

RE: MO edits to CO Fact Check - End of Sitting

From: "Berg, Denna (ENERGY)" <denna.berg@ontario.ca>
To: "Imbrogno, Serge (ENERGY)" <serge.imbrogno@ontario.ca>
Cc: "Schlaepfer, Martin (ENERGY)" <martin.schlaepfer@ontario.ca>
Date: Mon, 11 Dec 2017 14:28:19 -0500
Attachments: ENERGY - End of Sitting - tracked changes ENE MO.DOCX (19.94 kB)

Apologies for the lack of clarity – MO requested to stick with what was sent originally by CO. What is being requested to be removed was added in by comms when requested to review CO's original content. So essentially, we're confirming the original messaging CO but with the inclusion of "and Northern" as good to go.

Is it ok to share with CO?

From: Imbrogno, Serge (ENERGY)
Sent: December-11-17 2:02 PM
To: Berg, Denna (ENERGY)
Cc: Schlaepfer, Martin (ENERGY)
Subject: Re: MO edits to CO Fact Check - End of Sitting

Hi. It's not clear what I'm being asked to approve.

Sent from my iPad

On Dec 11, 2017, at 1:35 PM, Berg, Denna (ENERGY) <Denna.Berg@ontario.ca> wrote:

Hi Deputy,

MO wants to stick with CO's original messaging for the attached news release, + one small addition. You originally approved what was struck out by MO.

Thanks,
Denna

<ENERGY - End of Sitting - tracked changes ENE MO.docx>