[No Subject]

From:	"Frisina, Mike (TBS)" <mike.frisina@ontario.ca>
To:	"Pichelli, Jason (TBS)" <jason.pichelli@ontario.ca>
Date:	Thu, 12 Oct 2017 13:53:08 -0400

Just a couple of points I can talk to, and we have representatives from OPG here who could provide a bit more detail on OPG's financing plan.

The numbers in the FAO report were correct at the time in terms of the analysis, but there have been updates, changes, as we go through the long-term energy plan, so the amount of borrowing overall for GA financing is going to be less than what the FAO was looking at. We'll provide that update as part of the long-term energy plan release. So that $4-billion number will be reduced.

In terms of whether there are additional borrowing costs, I think you have to look at who was best placed to do the borrowing, what skill sets they have, and why we picked OPG to be the entity to do that borrowing. OPG has a lot of experience in the market. They understand rate-regulated assets. They do project financing right now. They deal with credit-rating agencies. So in terms of the structure of having OPG, we think it brings a lot of benefit to financing the securitization.