

RE: LTEP Modules

From: David Barrett <david.barrett@ieso.ca>
To: "Armstrong, Hillary (ENERGY)" <hillary.armstrong@ontario.ca>
Cc: "Schlaepfer, Martin (ENERGY)" <martin.schlaepfer@ontario.ca>, Anthy Lovachis <anthy.lovachis@ieso.ca>
Date: Fri, 09 Mar 2018 15:35:58 -0500
Attachments: 2017 LTEP Module 1 - Demand 2018-03-09.xlsx (325.23 kB); 2017 LTEP Module 3 - Supply 2018-03-09.xlsx (53.14 kB); 2017 LTEP Module 5 - Cost 2018-03-09.xlsx (61.2 kB); IESO's responses to ENERGY LTEP Modules comments 2018-03-09.docx (41.43 kB)

Hillary, updates and responses attached. Modules 2/4/6 did not change so they're not attached. We will have responses on nuclear price next week.

Thanks,
David

From: Armstrong, Hillary (ENERGY) [mailto:Hillary.Armstrong@ontario.ca]
Sent: March 07, 2018 10:39 AM
To: Anthy Lovachis; David Barrett
Cc: Schlaepfer, Martin (ENERGY)
Subject: RE: LTEP Modules

Hi Anthy,

Sorry for the delay, below is a bit of an explanation for what we're looking for. If you have any questions, I can connect you with the right person to talk to.

Thanks,
Hillary

- The Ministry suggests IESO discuss with its contract management team and Bruce Power on the best approach to provide sufficient detail to the public while respecting Bruce Power's confidential information.
- For example, the Financial Accountability Officer (FAO) calculated the nuclear unit price as the production-weighted average of OPG's regulated price each year and the average Bruce price over the life of the contract. The FAO used this approach due to disclosure restrictions on Bruce Power's confidential data (i.e., annual contract price).
- Alternatively, the IESO could consider rounding the unit cost data for all generation types. The proposed Module 5 spreadsheet includes unit cost data to 10+ decimal points. Greater rounding would reduce the accuracy of any calculation that attempts to back-out the Bruce price from the module (pending confirmation from IESO/Bruce Power on whether this meets disclosure restrictions).

From: Anthy Lovachis [<mailto:Anthy.Lovachis@ieso.ca>]
Sent: March 5, 2018 1:53 PM
To: Armstrong, Hillary (ENERGY) <Hillary.Armstrong@ontario.ca>; David Barrett <david.barrett@ieso.ca>
Cc: Schlaepfer, Martin (ENERGY) <Martin.Schlaepfer@ontario.ca>
Subject: RE: LTEP Modules

Hi there,

In the comments document that was provided to us, the ministry is asking that IESO reconsider its presentation of the unit cost of nuclear. We're happy to help address this comment, but would require further details from ministry staff. What is ministry's preference on how this should be presented?

Thanks,
Anthy

From: Armstrong, Hillary (ENERGY) [<mailto:Hillary.Armstrong@ontario.ca>]
Sent: March 02, 2018 4:29 PM
To: Anthy Lovachis; David Barrett
Cc: Schlaepfer, Martin (ENERGY)
Subject: LTEP Modules

Hi there,

Sorry for the delay in getting this back to you. We've reviewed the modules, and made some revisions to ministry content in the cost module. We've also pulled together some comments in the attached word document. This builds on the responses IESO previously provided. Some of it is context, and some of them are questions.

I'm attaching all the current versions of the modules in case that is helpful as well.

Would it be possible to get responses to our questions end of next week? We're hoping to keep these moving so we can get these made public and cross them off of our to-do list. If you want to have a call about any of this, we can organize something with ministry staff.

Let me know if you have any questions.

Thanks,
Hillary

This e-mail message and any files transmitted with it are intended only for the named recipient(s) above and may contain information that is privileged, confidential and/or exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the intended recipient(s), any dissemination, distribution or copying of this e-mail message or any files transmitted with it is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, or are not the named recipient(s), please notify the sender immediately and delete this e-mail message.