

RE: RAN Electricity Price Mitigation v9A (ENE MOand PO edits).docx

From: "Teliszewsky, Andrew (ENERGY)" </o=govon/ou=exchange administrative group (fydibohf23spdl)/cn=recipients/cn=teliszewsky, andrew (energy)4fe">
To: "Katona, Keley (ENERGY)" <keley.katona@ontario.ca>
Date: Sat, 10 Sep 2016 13:16:58 -0400

Sort of. Just got to go to battle with MOECC / PO / TBS this afternoon.
And I'm handicapped owing to the aforementioned quantum of greek consumed.

-----Original Message-----

From: Katona, Keley (ENERGY)
Sent: September-10-16 1:16 PM
To: Teliszewsky, Andrew (ENERGY)
Subject: Re: RAN Electricity Price Mitigation v9A (ENE MOand PO edits).docx

You're good right?

Original Message

From: Teliszewsky, Andrew (ENERGY)
Sent: Saturday, September 10, 2016 12:51 PM
To: Katona, Keley (ENERGY)
Subject: RE: RAN Electricity Price Mitigation v9A (ENE MOand PO edits).docx

I spoke with DM. You're tracking an updated copy of this for later today, yes?

-----Original Message-----

From: Katona, Keley (ENERGY)
Sent: September-10-16 11:36 AM
To: Imbrogno, Serge (ENERGY); Teliszewsky, Andrew (ENERGY); Hume, Steen (ENERGY)
Cc: Whittington, Matt (ENERGY); Moulton, Dan (ENERGY)
Subject: Re: RAN Electricity Price Mitigation v9A (ENE MOand PO edits).docx

Hi Andrew,

We have tracked edits in attached, including the minute. Let us know if this works. Keley

Original Message

From: Imbrogno, Serge (ENERGY)
Sent: Saturday, September 10, 2016 8:58 AM
To: Teliszewsky, Andrew (ENERGY); Hume, Steen (ENERGY); Katona, Keley (ENERGY)
Cc: Whittington, Matt (ENERGY); Moulton, Dan (ENERGY)
Subject: Re: RAN Electricity Price Mitigation v9A (ENE MOand PO edits).docx

My initial view is that MOECC is trying to change this into an application-based program and not a rebate program that would benefit all commercial/industrials. We already have a successful program that incents companies to shift loads - ICI - and we are expanding it. We have also been working with large industrial for several months on the volumetric methodology and I believe we are in a good place with them. I'm not comfortable showing cabinet the results of proceeds recycling that is based on proceeds being recycled to all commercial/industrial consumers if that is not the case. If the TB minute stands, the Cab Sub and all related materials would need to change to reflect this uncertainty.

Sent from my BlackBerry 10 smartphone on the Rogers network.
Original Message

From: Teliszewsky, Andrew (ENERGY)
Sent: Friday, September 9, 2016 10:20 PM
To: Imbrogno, Serge (ENERGY); Hume, Steen (ENERGY); Katona, Keley (ENERGY)
Cc: Whittington, Matt (ENERGY); Moulton, Dan (ENERGY)
Subject: RAN Electricity Price Mitigation v9A (ENE MOand PO edits).docx

Oy -

New TB assessment note with edits. Also include some compromise language re: volumetric rebate piece [IV A] of Proposed Minute that is what I was connecting with PO about tonight.

Note that full Minute appears to have had some other tweets along the way to PO, so perhaps makes sense to review the whole thing, please?

Given the hour, I have advised PO that we probably won't have a new turn tonight, but are we able to huddle in the morning and see if we can land this on Saturday?

Many thanks, in advance.

Atel/