

RE: Confidential advice to cabinet: LTEP submission

From: "Imbrogno, Serge (ENERGY)" <"o=govon/ou=ontario public sector - iserv
ems/cn=recipients/cn=imbrogno">
To: "Schlaepfer, Martin (ENERGY)" <martin.schlaepfer@ontario.ca>
Cc: "Armstrong, Hillary (ENERGY)" <hillary.armstrong@ontario.ca>
Date: Tue, 29 Aug 2017 22:01:20 -0400

Ok.

Sent with BlackBerry Work
(www.blackberry.com)

From: Schlaepfer, Martin (ENERGY) <Martin.Schlaepfer@ontario.ca>
Date: Tuesday, Aug 29, 2017, 9:54 PM
To: Imbrogno, Serge (ENERGY) <Serge.Imbrogno@ontario.ca>
Cc: Armstrong, Hillary (ENERGY) <Hillary.Armstrong@ontario.ca>
Subject: Re: Confidential advice to cabinet: LTEP submission

Hi Deputy,

Staff have reviewed and their first reaction is to reject the change. We don't know where this idea is coming from nor what the implications are. Given the short timelines, they would recommend rejecting the edit.

OK to share with MO?

Thanks,
Martin

From: Schlaepfer, Martin (ENERGY)
Sent: Tuesday, August 29, 2017 9:42 PM
To: Imbrogno, Serge (ENERGY)
Cc: Armstrong, Hillary (ENERGY)
Subject: Re: Confidential advice to cabinet: LTEP submission

OK thanks. We're still following up with snap on that piece but will flag that it doesn't work as an add on to same Minute.

From: Imbrogno, Serge (ENERGY)
Sent: Tuesday, August 29, 2017 9:40 PM
To: Schlaepfer, Martin (ENERGY)
Cc: Armstrong, Hillary (ENERGY)
Subject: RE: Confidential advice to cabinet: LTEP submission

Agree with Steen comments. Also think the addition to FN doesn't work as an add on to sme Minute

Sent with BlackBerry Work
(www.blackberry.com)

From: Schlaepfer, Martin (ENERGY) <Martin.Schlaepfer@ontario.ca>
Date: Tuesday, Aug 29, 2017, 9:33 PM
To: Imbrogno, Serge (ENERGY) <Serge.Imbrogno@ontario.ca>
Cc: Armstrong, Hillary (ENERGY) <Hillary.Armstrong@ontario.ca>
Subject: Fw: Confidential advice to cabinet: LTEP submission

Hi Deputy,

Below are some thoughts from Steen on the proposed changes.

Would you like us to share the comments on the modelling minute with MO?

Thanks,
Martin

- 1) Slide 16: factual correction to percentage of emissions from fuels - **ESP needs to look into this**
- 2) Slide 31 and first slide 40: **strikeout references to natural gas bill - Andrew has already addressed this point**
- 3) Slide 41: revised to reflect that Energy and MOECC will report back together on the modelling and options for alignment with targets and LTEP objectives - **Not sure we need to be on the hook with MOECC on this**
- 4) In addition to the revisions to minute in the attached - **MOECC has revised language in the minute that doesn't quite work. We like the old language (i.e., maintains accountability with MOECC)**

5) we would propose to add FN's to the minute that speaks to managing electricity costs for SME's per below - **SNAP needs to confirm**

1. ENERGY continue to work with Ministry of Economic Development and Growth (MEDG), the Ministry Responsible for Small Business, and other relevant ministries **including MIRR** , to identify opportunities to decrease electricity costs for small and medium-sized enterprises **and off-grid First Nation reserve communities** that currently do not benefit from rate mitigation programs.