



Office of the Provincial Controller Division

Impact of Change in Calculation of Market- Related Value for Pension Plan Assets

February 2017

Purpose



- To provide an overview on the impact of changing the assumptions used to calculate the market-related value of pension plan assets (MRVA)
- Variance explanation on Public Services Pension Plan expense (2017 Budget vs. 2016 Budget)

- Market-related value
 - *For a defined benefit plan, plan assets should be valued at market-related values. [PSAB 3250.37]*
 - Market-related values are adjusted market values reflecting differences between expected and actual rates of return.
- PSAB allows the differences between market value and market-related value to be deferred and amortized over a 5-year period (smoothing method).
- The experience gains/losses on the smoothed value of pension plan assets will be amortized over the Estimated Average Remaining Service Life (EARSL, between 11 – 13 years across plans)
- Therefore, the timing difference as a result of smoothing will be fully amortized over a 16 – 18 years period.

OPCD's Current Approach



- OPCD has been using the following rates to calculate the market-related value

Plan	Current Approach
Ontario Teachers' Pension Plan (OTPP)	<u>Province's</u> economic assumption
Public Service Pension Plan (PSPP)	<u>Plan's</u> economic assumption
OPSEU Pension Plan (OPSEU)	<u>Plan's</u> economic assumption
Healthcare of Ontario Pension Plan (HOOPP)	<u>Plan's</u> economic assumption
Colleges of Applied Arts and Technology Pension Plan (CAATPP)	<u>Plan's</u> economic assumption

- E&Y indicated that the rate of return used for this calculation should be the Province's economic assumptions, i.e. the Province's expected rate of return rather than the Plan providers' assumptions.

Current Approach vs. E&Y Recommended Approach



Plan	Expected Rate of Return (EROR)			Market-Related Value for 2016 (\$M)		
	Current Approach	Recom'd Approach	Change increase/ (decrease)	Current Approach	Recom'd Approach	Change increase/ (decrease)
Ontario Teachers' Pension Plan	6.25%	6.25%	-	169,797	169,797	-
Public Service Pension Plan*	TBD	6.00%	TBD	23,122	23,661	539
OPSEU Pension Plan	5.75%	6.25%	0.50%	18,415	18,505	90
Healthcare of Ontario Pension Plan	6.27%	5.75%	(0.52%)	66,422	66,228	(194)
Colleges of Applied Arts and Technology Pension Plan	5.70%	6.00%	0.3%	8,902	8,908	6

- A smaller difference in EROR yield a smaller impact to the MRVA calculated under both approaches.

**It is suspected that PSPP may not have provided the experience gain/loss on the value of plan assets as the imputed expected rate of return used in the calculation of MRVA would seem unreasonable based on the information provided (pending confirmation from IPPD).*

Fiscal Impact if Change is Implemented for 2016-17 Pension Forecast



- No change to OTPP since the current approach aligns with the recommended approach
- No fiscal impact for HOOPP and CAATPP due to the valuation allowance
- Impact to the PSPP/OPSEU 2017 Budget forecast expenses:

Plan	Approach	Interim 2016-17	Plan 2017-18	Outlook 2018-19	Outlook 2019-20	Outlook* 2020-21
PSPP	Current Approach	518	675	593	579	579
	Recom'd Approach	518	594	543	538	538
	Change Deficit/(Surplus)	(0)	(81)	(50)	(41)	(41)
OPSEU	Current Approach	131	152	142	157	157
	Recom'd Approach	131	146	138	156	156
	Change Deficit/(Surplus)	0	(6)	(4)	(1)	(1)
TOTAL	Change Deficit/(Surplus)	0	(87)	(54)	(42)	(42)

*Flat-lined from 2019-20.

Implementation Considerations



- Fiscal impact analysis
 - E&Y completed an analysis on the fiscal impact of the recommended method on a prospective basis (beginning in 2016-17 fiscal year)
 - Retroactive calculation has not been completed
- Implementation considerations for a prospective approach
 - The differences between OPCD's approach and E&Y's recommended approach are only the timing differences, which will be fully amortized eventually.
 - The time and efforts required to restate past years outweigh the benefits.
 - The differences are not material:
 - PSPP – pending confirmation on the nature of the PSPP adjustment provided by the plan. This will not impact the fiscal impact calculated here
 - OPSEU – immaterial
 - Valuation allowance for HOOPP and CAATPP will neutralize the fiscal impact

Variance explanation on PSPP expense (2017 Budget vs. 2016 Budget)



PSPP Expense (\$M)	2015-16 Actual	2016-17 Interim	2017-18 Plan	2018-19 Outlook	2019-20 Outlook*	2020-21 Outlook*
2017 Budget Estimate	535	518	675	593	579	579
2016 Budget Estimate	N/A	524	447	403	403	403
Fiscal Impact Increase/(Decrease)	N/A	(6)	228	190	176	176

* 2020-21 is flat-lined from 2019-20 in the 2017 Budget estimate. 2019-20 and 2020-21 is flat-lined from 2018-19 in the 2016 Budget estimate.

2017-18: higher by \$228M, primarily due to:

- A reduction in the discount rate assumption (\$128M fiscal pressure)
- Lower employee contributions forecasted due to a lower rate of increase in active members (adjusted for recent experience) over the 2016 Budget (\$32M fiscal pressure)
- Higher interest expenses on plan obligations over 2016 Budget forecast due to changes in economic assumptions (\$80M fiscal pressure).

Which is partially offset by:

- decrease in current service costs due a lower rate of increase in active membership forecasted over the 2016 Budget (\$12M savings).
- The changes in 2018-19 and 2019-20 over the 2016 Budget is for the same reasons as above. In addition, the higher expenses are partially offset in the outlook years due to higher than expected gains on pension assets forecasted in 2017-18 being recognized in the preceding years.

Questions?

