

Rate Mitigation Options

From: "Imbrogno, Serge (ENERGY)" <"o=govon/ou=ontario public sector - iserv
ems/cn=recipients/cn=imbrogno">
To: "Hume, Steen (ENERGY)" <steen.hume@ontario.ca>, "Reid, Michael (ENERGY)"
<michael.reid@ontario.ca>, "Sermat-Harding, Kaili (ENERGY)" <kaili.sermat-
harding@ontario.ca>
Cc: "Katona, Keley (ENERGY)" <keley.katona@ontario.ca>
Date: Fri, 16 Dec 2016 07:42:34 -0500

Hi,

Looking for revised draft for end of day incorporating feedback from meeting with P. P wanted more surgical approach that focused on some equity issues. OESP is not viewed as successful given the low take-up. We need to work with OEB and other ministries to develop a plan to move this to 100%. On distribution rates, the view was that the rate differential between urban and rural customers wasn't consistent with how province treats the delivery of other essential services like health care etc. We need options that further reduce costs for low and medium density customers to some urban average etc. Let's find a way to make conservation amortization work. Look at different options. For example, OPG's nuclear rate smoothing – why can't we do something similar for conservation?

Serge