

FW: Issue framing

From: "Petsche, Nadine (TBS)" <nadine.petsche@ontario.ca>
To: "Veinot, Cindy (TBS)" <cindy.veinot@ontario.ca>
Date: Sat, 17 Sep 2016 14:41:05 -0400

I don't think that 'expectations' is specific enough. Level of uncertainty? Level of probability?
Reasonable expectations to be able to access benefits?

-----Original Message-----

From: Hatzis, Len (TBS)
Sent: September-17-16 2:39 PM
To: Veinot, Cindy (TBS)
Cc: Petsche, Nadine (TBS); Kaufman, Paul (TBS); Kearney, Sean (TBS)
Subject: Fw: Issue framing

I think this would provide guidance to deloitte but let me know if any concerns before oslers goes too deep. Thanks

Sent from my BlackBerry 10 smartphone on the Rogers network.

Original Message

From: Steele, Jana <JSteele@osler.com>
Sent: Saturday, September 17, 2016 2:36 PM
To: Hatzis, Len (TBS)
Cc: Marin, Jon
Subject: Issue framing

We have framed the issue as follows:

You asked us to consider the processes pursuant to which the Province may utilize surplus assets in the OTPP in accordance with the Plan Documentation (as defined in the memo), and to identify any considerations that would inform the expectations of the Sponsors regarding the basis upon which decisions will be made relating to surplus utilization.

Sent from my iPhone

This e-mail message is privileged, confidential and subject to copyright. Any unauthorized use or disclosure is prohibited.

Le contenu du présent courriel est privilégié, confidentiel et soumis à des droits d'auteur. Il est interdit de l'utiliser ou de le divulguer sans autorisation.
