

Fw: Rate Mitigation Options

From: "Katona, Keley (ENERGY)" <keley.katona@ontario.ca>
To: "Imbrogno, Serge (ENERGY)" <serge.imbrogno@ontario.ca>
Date: Sat, 04 Feb 2017 13:32:08 -0500
Attachments: Rate Mitigation Plan Options_Tracked_SH_6pm.pptx (1.2 MB); Rate Mitigation Plan Options_Clean_SH_6pm.pptx (1.2 MB)

See below from Steen. I can share these with the MO and let them know we are still working on the fiscal impact. Also, we had decided to drop one of the options at the 12pm yesterday because it didn't seem viable.

From: Hume, Steen (ENERGY) <Steen.Hume@ontario.ca>
Sent: Saturday, February 4, 2017 12:31 PM
To: Katona, Keley (ENERGY)
Cc: Cox, Hilary (ENERGY)
Subject: Rate Mitigation Options

Hi. attached are clean and tracked versions of the longer deck sent last night.

The tracked version highlights the new/updated content in red slide headings. As mentioned, the new content in the attached relates to inclusion of the 3 GA smoothing options and updates to the GA implementation slides (i.e., updated diagram with greater focus on OEB oversight and reference to the IESO/Government Business Enterprise concept).

Re the fiscal impacts of the 8% and 15% rebate for the 3 options, I'm trying to have something modeled for Sunday or first thing Monday in advance of fiscal prep. The modeling is bit tricky because under each GA option the rebate has slightly different per year benefits to rate payers that impacts the level of pressure on the fiscal plan. For example, the value of the 8% rebate per yr is \$9, \$10, \$11 or \$12 depending on the GA option. Sadly, it was a lot easier when we could say the 8% rebate = \$11 benefit off and the pressure on the fiscal plan is about \$1B per yr.

I've also added a date on the title page (i.e., February 6, 2017).

Apologies for the confusion. Hope this helps. Steen