RE: Rate Mitigation - Draft MP Deck

From: Ronald Kwan <ronald.kwan@ofina.on.ca>
To: "Thompson, Scott (MOF)" <scott.thompson@ontario.ca>, "Mayman, Gadi (OFA)" <gadi.mayman@ofina.on.ca>
Cc: "McLean, David (MOF)" <david.mclean@ontario.ca>, "Pearce, Christopher (MOF)" <christopher.pearce@ontario.ca>
Date: Thu, 19 Jan 2017 15:42:24 -0500

Hi,

Just an aside. After the meeting I saw Scott N. and Cindy at the elevators and Atel's comment about the 2002 accounting worked came up. Scott N. seemed to be of the impression that Atel thought that the price freeze costs did not hit the bottom line but went to stranded debt and was recovered over time.

I clarified that OEFC does not have rate-regulated accounting and is consolidated into Public Accounts. So the rate freeze costs hit OEFC`s bottom line, and the Province`s on consolidation (and affected stranded debt too, of course, with stranded debt to be paid down over time).

Ron

-----Original Message-----
From: Ronald Kwan
Sent: January-19-17 12:39
To: Scott Thompson (CAB) <Scott.Thompson@ontario.ca>; Gadi Mayman <Gadi.Mayman@ofina.on.ca>
Cc: David McLean (MOF) <David.McLean@ontario.ca>; Christopher Pearce (MOI) <Christopher.Pearce@ontario.ca>
Subject: RE: Rate Mitigation - Draft MP Deck

Hi Scott, Gadi,

Staff took a hand at revising our previous BN, as attached. It may need a further review, but here it is as it stands, given the timing.

Ron

-----Original Message-----
From: Ronald Kwan
Sent: January-18-17 23:01
To: Scott Thompson (CAB) <Scott.Thompson@ontario.ca>
Cc: Gadi Mayman <Gadi.Mayman@ofina.on.ca>; David McLean (MOF) <David.McLean@ontario.ca>
Subject: Re: Rate Mitigation - Draft MP Deck

Thanks Scott.

We'll take a look.

While meeting with Cindy on a different issue earlier today, I asked her about her conversation with Steen on accounting and the GA option.

I should say at the outset that she apparently indicated that there was not enough detail in the slides to provide an opinion. That said, and at the risk of over simplifying, she also apparently went over with Steen that the IESO is not a rate regulated entity and not on USGAAP but on PSAB, so it would not have rate-regulated accounting and not then seem to be able to set up an asset that would allow it not to show a bottom line impact from deferring recovery of GA cost.

She said that since Energy is talking with IESO's accountants on this, that it would be helpful if someone were able to talk with the IESO on what their accounting advice is.

She also said that she had not been invited to MPC tomorrow.

To me, it would seem necessary to get good accounting advice on that option before too much longer to feed into decision-making.

On a skim of Energy's slides, it seems that KPMG is involved somehow, if I got that right.

Ron

> On Jan 18, 2017, at 10:10 PM, Thompson, Scott (MOF) <Scott.Thompson@ontario.ca> wrote:
>
> Pw for previous email
> Thanks
> Scott
>
> Sent from my BlackBerry 10 smartphone on the Rogers network.
> From: Imbrogno, Serge (ENERGY) <Serge.Imbrogno@ontario.ca>
> Sent: Wednesday, January 18, 2017 8:02 PM
> To: Orsini, Steve (CAB); Davidson, Steven (CAB); Thompson, Scott (MOF); Angus, Helen (TBS)
> Cc: Bromm, William (CAB)
> Subject: RE: Rate Mitigation - Draft MP Deck
>
>
> Pw=snowplow

This message, including any attachments, is meant only for the use of the individual(s) to whom it is intended and may contain information that is privileged/confidential. Any unauthorized use, copying or disclosure is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient or have received this message in error, please notify us immediately by reply e-mail and permanently delete this message, including any attachments, without reading them, and destroy all copies. Thank you.