

# RE: LRC Binder Publication

---

**From:** "Schlaepfer, Martin (ENERGY)" <martin.schlaepfer@ontario.ca>  
**To:** "Young, Samantha (CAB)" <samantha.young2@ontario.ca>  
**Cc:** "Chatterjee, Jeet (ENERGY)" <jeet.chatterjee@ontario.ca>, "Moore, Karen (CAB)" <karen.moore4@ontario.ca>, "Hindmarch-Watson, Tim (CAB)" <tim.hindmarch-watson2@ontario.ca>  
**Date:** Tue, 05 Dec 2017 11:35:13 -0500  
**Attachments:** REG-XXXXX\_IDXXXXX\_LRC\_Committee\_Note Storage v01\_DGMv2.docx (34.81 kB)

---

Hi Sam,

Please find attached our comments on the Storage Note. Please note our MO is still reviewing but wanted to share.

Thanks,  
Martin

---

**From:** Young, Samantha (CAB)  
**Sent:** December-05-17 11:05 AM  
**To:** Schlaepfer, Martin (ENERGY); Hindmarch-Watson, Tim (CAB)  
**Cc:** Moore, Karen (CAB); Chatterjee, Jeet (ENERGY)  
**Subject:** RE: LRC Binder Publication

Hi Martin,

Apologies to press but we're running out of runway to make the LRC binder publication.

Does ENE have any comments on the note?

Many thanks,  
Sam

---

**From:** Schlaepfer, Martin (ENERGY)  
**Sent:** December-05-17 9:41 AM  
**To:** Hindmarch-Watson, Tim (CAB)  
**Cc:** Young, Samantha (CAB); Moore, Karen (CAB); Chatterjee, Jeet (ENERGY)  
**Subject:** RE: LRC Binder Publication

Hi Tim,

As you know, we've passed on the clarification for the bill redesign question below.

In response to your USMP question on public response, staff have provided a response in the attached.

Additionally, regarding the OFA comment: "It might be useful to confirm with the Ministry if they have considered the circumstances of small unit sub-metering entities that may not have the scale to offset costs associated with new regulatory requirements. For example, there is an application before the OEB for what appears to be a condominium corporation interested in sub-metering; this is likely a small entity."

Staff response: *The OEB is going to work with USMPs to figure out what level of regulation is needed. So these issues will be raised in that forum.*

Our MO is still reviewing these but wanted to share with you concurrently.

The revised storage pink note should track in shortly.

Thanks,  
Martin

---

**From:** Hindmarch-Watson, Tim (CAB)  
**Sent:** December-05-17 8:12 AM  
**To:** Schlaepfer, Martin (ENERGY)  
**Cc:** Young, Samantha (CAB); Moore, Karen (CAB); Chatterjee, Jeet (ENERGY)  
**Subject:** LRC Binder Publication  
**Importance:** High

Hi Martin:

Thanks again for expediting the DM signed versions of the bills and storage approval forms. As discussed, to be able to publish this morning we need an indication from your office that you MO is comfortable with the DM signed versions being sent for publication.

We also need to finalize the CO LRC notes, so we need the fact check back on the storage note as well as the review of the following red text:

**USMP**

Under Stakeholder Response:

“ General Public – neutral to positive – anticipate general support for the regulation.”

**Bills:**

Under the cost section:

“The proposal has no direct cost to government. There will be compliance costs for LDCs to make changes to their billing systems and these costs will vary for each LDC. There are no anticipated costs to the OEB. **Any amounts passed onto consumer through electricity bills are expected to be negligible.**”

Thanks,  
**Tim Hindmarch-Watson**  
Policy Advisor  
Justice, Resource and Environmental Policy  
Policy and Delivery Division  
Cabinet Office  
416-325-4618