RE: CO Question on LRC items for Chair's briefing

From: "Imbrogno, Serge (ENERGY)" <"/o=govon/ou=ontario public sector - iserv ems/cn=recipients/cn=imbrognose">
To: "Chatterjee, Jeet (ENERGY)" <jeet.chatterjee@ontario.ca>
Cc: "Schlaepfer, Martin (ENERGY)" <martin.schlaepfer@ontario.ca>
Date: Mon, 11 Dec 2017 10:15:26 -0500

Ok. Thanks.

Sent with BlackBerry Work
(www.blackberry.com)

From: Chatterjee, Jeet (ENERGY) <Jeet.Chatterjee@ontario.ca>
Date: Monday, Dec 11, 2017, 10:11 AM
To: Imbrogno, Serge (ENERGY) <Serge.Imbrogno@ontario.ca>
Cc: Schlaepfer, Martin (ENERGY) <Martin.Schlaepfer@ontario.ca>
Subject: CO Question on LRC items for Chair's briefing

Deputy,

CO had some rush questions on the LRC items tracking to Cabinet and had provided draft responses for staff to fact check. Staff were okay with the response and made one edit in red below.

OK to share with MO/CO?

"ENERGY Bills: the impact of the OFHP, would it change over time and how will that be reflected in the proposed billing regs? [i.e. was there any thinking of a sunset clause?]"

- **Answer:** the benefit showing on the bill is dynamic and will change over time depending on what the benefit is. There is no mention of a sunset clause, but the reg could be amended in the future if there was no longer a desire to show the impacts of the fair hydro plan on bills. There is no sunset currently prescribed in the regulation for dynamic messaging, however this regulation is part of the broader OFHP regulatory framework and will evolve as OFHP evolves .

ENERGY Sub-Meters: when these costs are put on bills, how are they classified/where to they show up?

- **Answer:** There is no guarantee that there would be extra costs at this point as this will depend in large part on decisions made by the OEB on the regulatory framework. Similarly, as I understand it, the exact mechanism for reimbursement will not be settled until the framework is established, but there is precedent for establishing a system whereby the USMPs would have to apply to the OEB and the OEB would approve rates based on prudent expenses. It would be likely that the costs would just form part of the existing line item for distribution.