

RE: Cabinet Submission - Electricity Price Mitigation 20160908 ESP TC (3).pptx

From: "Katona, Keley (ENERGY)" <keley.katona@ontario.ca>
To: "Imbrogno, Serge (ENERGY)" <serge.imbrogno@ontario.ca>
Date: Thu, 08 Sep 2016 19:18:24 -0400

Ok I'll send now.

From: Imbrogno, Serge (ENERGY)
Sent: September-08-16 7:18 PM
To: Katona, Keley (ENERGY)
Subject: Re: Cabinet Submission - Electricity Price Mitigation 20160908 ESP TC (3).pptx

I'll keep reviewing tonight but good to go.

Sent from my iPad

On Sep 8, 2016, at 7:16 PM, Katona, Keley (ENERGY) <Keley.Katona@ontario.ca> wrote:

Good catch. They are saying that we need to say 'gross benefit'. Does that work?

Aside from that, is it good to go?

From: Imbrogno, Serge (ENERGY)
Sent: September-08-16 7:08 PM
To: Katona, Keley (ENERGY)
Subject: Re: Cabinet Submission - Electricity Price Mitigation 20160908 ESP TC (3).pptx

On slide 11, is it appropriate to say the "net" impact is..?

Sent from my iPad

On Sep 8, 2016, at 7:00 PM, Katona, Keley (ENERGY) <Keley.Katona@ontario.ca> wrote:

I'll go with this (ESP was fine):

* **Notes:** The above benefits do not include the cost of ICI expansion and the RRRP update (total impact of \$1.74/MWh) and the impact of tax. The percentage change is relative to the current bill plus the impact of additional initiatives. Above values are rounded, note that the net impact to Class B and existing Class A electricity consumers is - \$1/MWh.

The impact of cap and trade would not be added to electricity bills until 2017 and the impact of ICI would not be added to bills until 2018 due to the time required to implement the expansion and for billing changes. Proceeds recycling is an estimated average over the first compliance period (2017-2020).

From: Imbrogno, Serge (ENERGY)
Sent: September-08-16 6:56 PM

To: Katona, Keley (ENERGY)

Subject: Re: Cabinet Submission - Electricity Price Mitigation 20160908 ESP TC (3).pptx

Removing the strike through would help. The impact of tax seems to repeat the pre-tax so not sure that is necessary?

Sent from my iPad

On Sep 8, 2016, at 6:50 PM, Katona, Keley (ENERGY) <Keley.Katona@ontario.ca> wrote:

Are you talking about the 'impact of tax' part, I can seek clarity on that? Also, not sure we need what was struck through...We know what our methodology is and can be addressed through a QA.

*** Notes:** The above benefits do not include the cost of ICI expansion, RRRP update (total impact of \$1.74/MWh) and the impact of tax. ~~The percentage change is relative to the current bill plus the impact of additional initiatives. Above values are rounded, note that the net impact to Class B and existing Class A electricity consumers is - \$1/MWh.~~

The impact of cap and trade would not be added to electricity bills until 2017 and the impact of ICI would not be added to bills until 2018 due to the time required to implement the expansion and for billing changes. Proceeds recycling is an estimated average over the first compliance period (2017-2020).

From: Imbrogno, Serge (ENERGY)

Sent: September-08-16 6:46 PM

To: Katona, Keley (ENERGY)

Subject: Re: Cabinet Submission - Electricity Price Mitigation 20160908 ESP TC (3).pptx

Hi. Not sure I fully understand the footnotes on slide 9.

Sent from my iPad

On Sep 8, 2016, at 6:27 PM, Katona, Keley (ENERGY) <Keley.Katona@ontario.ca> wrote:

From: Hill, Allyson (ENERGY) <Allyson.Hill@ontario.ca>

Sent: Thursday, September 8, 2016 6:23 PM

To: Katona, Keley (ENERGY)

Cc: Christie, Tim (ENERGY)

Subject: FW: Cabinet Submission - Electricity Price Mitigation 20160908 ESP TC (3).pptx

Keley here's the cab sub tracked.

Clean one coming shortly.

<Cabinet Submission - Electricity Price Mitigation 20160908 ESP TC
(3).pptx>