

Re: MOECC - LTEP submission

From: "Moore, Karen (CAB)" <"o=govon/ou=exchange administrative group (fydibohf23spdlt)/cn=recipients/cn=moore, karen (cab)339">
To: "Hindmarch-Watson, Tim (CAB)" <tim.hindmarch-watson2@ontario.ca>, "Greenberg, Martha (CAB)" <martha.greenberg@ontario.ca>
Date: Tue, 29 Aug 2017 21:23:38 -0400

Agree with this and also note this direction was minuted in CCAP so does not need to be stated again. Would also mean brokering with MIRR before mail out which presents another challenge!

Sent from my BlackBerry 10 smartphone on the Rogers network.

From: Hindmarch-Watson, Tim (CAB)
Sent: Tuesday, August 29, 2017 9:00 PM
To: Greenberg, Martha (CAB)
Cc: Moore, Karen (CAB)
Subject: RE: MOECC - LTEP submission

The addition of MIRR to the minute may not be as straight forward as you think as I think this may be seen as a further effort by MOECC to get energy to solve their former minister's commitment to not have cap and trade impact First Nations reliant on diesel. The commitment was made before anyone knew what was involved and it has proved very difficult to develop a solution. Also, many off grid communities actually pay quite low rates that are often heavily subsidized by the feds. Will be interested to see how they respond

Tim Hindmarch-Watson
416-325-4618

From: Greenberg, Martha (CAB)
Sent: August-29-17 8:54 PM
To: McEachern, Gillian (OPO); Donelson, Philip (OPO)
Cc: Davidson, Steven (CAB); Foster, Robert (CAB); Moore, Karen (CAB); Hindmarch-Watson, Tim (CAB)
Subject: MOECC - LTEP submission
Importance: High

Hi,

Below and attached are MOECC's comments on the LTEP submission (edits to minute made in the deck as well as a suggestion below). As we quickly discussed after the briefing, I don't think any of us would be surprised by MOECC's suggestions to minute #3 and I admit my own personal view is that I'd be fine with the language they suggest but I know others were comfortable with the energy language so perhaps have a look at let me know.

Their catches on the deck are good and I'll share those with energy. As for the additional thought on the minute of including FN and MIRR, I have no issues with that addition as it references work that is already going on.

I know energy was looking for MOECC's edits by 9pm so I'll send them this and note we are discussing with PO the changes to the minute. Hope that works.

Thanks,
Martha

From: Harth, David (MOECC)

Sent: August-29-17 8:39 PM

To: Greenberg, Martha (CAB); Moore, Karen (CAB); Downarowicz, Ewa (CAB)

Cc: Evans, Paul (MOECC); Daube, Nicholas (MOECC); Shedletzky, Adam (MOECC); Corr, Siobhan (MOECC); Pearson, Heather (MOECC); Wood, Alex (MOECC)

Subject: Confidential advice to cabinet: LTEP submission

Karen and Martha,

Attached are MOECC's proposed changes to deck and minute. In addition to changes in the minute we have removed some references to gas bills given removal of fuels supply and prices slides .

Slide 16: factual correction to percentage of emissions from fuels

Slide 31 and first slide 40: strikeouts references to natural gas bill

Slide 41: revised to reflect that Energy and MOECC will report back together on the modelling and options for alignment with targets and LTEP objectives

In addition to the revisions to minute in the attached, we would propose to add FN's to the minute that speaks to managing electricity costs for SME's per below

1. ENERGY continue to work with Ministry of Economic Development and Growth (MEDG), the Ministry Responsible for Small Business, and other relevant ministries **including MIRR** , to identify opportunities to decrease electricity costs for small and medium-sized enterprises **and off-grid First Nation reserve communities** that currently do not benefit from rate mitigation programs.