

FW: Rate Mitigation Plan Options_Feb 9 version _2000_Clean.pptx

From: "McEachern, Gillian (OPO)" <"o=govon/ou=exchange administrative group (fydibohf23spdlt)/cn=recipients/cn=mceachern, gillian (opo)501">
To: "Donelson, Philip (OPO)" <philip.donelson@ontario.ca>
Date: Thu, 09 Feb 2017 11:46:31 -0500

-----Original Message-----

From: Teliszewsky, Andrew (ENERGY)
Sent: February-09-17 5:17 AM
To: McEachern, Gillian (OPO); Bevan, Andrew (OPO)
Subject: Re: Rate Mitigation Plan Options_Feb 9 version _2000_Clean.pptx

Update :

Just spoke with Serge. And to clarify my statement from a moment ago.
The NUMBERS change on those slide 15-20; but our proposed benefits/ rebates from FPC did not.

So, you see that high volume customers still pay a higher TOTAL DELIVERY. That comes down to the fact that delivery includes Transmission and line loss. So we normalize distribution to the \$38.05 I was pitching yesterday, but then you still get volumetric charges added on top of that.

That should remain since all LDC's pay transmission charge and line loss by volume. If you want to start subsidizing those, then we're getting into a whole different, larger and WAY MORE EXPENSIVE proposition.

Serge has edits as well, so this deck is going through another turn before he's comfortable sharing up the line. (I.e. CO).

Atel/

Andrew Teliszewsky

Chief of Staff | Office of Hon. Glenn Thibeault Ministry of Energy andrew.teliszewsky@ontario.ca
416-327-3550 (o)
416-436-6370 (m)

> On Feb 9, 2017, at 4:34 AM, Teliszewsky, Andrew (ENERGY) <Andrew.Teliszewsky@ontario.ca> wrote:

>

> Hi.

>

> Just got this. So a few small edits coming. But, as discussed, Serge got told by Steve to toss the distribution options that we had discussed yesterday's FPC and make them ALL Delivery based.

>

> So it changes the numbers on slides 15-20 from what you have seen before, but represent the same general concepts.

>

> From a policy perspective, would represent the first time we are seeking to normalize / socialize the volumetric transmission charge and line loss that also make up the delivery line. We have not had any time to consider the implications or considerations of that request. Personally, I would have liked time to think up a rationale. Going to try to concoct one this morning.

>

> Otherwise, I'm making a few edits on pages to add greater clarity, but tight deck with decisions specified.

>

> Same password.

>

>

> <Rate Mitigation Plan Options_Feb 9 version _2000_Clean.pptx>
>
>
>
> Andrew Teliszewsky
>
> Chief of Staff | Office of Hon. Glenn Thibeault Ministry of Energy
> andrew.teliszewsky@ontario.ca
> 416-327-3550 (o)
> 416-436-6370 (m)