

Re: Statement for Option 1 - Delay without amendment & Introduction for Delay with Leg Amendment

From: "DiMuzio, Sofie (TBS)" <sofie.dimuzio@ontario.ca>
To: "Betzner, Lynn (CAB)" <lynn.betzner@ontario.ca>
Date: Sat, 24 Sep 2016 18:18:06 -0400

Yes pretty much - unless they come up with more scenarios that need to be gamed out. Sounds like there might be but won't know until tomorrow or Monday.

Sent from my iPhone

On Sep 24, 2016, at 6:04 PM, Betzner, Lynn (CAB) <Lynn.Betzner@ontario.ca> wrote:

Hi - I think we are in good shape. Don't think much changes in the materials. Just have holding areas for details. And continuing working on what you have indicated below - Agee ? Lynn

On Sep 24, 2016, at 3:02 PM, DiMuzio, Sofie (TBS) <Sofie.DiMuzio@ontario.ca> wrote:

Hi there,

Please find attached the draft comms products we shared with our MO yesterday for the delaying public accounts scenario – within each are holding lines and early draft qs and as. The media statement is an optional scale up tactic if needed.

MO are not keen on the delay with legislation option so we focussed most of our comms discussion on the delay without legislation - Mike and the Minister were generally comfortable with these early drafts but would like to add some holding lines to reinforce our desire to be transparent and reassure that our fiscal projections remain stable. There is also some follow up they need to undertake with GHLO with respect to whether this is tabled as a point of privilege /or Minister's statement and timing. We have some work to do on our scenario document to add two scenarios: 1) unqualified - AG agrees with our position = (same debt same deficit numbers) 2) unqualified - We agree with AG's position = (higher debt & deficit numbers). I will circulate an updated version later this weekend.

Let me know if you have any questions.

<PA15_Scenario1Option1_092316 4pm.docx>

|
|

<PA15_Scenario1Option2_092316 4pm.docx>

|