

Re: McCain Letter

From: "Teliszewsky, Andrew (ENERGY)" </o=govon/ou=exchange administrative group (fydibohf23spdlt)/cn=recipients/cn=teliszewsky, andrew (energy)4fe">
To: "Donelson, Philip (OPO)" <philip.donelson@ontario.ca>, "Bevan, Andrew (OPO)" <andrew.bevan@ontario.ca>, "Rowe, Mary (OPO)" <mary.rowe@ontario.ca>
Cc: "McEachern, Gillian (OPO)" <gillian.mceachern@ontario.ca>
Date: Fri, 16 Dec 2016 19:30:24 -0500
Attachments: MC-2016-2463 Outgoing.pdf (90.5 kB)

Good Evening:

Please find enclosed the final letter dispatched, for your records.

Let me know if you should need anything further.

Andrew Teliszewsky
416-327-3550

Original Message
From: Donelson, Philip (OPO)
Sent: Wednesday, December 14, 2016 4:49 PM
To: Bevan, Andrew (OPO); Teliszewsky, Andrew (ENERGY); Rowe, Mary (OPO)
Cc: McEachern, Gillian (OPO)
Subject: RE: McCain Letter

Thanks ATel -- the referring letter is currently with the P for signature.

-----Original Message-----
From: Bevan, Andrew (OPO)
Sent: December-14-16 7:27 AM
To: Teliszewsky, Andrew (ENERGY); Rowe, Mary (OPO)
Cc: Donelson, Philip (OPO); McEachern, Gillian (OPO)
Subject: Re: McCain Letter

Thx Andrew looks good, and fine for it to come from min in my view. One line in it I don't understand - is it a typo "the 2016 of Q2..."

Sent from my BlackBerry 10 smartphone on the Rogers network.
Original Message
From: Teliszewsky, Andrew (ENERGY)
Sent: Tuesday, December 13, 2016 8:45 PM
To: Rowe, Mary (OPO); Bevan, Andrew (OPO)
Cc: Donelson, Philip (OPO); McEachern, Gillian (OPO)
Subject: McCain Letter

Good Evening:

Here is our proposed draft reply to the Maple Leaf Foods letter; following up on this item discussed when Minister Thibeault met with Andrew mid-last week.

For greater clarity, we commit to a) proceeds recycling to help navigate the situation that their text articulated and b) suggests a meeting with our Energy Economics gang since we are having some internal difficulty backing out the assumptions related to the dramatic cost increase that was

referenced in the original missive.

Finally, my Correspondence protocol types remind me that technically the inbound letter to Premier has not yet been officially referred to Energy for follow up, on behalf of Premier, so just seeking confirmation this would be your desired outcome.

PO correspondence could also take this and send it from Premier, if that is desire (with minor edits).

Please let me know how you would have us proceed. Appreciating this stakeholder management piece.

Many thanks, in advance.

Andrew