

RE: Electricity Risks - COE Thoughts and Feedback

From: "Proulx, Didem (TBS)" <didem.proulx@ontario.ca>
To: "Hosick, Sarah (TBS)" <sarah.hosick@ontario.ca>, "Fung, Felix (TBS)" <som.felix.fung@ontario.ca>, "Graham, Helen (TBS)" <helen.graham@ontario.ca>, "Liu, Sophie (TBS)" <sophie.liu@ontario.ca>
Cc: "Strang, James (TBS)" <james.strang@ontario.ca>, "Patel, Bharat (TBS)" <bharat.patel3@ontario.ca>
Date: Wed, 22 Feb 2017 16:09:31 -0500

Thanks for this doc Sarah. Will do.

From: Hosick, Sarah (TBS)
Sent: February-22-17 4:08 PM
To: Fung, Felix (TBS); Proulx, Didem (TBS); Graham, Helen (TBS); Liu, Sophie (TBS)
Cc: Strang, James (TBS); Patel, Bharat (TBS)
Subject: RE: Electricity Risks - COE Thoughts and Feedback

Hi Didem and Felix,

Cindy/OPCD have a few additions in the attached. Can you work these in as you streamline the document?

Thanks
Sarah

From: Fung, Felix (TBS)
Sent: February-22-17 4:00 PM
To: Proulx, Didem (TBS); Graham, Helen (TBS); Liu, Sophie (TBS)
Cc: Strang, James (TBS); Hosick, Sarah (TBS); Patel, Bharat (TBS)
Subject: RE: Electricity Risks - COE Thoughts and Feedback

Absolutely, thank you Didem for your help in reviewing.

From: Proulx, Didem (TBS)
Sent: February-22-17 4:00 PM
To: Fung, Felix (TBS); Graham, Helen (TBS); Liu, Sophie (TBS)
Cc: Strang, James (TBS); Hosick, Sarah (TBS); Patel, Bharat (TBS)
Subject: RE: Electricity Risks - COE Thoughts and Feedback

We noticed that a number of the points are now repeated and addressed in multiple ways. Would everyone be ok if we took a stab at steamlining the doc a bit? Happy to circulate the next version before 5:00.

From: Fung, Felix (TBS)
Sent: February-22-17 3:38 PM
To: Graham, Helen (TBS); Proulx, Didem (TBS); Liu, Sophie (TBS)
Cc: Strang, James (TBS); Hosick, Sarah (TBS); Patel, Bharat (TBS)
Subject: RE: Electricity Risks - COE Thoughts and Feedback

Thanks Helen, I'm not sure if we need to pinpoint which group provided which comment – I think we can

just say it's a consolidated set of comments from OTB.

Thanks for sharing the OPCD comments on the assessment note – we hadn't seen them so we can pull your comments into this product.

From: Graham, Helen (TBS)
Sent: February-22-17 3:20 PM
To: Fung, Felix (TBS); Proulx, Didem (TBS); Liu, Sophie (TBS)
Cc: Strang, James (TBS); Hosick, Sarah (TBS); Patel, Bharat (TBS)
Subject: Re: Electricity Risks - COE Thoughts and Feedback

Felix

Opacd has already provide various risks on the items (esp ga smoothing and affordability fund) - some are to do with fiscal impact (eg consolidtaion impacts/risks), some with valueformoney concerns and potential negative comment by the auditor general

I see you have picked some of that up in your list as comments from coe - wondering if we need to pin which group provided which comment, at this point, or if you just need a list?

Not sure if we have forwarded our comments from yesterday on james' draft note, but if yes, those should be ibncluded in this list?

Sent from my BlackBerry 10 smartphone on the Rogers network.

From: Fung, Felix (TBS)
Sent: Wednesday, February 22, 2017 2:56 PM
To: Proulx, Didem (TBS); Liu, Sophie (TBS); Graham, Helen (TBS)
Cc: Strang, James (TBS)
Subject: RE: Electricity Risks - COE Thoughts and Feedback

Hi folks - here's where we are at with as of now - James has added our stuff, but if you guys want to add yours to this version, that would be great. Let us know if you have any questions.

Thank you
Felix

From: Laughton, Patrick (TBS)
Sent: February-22-17 2:24 PM
To: Proulx, Didem (TBS); Veinot, Cindy (TBS); Fung, Felix (TBS)
Cc: Liu, Sophie (TBS); Graham, Helen (TBS); Hosick, Sarah (TBS)
Subject: RE: Electricity Risks - COE Thoughts and Feedback

Here is the deck from this morning.

From: Proulx, Didem (TBS)
Sent: February-22-17 2:11 PM
To: Veinot, Cindy (TBS); Laughton, Patrick (TBS); Fung, Felix (TBS)
Cc: Liu, Sophie (TBS); Graham, Helen (TBS); Hosick, Sarah (TBS)
Subject: RE: Electricity Risks - COE Thoughts and Feedback

Will be interesting to see if they have revised or refined the costings for the other initiatives as well.

