

# Fw: RAN Electricity Price Mitigation v9A (ENE MOand PO edits).docx

---

**From:** "Imbrogno, Serge (ENERGY)" </o=govon/ou=ontario public sector - iserv  
ems/cn=recipients/cn=imbrogno">  
**To:** "Hume, Steen (ENERGY)" <steen.hume@ontario.ca>, "Katona, Keley (ENERGY)"  
<keley.katona@ontario.ca>  
**Date:** Sat, 10 Sep 2016 08:16:51 -0400  
**Attachments:** RAN Electricity Price Mitigation v9A (ENE MOand PO edits).docx (1.19 MB);  
ATT00001.txt (165 bytes)

---

My initial view is that MOECC is trying to change this into an application program and not a rebate. We already have a successful program that incents companies to shift loads - ICI. I'm not comfortable showing cabinet the results of proceeds recycling that provides benefits to all commercial/industrial consumers. If the TB minute stands I want to change the cab sub to reflect this change.

Sent from my BlackBerry 10 smartphone on the Rogers network.

Original Message

From: Teliszewsky, Andrew (ENERGY) <Andrew.Teliszewsky@ontario.ca>  
Sent: Friday, September 9, 2016 10:20 PM  
To: Imbrogno, Serge (ENERGY); Hume, Steen (ENERGY); Katona, Keley (ENERGY)  
Cc: Whittington, Matt (ENERGY); Moulton, Dan (ENERGY)  
Subject: RAN Electricity Price Mitigation v9A (ENE MOand PO edits).docx

Oy -

New TB assessment note with edits. Also include some compromise language re: volumetric rebate piece [IV A] of Proposed Minute that is what I was connecting with PO about tonight.

Note that full Minute appears to have had some other tweets along the way to PO, so perhaps makes sense to review the whole thing, please?

Given the hour, I have advised PO that we probably won't have a new turn tonight, but are we able to huddle in the morning and see if we can land this on Saturday?

Many thanks, in advance.

Atel/