

Re: Cab Sub Notes

From: "Teliszewsky, Andrew (ENERGY)" </o=govon/ou=exchange administrative group (fydibohf23spdlt)/cn=recipients/cn=teliszewsky, andrew (energy)4fe">
To: "Moulton, Dan (ENERGY)" <dan.moulton@ontario.ca>
Cc: "Whittington, Matt (ENERGY)" <matt.whittington@ontario.ca>
Date: Mon, 05 Sep 2016 13:04:47 -0400

Great. When you do that, can you add me back onto the chain with DMO, please?

Andrew Teliszewsky

Chief of Staff | Office of Hon. Glenn Thibeault
Ministry of Energy
andrew.teliszewsky@ontario.ca
416-327-3550 (o)
416-436-6370 (m)

> On Sep 5, 2016, at 11:40 AM, Moulton, Dan (ENERGY) <Dan.Moulton@ontario.ca> wrote:

>
> Perfect. Thanks. I will send over a consolidated group of edits later this afternoon/ early this evening when I get home. Matt, let me know if you have items to add.

>
> All the best,

>
> Dan Moulton
> 416.327.3551

>
>> On Sep 5, 2016, at 11:09 AM, Teliszewsky, Andrew (ENERGY) <Andrew.Teliszewsky@ontario.ca> wrote:

>>
>> Good Morning:

>>
>> Slide 2 introduces the concept of "Class A" vs/ "Class B" customers by size, but then later in the deck it calls them "Industrial" and "Commercial" customers. Again elsewhere it has it more specific "Class A (Industrial)". So they should be consistent. I think we need to have it as "Class A (Industrial)" so with the parenthetical notes each time, please.

>>
>> Slide 2 should put the same y-axis so that folks can see that class A is already lower relative to the others. The bar graph appears to be intentionally misleading.

>>
>> Slide 2 should also have a second bullet that highlights the existing cross-subsidy between Class B / RPP customers help push Class A costs down. Average of \$5 per month for RPP to "Class A (Industrial)" today.

>>
>> Slide 11 - in the past, we've said that RPP benefits would be 7% or ~\$10 per month. Why did this go down to 6%?

>>
>> Slide 12 and beyond. Fundamental Changes required.

>>
>> So, I don't like how it is presented. Slide 12 attempts to do too much, while missing the point of RRRP and ICI expansion benefits.

>>
>> So, let's cut slide 12 and recreate the deck. Two Parts A. Residential (Urban and Rural).

>>
>> You'll need to bring the whole concept of RRRP forward into context, including maps and things and then use Part A to show a bar graph like current slide 12 with side by side benefits for urban and rural (r2) customers.

>>
>> Then Part B. Business Consumers (Industrial and Commercial).
>>
>> Show a slide like 12 but using the data from 17 for "new Class A" I want to see a bar graph that would show current class B customers, who become Class A customers under expanded ICI that then shows several rungs of potential benefits, like what the Navigant report outlines. Up to 34% savings. (I don't care for caveats here).
>>
>> Then show existing class A customers, getting budget commitment benefits tied to GGRA revenue recycling.
>>
>> Needs major overhaul. So someone needs to communicate these above edits to DMO today, please - Dan?
>>
>> Oh - all of your edits from earlier e-mail I agree with completely. One exception. Slide 13 reference, there will still be RPP / Class B / Class A under new regime, RPP ends and then Class B begins before the 1 MW threshold is reached. Also, Class B folks must OPT into the ICI regime, and some won't bother. Which is fine, because it will be their choice.
>>
>> Further edits:
>>
>> Slide 14 - cut. We already state earlier that average \$1 billion per annum is required. This slide is therefore redundant.
>>
>> Slide 17 - what could they be updating?
>>
>> Slide 18 - bring forward
>>
>> Slide 19 - cut
>>
>> Slide 11 - recast savings as "\$0.24-billion" as per previous slides, rather than "\$240-million" please.
>>
>> Slide 32 - bring forward
>>
>> Slide 33 - cut
>>
>> ---
>>
>> Further : I think we need to do a briefing with this deck (improved) on Friday afternoon for Minister Thibeault. 3-4pm on Friday afternoon, and then move everything else down a 1/2 hour. So, to that end, I think we'd like to see a new version to MO/CoS on Wednesday and do a teleconference on Thursday afternoon for DM/CoS. Use 3-4 time-slot so that it occurs during OWA meeting?
>>
>> Many thanks, in advance.
>>
>> Andrew
>>
>>
>> Andrew Teliszewsky
>>
>> Chief of Staff | Office of Hon. Glenn Thibeault
>> Ministry of Energy
>> andrew.teliszewsky@ontario.ca
>> 416-327-3550 (o)
>> 416-436-6370 (m)
>>
>>
>>
>> Andrew Teliszewsky
>>
>> Chief of Staff | Office of Hon. Glenn Thibeault
>> Ministry of Energy
>> andrew.teliszewsky@ontario.ca
>> 416-327-3550 (o)

>> 416-436-6370 (m)

>>> On Sep 4, 2016, at 11:47 AM, Moulton, Dan (ENERGY) <Dan.Moulton@ontario.ca> wrote:

>>>

>>> My notes for edits on the cabinet submission below. Overall it's a fair product for the stage of development they're at, though the initial section before the recommendations is rather scattershot and could get to the point far faster.

>>>

>>> Slide #1 - Should we consider a reference to an expanded narrative on the province's economic recovery not being felt by many, our efforts to help people in their everyday lives, or should we just leave that to comms products entirely.

>>>

>>> Slide #4 - Move to appendix

>>>

>>> Slide #5 - Move to appendix

>>>

>>> Slide #6 - Consider cutting altogether

>>>

>>> Slide #7 - No context given here in relation to slide #11 figures.

>>>

>>> Slide #8 - Move to appendix

>>>

>>> Slide #10 - Need to inverse the language here and throughout (Slide #13); should read: "Remove the Provincial portion of the HST by providing an 8% rebate..." rather than the other way around.

>>>

>>> Slide #13 - the cap of 250K seems rather new, thought we were invisioning this as two classes; RPP and <1MW

>>>

>>> Slide #13 - fill placeholder with Sept 15th

>>>

>>> NEW Slide #14 - bring up bill presentment options from Appendix Slide #38

>>>

>>> NEW Slide #19 - bring up maps from Appendix Slide #41-43

>>>

>>> Slide #34 - Cut

>>>

>>> Slide #36 - Cut

>>>

>>> Slide \$41 - Move slide or information to front section

>>>

>>>

>>>

>>>