

RE: accounting requirements for creation of regulatory asset

From: "Picard, Michel" <mpicard@kpmg.ca>
To: Kim Marshall <kim.marshall@ieso.ca>, "Nelms, Scott (ENERGY)" <scott.nelms@ontario.ca>
Cc: "Hume, Steen (ENERGY)" <steen.hume@ontario.ca>, "Calwell, Carolyn (ENERGY/MEDEI/MRI)" <carolyn.calwell@ontario.ca>
Date: Fri, 24 Feb 2017 10:59:24 -0500

OK for 2pm

-----Original Message-----

From: Kim Marshall [mailto:Kim.Marshall@ieso.ca]
Sent: Friday, February 24, 2017 10:55 AM
To: Nelms, Scott (ENERGY) <Scott.Nelms@ontario.ca>; Picard, Michel <mpicard@kpmg.ca>
Cc: Hume, Steen (ENERGY) <Steen.Hume@ontario.ca>; Calwell, Carolyn (ENERGY/MEDEI/MRI) <Carolyn.Calwell@ontario.ca>
Subject: RE: accounting requirements for creation of regulatory asset

That would be helpful, later is better for me say 2pm, do you want me to have one of our regulatory folks in the room or not yet and who from oeb will participate?

Kimberly Marshall | VP, Corporate Services & CFO
Independent Electricity System Operator (IESO) | T: (416) 969-6232 | C: (416) 545-7202
120 Adelaide Street West, Suite 1600, Toronto, ON M5H 1T1
Web: www.ieso.ca | Twitter: IESO_Tweets | LinkedIn: IESO

Corporate Services collaborates with you to deliver solutions and expertise that enable the IESO to achieve its goals.

Conservation: www.saveONenergy.ca | Twitter: [saveONenergyOnt](#) | LinkedIn: [saveONenergy](#)

-----Original Message-----

From: Nelms, Scott (ENERGY) [mailto:Scott.Nelms@ontario.ca]
Sent: February 24, 2017 10:18 AM
To: Kim Marshall; Picard, Michel
Cc: Hume, Steen (ENERGY); Calwell, Carolyn (ENERGY/MEDEI/MRI)
Subject: RE: accounting requirements for creation of regulatory asset

We would like to set up a call for this afternoon with you and OEB. We will try for some time between 1-3pm.

-----Original Message-----

From: Kim Marshall [mailto:Kim.Marshall@ieso.ca]
Sent: February 24, 2017 7:34 AM
To: Picard, Michel; Nelms, Scott (ENERGY)
Cc: Hume, Steen (ENERGY); Calwell, Carolyn (ENERGY/MEDEI/MRI)
Subject: RE: accounting requirements for creation of regulatory asset

The smart meter was a specific ruling allowing collection of a fee in the future for an initiative. In the Ontario Power Authority past we would file with our annual business plan the status of certain balance sheet accounts after the "accounting" had been determined. I will have someone pull out the language but it was more of a blessing.

I personally am wondering about the OEB role re the setting of the rpp, which I presume is how the GA is going to be reduced and how the amounts will be recovered.

Is it time for us to be speaking with the OEB or sit tight? Everyone is eager.....

Kimberly Marshall | VP, Corporate Services & CFO Independent Electricity System Operator (IESO) |
T: (416) 969-6232 | C: (416) 545-7202
120 Adelaide Street West, Suite 1600, Toronto, ON M5H 1T1
Web: www.ieso.ca | Twitter: IESO_Tweets | LinkedIn: IESO

Corporate Services collaborates with you to deliver solutions and expertise that enable the IESO to achieve its goals.

Conservation: www.saveONenergy.ca | Twitter: saveONenergyOnt | LinkedIn: saveONenergy

-----Original Message-----

From: Picard, Michel [<mailto:mpicard@kpmg.ca>]
Sent: February 23, 2017 8:49 PM
To: Nelms, Scott (ENERGY)
Cc: Hume, Steen (ENERGY); Kim Marshall; Calwell, Carolyn (ENERGY/MEDE/MRI)
Subject: Re: accounting requirements for creation of regulatory asset

*** EXTERNAL email. Please be cautious and evaluate before you click on links, open attachments, or provide credentials. ***

Sure.

They have issued in 2013 a Decision and Order for the Smart Meter Charge.

Sent from my iPhone

On Feb 23, 2017, at 18:53, Nelms, Scott (ENERGY)
<Scott.Nelms@ontario.ca<<mailto:Scott.Nelms@ontario.ca>>> wrote:

Hi Michel,

We met with OEB a few times today to talk about their role in the GA mechanism. Coming out of those conversations it is becoming apparent that we will need to better understand what is required to establish a regulatory asset on IESO's financials. We have been under the impression that OEB oversight is necessary. However OEB does not believe it regulates other IESO accounts (e.g. the RPP variance account) which are shown as assets. If OEB oversight is not required for accounting, this would give us more flexibility.

Can you help us to think this through?

Thanks,
Scott

Scott Nelms
Electricity Investment and Governance Secretariat | Ministry of Finance 7th Floor | 375 University ave.
| Toronto, ON
w: (416) 212-6469 | c: (647) 330-2681
scott.nelms@ontario.ca<<mailto:scott.nelms@ontario.ca>>

This email was sent to you by KPMG (<http://info.kpmg.ca>). To sign up to receive event invitations and other communications from us (we have some informative publications that may be of interest to you), or to stop receiving electronic messages sent by KPMG, visit the KPMG Online Subscription Centre (<http://subscribe.kpmg.ca>).

At KPMG we are passionate about earning your trust and building a long-term relationship through service excellence. This extends to our communications with you.

Our lawyers have recommended that we provide certain disclaimer language with our messages.

Rather than including them here, we're drawing your attention to the following links where the full legal wording appears.

Disclaimer concerning confidential and privileged information/unintended recipient (<http://disclaimer.kpmg.ca>). Disclaimer concerning tax advice (<http://taxdisclaimer.kpmg.ca>).

If you are unable to access the links above, please cut and paste the URL that follows the link into your browser.

This e-mail message and any files transmitted with it are intended only for the named recipient(s) above and may contain information that is privileged, confidential and/or exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the intended recipient(s), any dissemination, distribution or copying of this e-mail message or any files transmitted with it is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, or are not the named recipient(s), please notify the sender immediately and delete this e-mail message.