

FW: Fiscal Impact table for GA smoothing

From: "Strang, James (TBS)" <james.strang@ontario.ca>
To: "Laughton, Patrick (TBS)" <patrick.laughton@ontario.ca>
Cc: "Liu, Sophie (TBS)" <sophie.liu@ontario.ca>, "Graham, Helen (TBS)" <helen.graham@ontario.ca>, "Proulx, Didem (TBS)" <didem.proulx@ontario.ca>, "Strang, James (TBS)" <james.strang@ontario.ca>, "Arbabzadeh, Nina (TBS)" <nina.arbabzadeh@ontario.ca>, "Hosick, Sarah (TBS)" <sarah.hosick@ontario.ca>, "Fung, Felix (TBS)" <som.felix.fung@ontario.ca>
Date: Thu, 23 Feb 2017 09:48:03 -0500
Attachments: GASmoothingFiscalSlide.pptx (102.91 kB)

Revised slide from energy to align the fiscal impact table at the back for RPP Eligible (it was still RPP Only)

From: Katona, Keley (ENERGY)
Sent: February 23, 2017 9:44 AM
To: Strang, James (TBS)
Subject: RE: Fiscal Impact table for GA smoothing

[Attached](#)

From: Katona, Keley (ENERGY)
Sent: February-23-17 9:37 AM
To: Strang, James (TBS)
Subject: RE: Fiscal Impact table for GA smoothing

We actually have a correction to the fiscal table, it hadn't been updated for RPP eligible. We are bringing it to the MP meeting. I'll send it to you shortly. But you are correct, RPP eligible captures those on retail contracts as well.

From: Strang, James (TBS)
Sent: February-23-17 9:34 AM
To: Katona, Keley (ENERGY)
Subject: Fiscal Impact table for GA smoothing

In the two decks that reached me today, the fiscal impact table on slides 66 and on 20 (GA Smoothing only deck) are for RPP Only (and lower than the table in yesterday's deck). The front graphs and tables in both decks are for RPP Eligible Only.

I'm assuming RPP eligible only is a slightly larger population.