

Accounting risks/estimates re: 2016-17

As at: March 17, 2017

Ministry	Issue	Amount	Amount included in Q3	Timing of resolution
MNDM	Contaminated site	\$54.1	\$47.6	April 4 TB/MBC Submission. Was re-profiled from ESAI to 3 sites during
MNDM	ESAI	\$47.6	NO	Still in discussions with Ministry by CPD.
EDU?	Ontario Child Benefit	(\$70)	NO	Reduction in accrued liability. Timing TBD. Based on 1.5% and not the original 3.5%
	Forest Service Road	\$10M	NO	Offset. Tracking for March 22.
	Honda/Ford	\$643.6M	NO	Offset to be confirmed. Note regarding the Jobs and Prosperity Fund)
MTCS	Pam Am Village	TBD	NO	Accounting policy group is working with MTCS to land on solution
Energy	IFRS-US GAAP Difference	Have not received updated forecast yet	\$75M	March 31, 2017 (trying to get update earlier)
Energy	Brampton Hydro Gain	\$180M estimate	Included as part of the Asset modernization initiatives	Numbers are in draft. There is uncertainty in PILs, but purchase price is almost firm --\$607M. There may be difference in cash (PIL) vs. notes receivables (rest of the purchase price). Purchaser has 60 days to from closing to complete due-diligence. (low risk)
EDU	Remedy Accrual	TBD	NO	\$500M accrual in 2015-16
Energy	Affordability Fund – H1	\$200M	NO	

Commented [OPCD1]: Please add ministry, delete Ministry from the second column and expand the description of the issue so the list is self-explanatory – e.g. assuming the first issue is related to whether there is an increased in the contaminated sites liability.

Commented [OPCD2]: Missing end of the sentence

Commented [OPCD3]: Are we part of these discussions? If not, why not – is this a contaminated sites issue?

Commented [OPCD4]: Not sure what the last sentence means – please expand

Commented [OPCD5]: What's the issue?