

RE: EXTERNAL - Accounting opinions

From: HARTWICK Ken -FINANCE <ken.hartwick@opg.com>
To: "Veinot, Cindy (TBS)" <cindy.veinot@ontario.ca>, Kim Marshall <kim.marshall@ieso.ca>
Cc: "Petsche, Nadine (TBS)" <nadine.petsche@ontario.ca>, "Hosick, Sarah (TBS)" <sarah.hosick@ontario.ca>
Date: Thu, 13 Apr 2017 06:26:42 -0400

Agree with the approach. Thanks for setting it out.

From: Veinot, Cindy (TBS) [mailto:Cindy.Veinot@ontario.ca]
Sent: Wednesday, April 12, 2017 6:56 PM
To: HARTWICK Ken -FINANCE; 'Kim Marshall'
Cc: Petsche, Nadine (TBS); Hosick, Sarah (TBS)
Subject: EXTERNAL - Accounting opinions

***** Exercise caution. This is an EXTERNAL email. DO NOT open attachments or click links from unknown senders or unexpected email. *****

Hi all – I had a chance to speak to Kim and John Lee briefly on the accounting opinions and wanted to ensure we are all on the same page. Please forward to your teams as appropriate.

Treasury Board directed the Ministry of Energy to report back prior to going to the LRC with legislation with formal accounting opinions from EY and IESO. The excerpt from the draft minute at the March 1 meeting is as follows:

Direct the Ministry to report back to TB/MBC by Spring 2017, prior to going to LRC with any legislation, on the approach to refinance Global Adjustment, including, but not limited to:

- **Formal accounting opinions from OPG and IESO auditors.**
- Completed legal, financing and accounting risk assessments. Assessments to include, but not limited to proposed legislation, technical aspects such as Eurig analysis, and risk to borrowing (in discussion with the OFA).
- Report on the multi-year profile of GA smoothing, identifying specific assumptions.
- Report on sensitivity analysis completed as assumptions vary, such as under different recovery rates and periods.
- Overall risk mitigation plan addressing completed legal, accounting and financing risk assessments.

As you know, Energy has requested a Treasury Board meeting be convened on May 1, which is the same day they are scheduled to go to LRC with the draft legislation.

For purposes of the formal accounting opinions, I believe it would be most appropriate to have a single set of facts and assumptions that would be used for both opinions. Some of the assumptions may not be relevant to the individual analysis, but having a common set of facts and assumptions will mitigate the risk of the two firms opining on different facts/assumptions.

As of May 1, the legislation will not be final, so the draft legislation will have to be used for the opinions and noted as being at the draft stage. In addition, assumptions that reflect the agreed upon issues that will be included in the regulations/commercial agreements will have to be

included. Once a common set of facts/assumptions is prepared, it would be prudent to ensure that Energy review (Carolyn, Steen, others?) and the law firms review (to discuss whether that includes Oslers or not, but I would think the other three, subject to your input and that of Energy.)

Can you let me know if you believe this plan to be reasonable and then put some thought to timelines re: developing the statement of facts/assumptions. Email is likely best for this.

I sent out a meeting invite for Monday morning to re-convene once we have a copy of the draft legislation, which is expected to be distributed between EOD tomorrow and Saturday.

Thanks for your continued cooperation on this.

Cindy

Cindy Veinot
Provincial Controller and Assistant Deputy Minister, Office of the Provincial Controller
Treasury Board Secretariat
Frost Building South
7 Queen's Park Crescent East
Toronto, Ontario M7A 1Y7

T: 416-325-8017
E: cindy.veinot@ontario.ca



THIS MESSAGE IS ONLY INTENDED FOR THE USE OF THE INTENDED RECIPIENT(S) AND MAY CONTAIN INFORMATION THAT IS PRIVILEGED, PROPRIETARY AND/OR CONFIDENTIAL. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any review, retransmission, dissemination, distribution, copying, conversion to hard copy or other use of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient and have received this message in error, please notify me by return e-mail and delete this message from your system. Ontario Power Generation Inc.