a) increase bacteriological sampling and chlorine residual testing; (b) collect complaint records (if any) and review them for any trends; (c) ensure appropriately trained staff monitor the condition of the watermain in the sewer manhole and document their observations; (d) ensure the municipality's emergency plan for reacting to watermain breaks or pressure losses is activated immediately. - Have a qualified person survey Kenora's water distribution system for cross-connections in the sewer manholes.
Pickle Lake, The Corporation of the Township of	Pickle Lake Drinking Water System	July 12, 2012	To grant the Pickle Lake Drinking Water system relief from strict compliance due to a lightning strike which disabled chlorine alarms within the plant. The owners shall demonstrate that operators are manually checking free chlorine residuals and notify the ministry, as soon as possible, of the presence of bacteria in raw water samples.

DRINKING WATER SYSTEM OWNER	DRINKING WATER SYSTEM NAME	DATE ORDER ISSUED	ORDER SYNOPSIS
Red Lake, The Municipality of	Red Lake Drinking Water System	July 9, 2012	To grant the Red Lake Water Treatment Plant relief from strict compliance until the system's new Supervisory Control and Data Acquisition system is installed and operational. The owner is not required to continuously monitor and record the flow rate and daily volume of treated water that flows from the treatment subsystem to the distribution system.
Sioux Lookout, The Corporation of the Municipality of	Sioux Lookout Urban Drinking Water System	August 30, 2012	To grant the Sioux Lookout Urban Drinking Water System relief from strict compliance until the new raw and treated water flow meters are installed and operational at the plant. The owner and operating authority are not required to continuously monitor and record the flow rate and daily volume of treated water that flows from the treatment subsystem to the distribution system.

APPENDIX 2-C-1: Summary of Laboratories Licensed to Perform Drinking Water Testing Receiving Contravention Orders in 2012-13

MUNICIPAL LOCATION	LABORATORY NAME	DATE ORDER ISSUED	ORDER SYNOPSIS
Mississauga, City of	Maxxam Analytics International Corporation	June 20, 2012	- Cease providing drinking water testing services for a specific parameter without a licence. - Ensure policies and procedures are in place and understood by staff, who have customer service responsibilities, regarding the acceptance and testing of drinking water samples for which the laboratory does not hold a Drinking Water Testing Licence. - Provide a copy of the relevant policies, procedures and records that demonstrate the aforementioned staff have been trained on the policies and procedures.

APPENDIX 2-C-2: Summary of Non-licensed Facilities Receiving Contravention Orders in 2012-13

MUNICIPAL LOCATION	LABORATORY NAME	DATE ORDER ISSUED	ORDER SYNOPSIS
London, City of	GAP EnviroMicrobial Services Ltd.	September 17, 2012	Cease and desist providing drinking water testing services and analysing Ontario drinking water samples without a Drinking Water Testing Licence.

APPENDIX 3: Summary of Municipal Residential Drinking Water System Convictions – April 1, 2012 to March 31, 2013

OWNER AND/ OR OPERATOR OF DRINKING WATER SYSTEM	SYSTEM NAME	SYNOPSIS	CHARGES LAID	CONVICTION	FINE
North Middlesex, The Corporation of the Municipality of	North Middlesex Distribution System	Failing to collect bacteriological samples following watermain repairs and operating a water system without a valid operator's certificate	December 6, 2012	March 11, 2013	\$17,000.00 ¹
West Elgin, The Corporation of the Municipality of	West Elgin Water Treatment Plant	Failing to ensure that persons who carry out functions in relation to the drinking water system comply with reporting requirements	April 29, 2011	October 22, 2012	\$154,500.00 ¹
PUC Services Inc.	Blind River Water Treatment Plant	Failure to report that raw water had not been disinfected in accordance with the ministry's "Procedure for Disinfection of Drinking Water in Ontario" on several occasions	November 22, 2012	February 13, 2013	\$15,000.00
Total					\$186,500.00

¹ Includes, five individuals, three of whom were convicted for violations at the West Elgin Water Treatment Plant and two of whom were convicted for violations at the North Middlesex Distribution System.