

RE: LTEP Copy Edit Version

From: "Schlaepfer, Martin (ENERGY)" <martin.schlaepfer@ontario.ca>
To: "Teliszewsky, Andrew (ENERGY)" <andrew.teliszewsky@ontario.ca>
Cc: "Imbrogno, Serge (ENERGY)" <serge.imbrogno@ontario.ca>, "Whittington, Matt (ENERGY)" <matt.whittington@ontario.ca>, "Tresise, Landon (ENERGY)" <landon.tresise@ontario.ca>, "Ruttan, Craig (ENERGY)" <craig.ruttan@ontario.ca>, "Coker, Meaghan (ENERGY)" <meaghan.coker@ontario.ca>, "Campbell, David (ENERGY)" <david.campbell2@ontario.ca>, "Armstrong, Hillary (ENERGY)" <hillary.armstrong@ontario.ca>, "Baker, Carys (ENERGY)" <carys.baker@ontario.ca>
Date: Tue, 26 Sep 2017 20:22:15 -0400

Hi Andrew,

We agreed that we would proceed with saying it “reduced energy consumption by about 9 per cent”.

Thanks,
Martin

From: Teliszewsky, Andrew (ENERGY)
Sent: September 26, 2017 8:18 PM
To: Schlaepfer, Martin (ENERGY) <Martin.Schlaepfer@ontario.ca>
Cc: Imbrogno, Serge (ENERGY) <Serge.Imbrogno@ontario.ca>; Whittington, Matt (ENERGY) <Matt.Whittington@ontario.ca>; Tresise, Landon (ENERGY) <Landon.Tresise@ontario.ca>; Ruttan, Craig (ENERGY) <Craig.Ruttan@ontario.ca>; Coker, Meaghan (ENERGY) <Meaghan.Coker@ontario.ca>; Campbell, David (ENERGY) <David.Campbell2@ontario.ca>; Armstrong, Hillary (ENERGY) <Hillary.Armstrong@ontario.ca>; Baker, Carys (ENERGY) <Carys.Baker@ontario.ca>
Subject: RE: LTEP Copy Edit Version

Martin / Hillary - remind this whole chain what we just decided in your offices, please?

From: Schlaepfer, Martin (ENERGY)
Sent: September-26-17 7:26 PM
To: Teliszewsky, Andrew (ENERGY)
Cc: Imbrogno, Serge (ENERGY); Whittington, Matt (ENERGY); Tresise, Landon (ENERGY); Ruttan, Craig (ENERGY); Coker, Meaghan (ENERGY); Campbell, David (ENERGY); Armstrong, Hillary (ENERGY); Baker, Carys (ENERGY)
Subject: LTEP Copy Edit Version

Hi Andrew,

Attached is the revised draft of the LTEP following copy edit. The attached is tracked. Most of the changes are to ensure we are consistent in our use of the terms “government”, “Province”, “Ontario”, etc.

This version also includes the pie chart with a breakdown of generation for 2016. This can be found on page 23. Once we included the chart, we noticed we should revise the language above the chart that describes the supply mix in 2016. We believe instead of saying “conservation reduced energy consumption by about 10 per cent”, we should either say it “reduced energy consumption by about 9 per cent”, or “reduced energy consumption by close to 9 per cent”. Let us know which option you prefer.

We plan to lock down the content and send this over to our graphic design firm tonight so production can start, and we can aim for Cabinet on October 25. We will revise the residential price curve graphic and table first thing tomorrow.

Let us know which option you prefer, or if you have questions.

Thanks,
Martin