Re: Question

From: "Imbrogno, Serge (ENERGY)" <"/o=govon/ou=ontario public sector - iserv ems/cn=recipients/cn=imbrognose">
To: "Hume, Steen (ENERGY)" <steen.hume@ontario.ca>
Cc: "Katona, Keley (ENERGY)" <keley.katona@ontario.ca>
Date: Tue, 21 Feb 2017 20:17:07 -0500

Great. Thanks

Sent from my iPad

On Feb 21, 2017, at 7:01 PM, Hume, Steen (ENERGY) <Steen.Hume@ontario.ca> wrote:

Hi. below is Carolyn's response to the question (and we had a quick chat). CLOC also has the same understanding.

Hope this helps, Steen

From: Calwell, Carolyn (ENERGY/MEDEI/MRI)
Sent: February-21-17 6:51 PM
To: Hume, Steen (ENERGY)
Subject: RE: Question

Yes, you have it right. If RPP eligible get the benefit of GA smoothing, but Class A and B are required to pay even part back, then we are back into cross-subsidization.

From: Hume, Steen (ENERGY)
Sent: February-21-17 6:48 PM
To: Calwell, Carolyn (ENERGY/MEDEI/MRI)
Subject: Question

Hi. can we chat tomorrow about who's responsible for paying the recovery costs of the GA smoothing.

My simple understanding is that if "RPP-eligible" ratepayers benefit from GA smoothing than RPP-eligible ratepayers are responsible for the pay back. Which would means that Class A and Class B (that are not RPP-eligible) would **not** be expected to pay the recovery costs because they don't benefit from GA smoothing.

Seems to be lingering question for the SOC.

Thanks,   Steen