

Panel members

- Patricia O'Malley (Chair) – former Chair of Canadian Accounting Standards Board, former member of International Accounting Standards Board, Chair of the Canadian Actuarial Standards Oversight Council, former technical partner KPMG
- Murray Gold – Leading practitioner and senior pension and benefits partner at Koskie Minsky LLP
- Uros Karadzic – Pension actuary, member of the PSAB's Employment Benefit Taskforce, partner at EY
- Paul Martin – Comptroller with the Government of New Brunswick, member of the PSAB's Employment Benefit Taskforce and previously a partner with the national accounting firm of Grant Thornton LLP

Pension Asset Expert Advisory Panel -- Process

- Panel was provided with briefings and documents (relevant legislation, plan texts, sponsor agreements) to assist members to fully understand the facts and circumstances of the Plans and the basis for the government's accounting conclusions.
- Panel met with the Auditor General and members of her team to fully understand the basis for the OAG's accounting conclusions.
- Panel performed its own independent analysis of those documents and the legal, actuarial and accounting issues and reached its own conclusions.
- Panel considered:
 - guidance in PSAS specifically on Retirement Benefits
 - other relevant guidance in PSAS, and that issued by other standard setters identified in PSAS as sources to consult, in areas where the retirement benefits standard is not clear

Panel's Position on Recognition of Asset

- Net pension asset meets the definition of an economic resource because:
 - accounting surplus exists, and
 - future economic benefit is available to government as contribution reductions, freeing cash for other purposes.
- Unilateral control not necessary for asset recognition:
 - in fact, cannot exist in JDBPs or any other jointly controlled arrangement
 - sponsors jointly control the total net pension asset and must agree on how it will be used
 - key notion with joint control is that each sponsor can deny the other sponsor(s) access to the asset, ensuring no one side can make unilateral decisions
 - each sponsor should then recognize an asset relating to its portion of that jointly controlled surplus.

Panel's Position on Measurement of Asset

- Retirement benefits standard states that a sponsor measures the benefit of a surplus by the extent to which it can either take assets out of plan or reduce future contributions
- Contribution reductions are not the same as surplus withdrawal:
 - legal requirements for surplus withdrawal and contribution reductions are very different
 - joint sponsors free to decide on level of contributions in compliance with terms of Plan and Pension Benefits Act
 - no third-party approvals needed for contribution reductions
- No requirement for any agreement to be in place specifying exactly when and by how much contributions will be reduced
 - agreements in place already sufficient
 - standard says benefits need only be reasonably expected

Overall Conclusions of Panel

Recognition of the asset

- Net pension asset should be recognized in the Province's financial statements.
- Recognizing the asset will provide a faithful representation of the Province's financial position.
- Recognizing the asset will properly reflect the intention of the "deal" between the sponsors.

Measurement of the asset

- There are no minimum required contributions that need to be made regardless of any surplus
- No valuation allowance is required for either Plan's accrued benefit asset recognized in the Public Accounts