

RE: Cabinet QA on Pickering Life Extension

From: "Teliszewsky, Andrew (ENERGY)" </o=govon/ou=exchange administrative group (fydibohf23spdlt)/cn=recipients/cn=teliszewsky, andrew (energy)4fe">
To: "Whittington, Matt (ENERGY)" <matt.whittington@ontario.ca>, "Schlaepfer, Martin (ENERGY)" <martin.schlaepfer@ontario.ca>
Cc: "Imbrogno, Serge (ENERGY)" <serge.imbrogno@ontario.ca>, "Ruttan, Craig (ENERGY)" <craig.ruttan@ontario.ca>, "Martin, Eric (ENERGY)" <eric.martin@ontario.ca>, "Baker, Carys (ENERGY)" <carys.baker@ontario.ca>
Date: Wed, 21 Jun 2017 15:54:12 -0400

Mine too.

CO will just have to wait until we sort out these issues.

Probably should look again at getting our own server. IT was looking into that a few months back – do we know how that was resolved?

Atel/

From: Whittington, Matt (ENERGY)
Sent: June-21-17 3:53 PM
To: Schlaepfer, Martin (ENERGY); Teliszewsky, Andrew (ENERGY)
Cc: Imbrogno, Serge (ENERGY); Ruttan, Craig (ENERGY); Martin, Eric (ENERGY); Baker, Carys (ENERGY)
Subject: RE: Cabinet QA on Pickering Life Extension

In my inbox, it says Outlook has blocked access to the attachment for being potentially unsafe.

From: Schlaepfer, Martin (ENERGY)
Sent: June-21-17 2:29 PM
To: Teliszewsky, Andrew (ENERGY)
Cc: Imbrogno, Serge (ENERGY); Whittington, Matt (ENERGY); Ruttan, Craig (ENERGY); Martin, Eric (ENERGY); Baker, Carys (ENERGY)
Subject: RE: Cabinet QA on Pickering Life Extension

Hi Andrew,

We've revised the QA based on your comments. Are you okay if we share the attached?

Thanks,
Martin

From: Teliszewsky, Andrew (ENERGY)
Sent: June-18-17 1:56 PM
To: Schlaepfer, Martin (ENERGY)
Cc: Imbrogno, Serge (ENERGY); Whittington, Matt (ENERGY); Ruttan, Craig (ENERGY); Martin, Eric (ENERGY); Baker, Carys (ENERGY)
Subject: RE: Cabinet QA on Pickering Life Extension

Good Afternoon:

This should be made to be a bit more robust, by way of additional context & content:

- Cost savings relative to 2013 LTEP by continued operations
- Also, if I recall, this has been built into the OFHP curves, so if continued operations are not pursued then additional rate pressures materialize in the short term as a result?
- Net income calculus from OPG to the fiscal plan
- CO2 impact b/c of less natural gas burn during refurbishments – I think I recall we had a slide on this in the Cabinet Submission on Pickering?
- Jobs impacts / collective agreements – recall that continued operations has a significant collective agreement impact that was part of the Asset Council undertaking a few years back

Finally, I have some other typographical / presentation edits that I've made on hard copy and will provide to DMO.

Many thanks, in advance.

Andrew Teliszewsky

Chief of Staff | Office of Hon. Glenn Thibeault
Ministry of Energy
andrew.teliszewsky@ontario.ca
416-327-3550 (o)
416-436-6370 (m)

From: Schlaepfer, Martin (ENERGY)
Sent: June-16-17 5:34 PM
To: Teliszewsky, Andrew (ENERGY)
Cc: Imbrogno, Serge (ENERGY); Whittington, Matt (ENERGY); Ruttan, Craig (ENERGY); Martin, Eric (ENERGY); Baker, Carys (ENERGY)
Subject: Cabinet QA on Pickering Life Extension

Hi Andrew,

CO/PO asked for a brief status update note on Pickering. Please let me know if we're good to share the attached.

Thanks,
Martin

Martin Schlaepfer
Director of Operations
Deputy Minister's Office
Ministry of Energy
T: 416-326-7688
E: martin.schlaepfer@ontario.ca