

**2017 LTEP
PO Edits Tracker**

Lead Division	Chapter	Page #	PO Proposed Change / Comment	Accept/Reject	Proposed Counter Edit
All	Exec Summary	3-7	The bullets are fairly technical. Will there be a plain language edit?		
ESP	General		Capacity Auction Language: Need to make language consistent throughout document re: low carbon (PO to discuss with MO further and pass along further context).		
CRED	1	12	Affordability Fund: Need to explain that this is on top of all other existing conservation programs to help people save money, otherwise it sounds it's all we're doing.		
ESP	1	14	Existing Help for Business and Industry (paragraph on Class B that starts with "Ontario will continue to explore...") Are we sure enough that we can do something on this to create that expectation in the document?		
ESP	2	18?	The Need for Flexibility: The market renewal section is not very clear what we're saying re: climate and environmental attributes because it comes up in a few different ways. Need a consistent statement. (PO to discuss with MO further and pass along further context).		
ESP	2	24	Influence of the Carbon Market (third paragraph): Change "Consumers pay the carbon cost...." to "the price of fossil fuels such as natural gas, gasoline, diesel and propane will include a carbon cost as a result of the cap and trade program"; also add sentence about why we're doing cap and trade – most cost-effective way to reduce emissions. (PO says PO comms has lots of language on this, let DMO know if we need to collect this for you).		
ESP	2	24	Influence of the Carbon Market: Need to include sentence on money from carbon market all being reinvested in programs to help people and businesses reduce GHGs and save money.		

Lead Division	Chapter	Page #	PO Proposed Change / Comment	Accept/Reject	Proposed Counter Edit
ESP	2	25	Natural Gas: Need to tone this section down a bit to not create assumption that status quo will continue on natural gas given we need to target QC or other options to decrease it		
ESP	2	25	Refurbishing Nuclear: Suggest scaling back the refurb section because there's lots of unnecessary stuff in it and it's unbalanced with every other supply type ie why is it LTEP's role to talk about what Bruce Power is doing with local community? We don't do that for any other companies. Will storage be upset about not being mentioned as a supply source?		
CRED	3	34-35	Net Metering: Can we mention Oxford County specifically regarding virtual net metering or providing a text box on what they're trying to do?		
SNAP	3	36	Energy Storage (last paragraph): Isn't this what we also said in 2013? Can we just do it this fall instead of making another commitment? They won't take it seriously.		
CRED	5		HERD – Need to reference that this is still being worked on in conservation chapter.		
CRED	5	57	Demand Response: DR section does not yet reflect conversation with Atel. (PO is going to discuss with MO and provide more context).		
ESP	6	69-71	Building on a Clean Electricity System: Need to be more explicit that we will look for ways to hold emissions flat or decrease, as discussed, using QC or other options, so that we aim for the lower end of the wedge not higher end.		