

LTEP Modules

From: "Armstrong, Hillary (ENERGY)" <hillary.armstrong@ontario.ca>
To: "Imbrogno, Serge (ENERGY)" <serge.imbrogno@ontario.ca>
Cc: "Schlaepfer, Martin (ENERGY)" <martin.schlaepfer@ontario.ca>
Date: Mon, 19 Mar 2018 10:29:40 -0400
Attachments: 2018-03-16- Final responses to LTEP Modules comments.docx (33.75 kB); 2017 LTEP Module 3 - Supply - ENERGY 20180316.xlsx (73.79 kB); 2017 LTEP Module 5 - Cost - ENERGY 20180316.xlsx (57.7 kB); 2017 LTEP Module 1 - Demand 2018-03-09.xlsx (325.23 kB)

Hi Deputy,

We've worked with the IESO to resolve all of our outstanding questions and changes to the LTEP modules. Attached is a word document that includes our conversations with the IESO. They responded to a number of previous questions (in blue), and then you will see some back and forth in purple and orange to resolve a couple outstanding items. Predominantly this was just seeking comfort that content in the modules was accurate. I've also attached the three modules that have been revised based on those comments: supply, cost and demand.

Very few changes were made to the modules in this go around, most of them were formatting. One of the bigger comments was in response to a question from MO, I've pulled out that question and response from the IESO below.

Supply Module: **Ministry Comment**

- The 50 MW in 2017 and the additional 150 MW in 2019 in the 'Installed MW Directed' tab appear to be from the 2017 mFIT procurement target, and the FIT 5 procurement. This raises a potential question of how the IESO is counting the 50 MW 2017 mFIT procurement target as directed until such time as everything is contracted? And, at the time the modules were produced, was FIT 5 un-contracted (i.e. still directed)? If the answer to both of those questions is yes, then the table is fine from an accounting perspective.

IESO Response

- Correct. microFIT and FIT 5 were still "Directed" at the time LTEP analysis was done so they are shown in the Installed MW of Directed tab.

Ministry Comment

- However, we may have an interest in accounting for the 50 MW from mFIT and the 150 MW from FIT 5 as 'committed' rather than 'directed' in order to provide a clear message that there are no further renewables procurements planned. 200 MW of Directed MW from 2017 to 2019 appears confusing considering both of the procurements have been run and are closed.

IESO Response

- This is an issue related to the timing of reporting. Suggest keeping microFIT and

FIT 5 as “Directed”, but indicate that those are the last of the procurements.

- The Ministry agrees, and has added a note in the supply module that says: “Note: Solar includes 50 MW from the 2017 microFIT procurement and 150 MW from the FIT 5 procurement. Both programs have now closed and are no longer accepting applications.”

Ok to share with MO and prepare for posting on Wednesday with the OER?

Thanks,
Hillary