

RE: Draft LTEP PO Briefing Deck

From: "Imbrogno, Serge (ENERGY)" <"/o=govon/ou=ontario public sector - iserv
ems/cn=recipients/cn=imbrogno">
To: "Schlaepfer, Martin (ENERGY)" <martin.schlaepfer@ontario.ca>
Cc: "Armstrong, Hillary (ENERGY)" <hillary.armstrong@ontario.ca>
Date: Tue, 01 Aug 2017 18:12:55 -0400

Ok

Sent with BlackBerry Work
(www.blackberry.com)

From: Schlaepfer, Martin (ENERGY) <Martin.Schlaepfer@ontario.ca>
Date: Tuesday, Aug 01, 2017, 5:42 PM
To: Imbrogno, Serge (ENERGY) <Serge.Imbrogno@ontario.ca>
Cc: Armstrong, Hillary (ENERGY) <Hillary.Armstrong@ontario.ca>
Subject: RE: Draft LTEP PO Briefing Deck

Hi Deputy,

MO had some revisions to the PO deck following this morning's briefing. Changes are tracked in the attached. The biggest change was to reorder the mitigation measures slides, and to remove the graphs for the slides on conservation and suspension of supply options.

MO had also asked for an update on how conversations were going with MOI, and whether they are on board with our search for funding for energy infrastructure. SNAP provided the below update that we could share with MO.

SNAP met with MOI at the ADM level and there was a high level support for considering ways that transmission investment support could be provided through economic development funds, but nothing specific. There was some discussion on how it would be logical to have municipalities identify their own priorities when accessing economic development funds and choose whether transmission or other infrastructure was the most important to them. MOI was open to more discussion but couldn't immediately point to any pots of money.

Ok to share the revised deck and update on conversations with MOI to MO?

The briefing with PO is tomorrow afternoon.

Thanks,
Martin

From: Imbrogno, Serge (ENERGY)
Sent: July-31-17 6:46 PM
To: Schlaepfer, Martin (ENERGY)
Cc: Armstrong, Hillary (ENERGY)
Subject: Re: Draft LTEP PO Briefing Deck

Good to good. Thanks

Sent from my iPad

On Jul 31, 2017, at 6:14 PM, Schlaepfer, Martin (ENERGY) <Martin.Schlaepfer@ontario.ca> wrote:

Hi Deputy,

Attached is the revised LTEP outlooks deck for tomorrow morning's discussion with MO in advance of the PO briefing on Wednesday. The version reflects MO's changes below, as well as starts to address some of the changes we discussed last week with ADMs and directors, including some context on slide 25 of the impact of meeting our CCAP targets, and speaking to the benefits to Class B customers.

Staff weren't able to layer the potential savings into one cumulative graph yet, and we may still need to model the inflationary cap at something higher like 5%.

Ok to share with MO?

Thanks,
Martin

From: Teliszewsky, Andrew (ENERGY)

Sent: July 30, 2017 9:26 PM

To: Schlaepfer, Martin (ENERGY)

Cc: Imbrogno, Serge (ENERGY); Whittington, Matt (ENERGY); Tresise, Landon (ENERGY); Esdaile, Trevor (ENERGY); Coker, Meaghan (ENERGY); Ruttan, Craig (ENERGY); Armstrong, Hillary (ENERGY); Chatterjee, Jeet (ENERGY); Pagel, Alexa (ENERGY); Martin, Eric (ENERGY); Baker, Carys (ENERGY)

Subject: Re: Draft LTEP PO Briefing Deck

Good Evening:

This is coming along; needs a few updates, I think. But here are some core thoughts / edits / concerns:

Slide 3 - typo? "Decision point" says "slide xx" - should this be "7"?

Slide 4 - lets add a potential ask for MB intertie enhancement. "Phase 3" of the NWB item that we have been working on. This was raised by Carr's office with me on Friday. They sound keen to support anything "interprovincial" that could "help lead to the dream of east-west grid".

Slide 10-13 : just kind of ends. I think we need a slide after 13 to provide considerations and recommendation. Presume the Option 1 is what we are gearing for, but that remains up in the air owing to this content. Lets discuss.

Slide 15. Please add the 2010 and 2013 LTEP price curves for comparison. And I want them to be 800kWh / months. It's fine. Add a footnote.

Slide 15 - 18. I'm confused about these new blue lines. What happened with the previous jump up after 2022?

Slide 21 - needs to have a timeline for OPG requirement. When does Ministry need to give the Fair Allocation amount to the trust?

Slide 22 - feels different than what previous briefings have indicated, again blue line comment.

Slide 31 - 0.5 % is nothing. Start with 1% increase to 3%, please.

Can we please prioritize getting another turn of this product done on Monday and then meet first thing on Tuesday to go through this content and finalize / propose any final edits prior to circulation for PO/CO? Goal being that we can provide the final deck for multicorners by end of business on

Tuesday, please?

Many thanks, in advance.

Andrew Teliszewsky

Chief of Staff | Office of Hon. Glenn Thibeault

Ministry of Energy

andrew.teliszewsky@ontario.ca

416-327-3550 (o)

416-436-6370 (m)

On Jul 28, 2017, at 5:52 PM, Schlaepfer, Martin (ENERGY) <Martin.Schlaepfer@ontario.ca> wrote:

Hi Andrew,

Attached is a revised deck for the PO briefing next Wednesday. This version of the deck reflects the changes requested earlier this week, as well as layering in the fuels outlooks, and updates to the electricity price forecasts to reflect updated Navigant analysis.

We continue working on some further updates including speaking to the benefits of rate mitigation to Class B customers, layering all potential rate mitigation initiatives into one graph, and considering how to address the LTEP Cabinet minute's language around considering options to meet GHG emission reductions in CCAP.

Let us know if you have any questions.

Thanks,
Martin

<2017 LTEP Outlooks_Briefing_July 28 FPL.pptx>

<2017 LTEP Outlooks_Briefing_July 31.pptx>