

Re: Cabinet Submission and News Release

From: "Teliszewsky, Andrew (ENERGY)" </o=govon/ou=exchange administrative group (fydibohf23spdlt)/cn=recipients/cn=teliszewsky, andrew (energy)4fe">
To: "Moulton, Dan (ENERGY)" <dan.moulton@ontario.ca>, "Armstrong, Hillary (ENERGY)" <hillary.armstrong@ontario.ca>
Cc: "Imbrogno, Serge (ENERGY)" <serge.imbrogno@ontario.ca>, "Katona, Keley (ENERGY)" <keley.katona@ontario.ca>, "Dier, Kirby (ENERGY)" <kirby.dier@ontario.ca>, "Martin, Eric (ENERGY)" <eric.martin@ontario.ca>, "Whittington, Matt (ENERGY)" <matt.whittington@ontario.ca>, "Ruttan, Craig (ENERGY)" <craig.ruttan@ontario.ca>, "Baker, Carys (ENERGY)" <carys.baker@ontario.ca>
Date: Mon, 05 Sep 2016 17:49:25 -0400

Prize if you can guess which are CoS edits....
(Dan and Matt can't play this game.)
Happy Labour Day!

Atel/

From: Moulton, Dan (ENERGY)
Sent: Monday, September 5, 2016 5:31 PM
To: Armstrong, Hillary (ENERGY)
Cc: Imbrogno, Serge (ENERGY); Katona, Keley (ENERGY); Dier, Kirby (ENERGY); Martin, Eric (ENERGY); Whittington, Matt (ENERGY); Ruttan, Craig (ENERGY); Baker, Carys (ENERGY); Teliszewsky, Andrew (ENERGY)
Subject: Re: Cabinet Submission and News Release

Hi Hillary,

Find below consolidated MO feedback on the deck. Thinking that we should track for a Friday afternoon briefing for Minister Thibeault on this product. Looks like the time slot of 3:00pm-4:00pm works well in his calendar. Can we see a new draft on Wednesday and find time for a DM/CoS; I think Andrew would like to call in for this one. Would 3:00pm work on Thursday for that to occur?

Cab Sub MO Edits:

Slide #2 - introduces the concept of "Class A" vs/ "Class B" customers by size, but then later in the deck it calls them "Industrial" and "Commercial" customers. Again elsewhere it has it more specific "Class A (Industrial)". So they should be consistent. I think we need to have it as "Class A (Industrial)" so with the parenthetical notes each time, please.

Slide #2 - should put the same y-axis so that folks can see that class A is already lower relative to the others. The bar graph appears to be intentionally misleading.

Slide #2 - should also have a second bullet that highlights the existing cross-subsidy between Class B / RPP customers help push Class A costs down. Average of \$5 per month for RPP to "Class A (Industrial)" today.

Slide #4 - Move to appendix

Slide #5 - Move to appendix

Slide #6 - Cut

Slide #7 - No context given here in relation to slide #11 figures.

Slide #8 - Move to appendix

Slide #10 - Need to inverse the language here and throughout (Slide #13); should read: "Remove the Provincial portion of the HST by providing an 8% rebate..." rather than the other way around.

Slide #11 - in the past, we've said that RPP benefits would be 7% or ~\$10 per month. Why did this go down to 6%?

Slide #11 - recast savings as "\$0.24-billion" as per previous slides, rather than "\$240-million" please.

*****Slide #12 and beyond. Fundamental Changes required.**

Slide 12 attempts to do too much, while missing the point of RRRP and ICI expansion benefits. Cut slide 12 and recreate the deck. Two Parts:

Part A. Residential (Urban and Rural).

You'll need to bring the whole concept of RRRP forward into context, including maps and things and then use Part A to show a bar graph like current slide 12 with side by side benefits for urban and rural (r2) customers.

Part B. Business Consumers (Industrial and Commercial).

Show a slide like 12 but using the data from 17 for "new Class A" I want to see a bar graph that would show current class B customers, who become Class A customers under expanded ICI that then shows several rungs of potential benefits, like what the Navigant report outlines. Up to 34% savings. (I don't care for caveats here).

Then show existing class A customers, getting budget commitment benefits tied to GGRA revenue recycling.

NEW Slide - Bring up bill presentment options from Appendix Slide #38

NEW Slides - Bring up maps from Appendix Slide #41-43

Slide #14 - Cut. We already state earlier that average \$1 billion per annum is required. This slide is therefore redundant.

Slide #18 - Bring forward

Slide #19 - Cut

Slide #32 - Bring forward

Slide #33 - Cut

Slide #34 - Cut

Slide #36 - Cut

Slide #41 - Move slide or information to front section

All the best,

Dan Moulton
416.327.3551

On Sep 2, 2016, at 5:03 PM, Armstrong, Hillary (ENERGY) <Hillary.Armstrong@ontario.ca> wrote:

Hi Dan,

Please find attached a draft of both the Cabinet Submission, as well as the news release. For the submission itself, note that an older version of the minute is included right now, caveated that it is pending updates. I've attached the most recent version of the minute here, in case it's helpful as you review the other products.

Let us know if you have any questions.

Thanks
Hillary

<Cabinet Submission - Electricity Price Mitigation 20160902 330pm (3).pptx>

<NR - Price Mitigation - V5.doc>

<Draft minute v5 - 2016-09-02.docx>