

Re: Updated minute

From: "Thompson, Scott (MOF)" </o=govon/ou=exchange administrative group (fydibohf23spdlt)/cn=recipients/cn=thompson, scott (mof)5d1">
To: "Mayman, Gadi (OFA)" <gadi.mayman@ofina.on.ca>, "Kwan, Ronald (OFA)" <ronald.kwan@ofina.on.ca>
Cc: "Schuurman, Tim (MOF)" <tim.schuurman@ontario.ca>, "McLean, David (MOF)" <david.mclean@ontario.ca>, "Chaput, Brandon (MOF)" <brandon.chaput@ontario.ca>
Date: Sat, 18 Feb 2017 19:24:33 -0500

Fully agree on all points. It would be a good talking point
Thanks

Sent from my BlackBerry 10 smartphone on the Rogers network.

Original Message

From: Gadi Mayman
Sent: Saturday, February 18, 2017 7:17 PM
To: Thompson, Scott (MOF); Kwan, Ronald (OFA)
Cc: Schuurman, Tim (MOF); McLean, David (MOF); Chaput, Brandon (MOF)
Subject: Re: Updated minute

I was thinking, and maybe I'm way off base here, but should we have a chart for the Minister's briefing, maybe in Brandon's placemat, that adds up all of the compensation to electricity consumers from the fiscal plan and/or future ratepayers (or the fiscal plan if the GA plan doesn't work) that is currently planned. I'm guessing that it's about \$5 billion a year, but Ron has the breakdown of the numbers, or at least did for a previous iteration. I don't think that amount will change anyone's mind, but I'd think it should be shared so it doesn't come as a surprise when someone outside does the analysis after the announcement.

Original Message

From: Thompson, Scott (MOF)
Sent: Saturday, February 18, 2017 7:09 PM
To: Gadi Mayman; Ronald Kwan
Cc: Tim Schuurman (MOF); David McLean (MOF)
Subject: Fw: Updated minute

Below in an interrupted pair of emails from me to other DMs, you'll see my initial comments on the deck/minute. Ron - could you combine this with the points from your other analysis to create a two-pager that we could use with the Minister on Tuesday?

Keep it short - we'll need to keep Minister on most critical points

Thanks

Scott

Sent from my BlackBerry 10 smartphone on the Rogers network.

From: Thompson, Scott (MOF) <Scott.Thompson@ontario.ca>
Sent: Saturday, February 18, 2017 6:44 PM
To: Orsini, Steve (CAB); Imbrogno, Serge (ENERGY)
Cc: Davidson, Steven (CAB); Greenberg, Martha (CAB); Angus, Helen (TBS); Bromm, William (CAB); Hughes, Karen (TBS); McLean, David (MOF); Mayman, Gadi (OFA); Kwan, Ronald (OFA)
Subject: Re: Updated minute

Sorry, I didn't finish my sentence!

What I meant to say was"If it doesn't, presumably there's a more effective or cost efficient way of providing the same benefit vs the GA smoothing."

Scott

Sent from my BlackBerry 10 smartphone on the Rogers network.

From: Thompson, Scott (MOF)

Sent: Saturday, February 18, 2017 6:10 PM

To: Orsini, Steve (CAB); Imbrogno, Serge (ENERGY)

Cc: Davidson, Steven (CAB); Greenberg, Martha (CAB); Angus, Helen (TBS); Bromm, William (CAB); Hughes, Karen (TBS); McLean, David (MOF); Mayman, Gadi (OFA); Kwan, Ronald (OFA)

Subject: Re: Updated minute

And if there is to be that large a fiscal impact, there should really be an analysis of the fiscal cost for each dollar (or percent) of price mitigation. There's been no real cost-benefit analysis because the assumption has been that the GA smoothing will work. If it doesn't, presumably there's a more eff

Sent from my BlackBerry 10 smartphone on the Rogers network.

From: Orsini, Steve (CAB)

Sent: Saturday, February 18, 2017 5:01 PM

To: Thompson, Scott (MOF); Imbrogno, Serge (ENERGY)

Cc: Davidson, Steven (CAB); Greenberg, Martha (CAB); Angus, Helen (TBS); Bromm, William (CAB); Hughes, Karen (TBS); McLean, David (MOF); Mayman, Gadi (OFA); Kwan, Ronald (OFA)

Subject: RE: Updated minute

These are good points. I would add that until we have confirmed the legal and accounting treatment, the GA smoothing should be assumed to fall on the deficit.