From: Veinot, Cindy (TBS)
Sent: February-22-17 2:10 PM

To: Proulx, Didem (TBS); Laughton, Patrick (TBS); Fung, Felix (TBS)
Cc: Liu, Sophie (TBS); Graham, Helen (TBS); Hosick, Sarah (TBS)
Subject: RE: Electricity Risks - COE Thoughts and Feedback

Yes – higher (of course!) – about \$300M per year.

From: Proulx, Didem (TBS)
Sent: February-22-17 2:09 PM
To: Veinot, Cindy (TBS); Laughton, Patrick (TBS); Fung, Felix (TBS)
Cc: Liu, Sophie (TBS); Graham, Helen (TBS); Hosick, Sarah (TBS)
Subject: RE: Electricity Risks - COE Thoughts and Feedback

Getting a copy of the revised deck with the new numbers would be great, as I suspect the revised numbers (including all eligible RPP customers) will be higher.

Look forward to receiving the revised deck.

From: Veinot, Cindy (TBS)
Sent: February-22-17 2:01 PM
To: Laughton, Patrick (TBS); Proulx, Didem (TBS); Fung, Felix (TBS)
Cc: Liu, Sophie (TBS); Graham, Helen (TBS); Hosick, Sarah (TBS)
Subject: RE: Electricity Risks - COE Thoughts and Feedback

Energy re-ran the models to include all RPP eligible customers. Patrick – there was a deck handed out at SOC meeting this morning. If you don't have Karen's copy to scan, let us know and Sarah can scan my copy and send it around.

Cindy

From: Laughton, Patrick (TBS)
Sent: February-22-17 1:58 PM
To: Proulx, Didem (TBS); Fung, Felix (TBS)
Cc: Liu, Sophie (TBS); Veinot, Cindy (TBS); Graham, Helen (TBS)
Subject: RE: Electricity Risks - COE Thoughts and Feedback

Hi Didem –

On your points:

- Please update summary of issues doc, it's less dense/easier to follow than the TB note
- I have not received updated materials
- While I haven't had a thorough debrief, the discussion yesterday was primarily focused on accounting and Cindy was present so think we can leave those pieces to her/Helen to feed in

Hope this helps.

P.

From: Proulx, Didem (TBS)
Sent: February-22-17 1:47 PM
To: Laughton, Patrick (TBS); Fung, Felix (TBS)
Cc: Liu, Sophie (TBS); Veinot, Cindy (TBS); Graham, Helen (TBS)
Subject: RE: Electricity Risks - COE Thoughts and Feedback

Patrick – wanted to double check two things:

- I would like to confirm that you would like us to update the summary of issues doc (the one called Energy Notes) and not the TB Notes
- I wanted to double check if Energy or CO have shared any updated materials, decks or costings.

Also – is there any feedback from yesterday evening briefing that should be worked into this. Given we

were not there, wanted to make sure we don't miss anything Karen or Mike would be expecting to see as an issue and risk.

Thank you,
Didem

From: Laughton, Patrick (TBS)
Sent: February-22-17 1:31 PM
To: Proulx, Didem (TBS); Hughes, Karen (TBS); Fung, Felix (TBS)
Cc: Liu, Sophie (TBS); Veinot, Cindy (TBS); Graham, Helen (TBS)
Subject: RE: Electricity Risks - COE Thoughts and Feedback

Hi everyone –

Mike Jancik has asked that we pull together a list of risks/issues we have with electricity mitigation and Kyle has indicated that he'd like to receive today (my guess is this is back pocket document to help inform discussions at Major Projects tomorrow)

Can you please work to update the attached document to reflect all the risks we are tracking against this item? I think that that much of this can be pulled from the draft AN but I know there were a few placeholders in the note I saw that needed updating.

Felix has agreed to coordinate but will need Cindy/Helen and Didem/Sophie to update for their content as needed.

Many thanks,

P.

From: Proulx, Didem (TBS)
Sent: February-19-17 4:40 PM
To: Hughes, Karen (TBS); Fung, Felix (TBS)
Cc: Laughton, Patrick (TBS); Liu, Sophie (TBS)
Subject: COE Thoughts and Feedback

Karen and Felix,

Please find attached the notes Sophie and I put together, that is intended to summarize the key issues and questions. In a number of instances (OESP Enhancement and Affordability Fund), it makes a number of observations and recommends alternative courses of action. These are our staff level thoughts and we would fully understand if that is not the feedback/recommendation carried in the note. We wanted to highlight these areas, as we think BIU can help support improvements that are no or low cost in these areas, rather than allocating significant new resources.

Felix, as promised, we will take this feedback/observations and work them into the draft note you kindly shared with us. Should have that over to you in the next hour or so. In the meantime, we thought you may want to see this.

Thank you,
Didem