FW: Net Metering- DRAFT LRC note for review- Due Friday 9am

From: "Hindmarch-Watson, Tim (CAB)" <tim.hindmarch-watson2@ontario.ca>
To: "Moore, Karen (CAB)" <karen.moore4@ontario.ca>
Date: Thu, 14 Sep 2017 11:10:43 -0400

Tim Hindmarch-Watson
416-325-4618

From: Hindmarch-Watson, Tim (CAB)
Sent: September-14-17 9:35 AM
To: Schlaepfer, Martin (ENERGY)
Cc: Young, Samantha (CAB); Pagel, Alexa (ENERGY); Armstrong, Hillary (ENERGY)
Subject: RE: Net Metering- DRAFT LRC note for review- Due Friday 9am

Thanks, Martin.

I had some follow up questions on the net metering piece that I hoped you could help me with. I didn't get into this in the note as I view the risk as fairly remote and didn't want to alarm people unnecessarily.

With respect to grid defection, have people done any analysis of what level of defection would be needed to start to cause issues for the OFHP GA refinancing? This issue came up when we briefed the SOC on OFHP and LTEP so I want to be sure that we have some answers if possible.

The submission makes the point that the net metering program will mitigate the grid defection because one needs to be connected to net meter. Are there possible risks to this assumption given that the Clean Energy Adjustment (CEA) will not be able to be offset by net metering, which may encourage individuals to take that next step and disconnect?

As the note points out, grid parity is expected to be around 2022-2026, right around the time when the CEA is anticipated to start appearing on bills. My concern comes in part from a situation where individuals/companies may use the curve in the LTEP to assess when they may want to start net metering, but then discover that another portion of that increasing bill is ineligible for credits under the program, which could lead to disaffection and encourage grid defection.

I am happy to talk this through with people if that would be easier, or if I am missing something or not connecting the dots correctly. I know a lot is happening still, so I don't need answers immediately, but early next week would be helpful to assist with briefings etc.

Thanks for your help,

Tim Hindmarch-Watson
416-325-4618

From: Schlaepfer, Martin (ENERGY)
Sent: September-13-17 2:36 PM
To: Hindmarch-Watson, Tim (CAB)
Cc: Young, Samantha (CAB); Pagel, Alexa (ENERGY); Armstrong, Hillary (ENERGY)
Subject: Re: Net Metering- DRAFT LRC note for review- Due Friday 9am

Thanks. Will do.

From: Hindmarch-Watson, Tim (CAB)

Sent: Wednesday, September 13, 2017 2:34 PM
To: Schlaepfer, Martin (ENERGY)
Cc: Young, Samantha (CAB)
Subject: RE: Net Metering- DRAFT LRC note for review- Due Friday 9am

Sorry, forgot to mention that you should copy Sam on your reply in case I disappear.

Thanks

Tim Hindmarch-Watson
416-325-4618

From: Hindmarch-Watson, Tim (CAB)
Sent: September-13-17 2:32 PM
To: Schlaepfer, Martin (ENERGY)
Subject: Net Metering- DRAFT LRC note for review- Due Friday 9am

Hi Martin:

Please find attached the draft LRC note for the Net Metering legislative changes. Please have folks review and provide comments by 9am on Friday.

Thanks,

Tim Hindmarch-Watson
Policy Advisor
Justice, Resource and Environmental Policy
Policy and Delivery Division
Cabinet Office
416-325-4618