From: Thompson, Scott (MOF)

Sent: February-18-17 3:56 PM

To: Imbrogno, Serge (ENERGY)

Cc: Davidson, Steven (CAB); Greenberg, Martha (CAB); Orsini, Steve (CAB); Angus, Helen (TBS); Bromm, William (CAB); Hughes, Karen (TBS); McLean, David (MOF); Mayman, Gadi (OFA); Kwan, Ronald (OFA)

Subject: Re: Updated minute

A few points:

- most importantly, we haven't been able to take our Minister through the 'all in' fiscal impact summary for the package being presented. Our Minister will be back on Tuesday and this will be at the top of his mind.
- related to this, is it assumed that there will be a full year's worth of fiscal impact in 17-18. Won't some of these measures take time to roll out and therefore be a smaller impact in year one?
- also related, what is the presumed duration of the non-GA mitigation measures? Are they permanent? If so, we'll need a stronger understanding of the long term fiscal implications of them. There's just a small (and worrisome) footnote at the bottom of the fiscal table on page 35 that suggests the costs for RRRP will start increasing post 2023
- I don't believe we've seen a strong case being made for the 200M affordability fund. Since that is proposing to use money from 16-17, and we're publishing Q3 on Tuesday, he'll be particularly sensitive to that. He does not want additional expense items added between now and Q3 that would significantly add to the interim deficit number for 16-17 to be presented in Document. With all of the other affordability measures being proposed, why do we need to rush this program out the door now? I'm not suggesting moving it into a later fiscal year, but I think it would benefit from a stronger analysis of the level of need, after building in all of the OESP proposed changes. The slides say that LDC's say that something is needed, but of course they would, they haven't seen all of the other things that are coming.
- why does the fiscal impact of oesp need to increase so significantly from years one to four? Can't we somehow put a limit on the size of the program, and revisit the need to enhance further once we see the effect of electricity price mitigation overall on lower income households?
- not sure how the 25 percent cap works after 2022. Does this mean that the cost in any given year can't be any more than 25 percent higher than what it was in 2017? Does this math work, even if electricity prices increase drastically for some unexpected reason?
- the TB consideration of this will be important. They will need some real analysis of the impact, and hopefully some real time to consider the options. Not sure how this is possible if an announcement is to be this week
- I see MMA referenced in the Minute. Is Energy working with them on implementation options? I'm quite concerned about the operability of any proposal, as I'm aware that rent control is only on a portion of the rental stock, and I know that we didn't try to ensure savings pass through from landlord to renter in some previous electricity programs.

Thanks
Scott

Sent from my iPad

On Feb 18, 2017, at 1:50 PM, Imbrogno, Serge (ENERGY)
<Serge.Imbrogno@ontario.ca<mailto:Serge.Imbrogno@ontario.ca>> wrote:
Hi. I believe that's where my MO and PO landed but we could try for different language. Not sure if up to 25 percent language would give us some flex. Serge

Sent from my BlackBerry 10 smartphone on the Rogers network.
From: Davidson, Steven (CAB)
Sent: Saturday, February 18, 2017 1:42 PM
To: Greenberg, Martha (CAB); Imbrogno, Serge (ENERGY)
Cc: Orsini, Steve (CAB); Angus, Helen (TBS); Thompson, Scott (MOF); Foster, Robert (CAB); Chochla, Megan (CAB); Moore, Karen (CAB); Bromm, William (CAB); Kwamena, Boafoa (CAB); Hume, Steen (ENERGY); Hughes, Karen (TBS); Katona, Keley (ENERGY)
Subject: Re: Updated minute

Serge, a question on 2A of attached minute.

I don't recall us locking down a 25% cap. Is that from your MO? Is there any risk of that impacting on ability for full repayment by 2048, ie if consumption patterns change and repayment is being funded by a relatively smaller customer base (which is one of the risks flagged)?

Thanks.

Sent from my iPhone

On Feb 18, 2017, at 1:30 PM, Greenberg, Martha (CAB)
<Martha.Greenberg@ontario.ca<mailto:Martha.Greenberg@ontario.ca>> wrote:
Hi all,

Attached is an updated minute that incorporates the version received from energy last night as well as SoC comments and Steven's comments this morning. We have incorporated most of the detail energy included but not in all areas, for example some of the detail on legislative change isn't here and some detail is more appropriate for a TB minute (e.g., on the affordability fund). I have left one comment in, around whether OEFC or the OFA would require any expanded powers as it could be added in 2Ai, will leave that to MOF to answer.

Tracked changes was not a pleasant read so I have made this clean but if you would like further details on the changes, just email me and I can walk you through them.

I've saved this version as Feb 18 130pm.

Same password.
Thanks,
martha

Martha Greenberg
Assistant Deputy Minister
Cabinet Office – Economic, Environment, Justice & Intergovernmental Policy
(416) 325-5836
Martha.Greenberg@ontario.ca<mailto:Martha.Greenberg@ontario.ca>

<price mitigation minute draft Feb 18 130pm.docx>

This message, including any attachments, is meant only for the use of the individual(s) to whom it is intended and may contain information that is privileged/confidential. Any unauthorized use, copying or disclosure is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient or have received this message in error,

please notify us immediately by reply e-mail and permanently delete this message, including any attachments, without reading them, and destroy all copies. Thank you.