

RE: FHP - legislative timing

From: "Calwell, Carolyn (ENERGY/MEDEI/MRI)" <carolyn.calwell@ontario.ca>
To: "Imbrogno, Serge (ENERGY)" <serge.imbrogno@ontario.ca>, "Katona, Keley (ENERGY)" <keley.katona@ontario.ca>
Cc: "Hume, Steen (ENERGY)" <steen.hume@ontario.ca>, "Cox, Hilary (ENERGY)" <hilary.cox@ontario.ca>, "Nelms, Scott (MOF)" <scott.nelms@ontario.ca>
Date: Wed, 05 Apr 2017 09:07:30 -0400

Will do.

From: Imbrogno, Serge (ENERGY)
Sent: April-05-17 9:07 AM
To: Calwell, Carolyn (ENERGY/MEDEI/MRI); Katona, Keley (ENERGY)
Cc: Hume, Steen (ENERGY); Cox, Hilary (ENERGY); Nelms, Scott (MOF)
Subject: RE: FHP - legislative timing

Thanks Carolyn. I'm getting a lot of questions from the centre so this is very helpful. DAG reached out this morning so I plan to have a chat with him today. You should walk through the timelines and tasks at our meeting on Thursday so that everyone is on the same page. Serge

From: Calwell, Carolyn (ENERGY/MEDEI/MRI)
Sent: April-05-17 9:01 AM
To: Imbrogno, Serge (ENERGY); Katona, Keley (ENERGY)
Cc: Hume, Steen (ENERGY); Cox, Hilary (ENERGY); Nelms, Scott (MOF)
Subject: FHP - legislative timing

Deputy – as discussed, Steen and I spoke with Mark Spakowski about the legislative timeline for the Fair Hydro Plan yesterday. I promised to convey the following:

- The “pens down” date is April 21st. The draft at that point in time will be the bill that goes into the house, but for rolling up, renumbering, editing and French version changes.
- OLC will not address instructions provided after April 21st.
- New direction and change in direction, particularly as we approach April 21st, can put the bill in jeopardy.
- Options in the event the bill doesn't include all that we need it to on April 21st are:
 1. Do without
 - § may not be practical if omissions give rise to market risk or make the bill more vulnerable to challenge
 2. Do without in intro version, amend at committee
 - § there are procedural limits on what can be done in clause by clause
 3. Do without in intro version, include amendments in a subsequent bill
 - § Subsequent bill might be the Fall bill
 - § Would need to have the bill proceed in the Spring without the further

amendments – how well that would work depends on the amendments

§ May not work with our timing to get to the markets

4. Push back intro date to allow more time

§ May not possible if gov't still wants to pass without extending the House sitting into the summer

We are working from the attached document that Blakes prepared (with our attempt at plain language annotations in red). My branch is largely playing the role of interpreter between Blakes and Leg Counsel. I also attach a one page "Policy and Legal Issues" overview that highlights the key decision points. I propose to use the one-pager for discussion at tomorrow's implementation meeting.

Today we have an all day, all lawyers meeting today. We will continue to provide drafting instructions to Leg Counsel, working largely to this work plan:

April 21 – pens down

April 20 – final comments to Leg Counsel following final review

April 19 – Leg Counsel turns draft for final review by stakeholders

April 18 – instructions from LSB to Leg Counsel based on stakeholder input

April 17 – deadline for substantive input from all stakeholders (agencies, accountants, bankers)

April 13 – substantial draft legislation (with most substantive issues resolved) for review and input by stakeholders

April 10 – deadline for substantive instructions from LSB to Leg Counsel

April 7 – TBD drafting instructions:

April 6 – TBD drafting instructions: possible focus on true up mechanism, role of the OEB, other

April 5 – TBD drafting instructions: possible focus on "collectability" issues, other

April 4 – instructions on Fair Allocation Plan, Treasury Plan, Regulatory Asset

While the timeline is aggressive and the issues complicated, we will work to achieve the government's timeline.

I would be happy to walk you through the Blakes document or to answer any questions.

Carolyn

Carolyn Calwell
Legal Director
MAG Civil Law Division
Ministries of Energy / Economic Development & Growth /
Research, Innovation & Science / Infrastructure / Accessibility
416-212-8392

This communication may be solicitor/client privileged and contain confidential information only intended for the person(s) to whom it is addressed. Any dissemination or use of this information by others than the intended recipient(s) is prohibited. If you have received this message in error please notify the writer and permanently delete the message and all attachments. Thank you.