

RE: Third Quarter Finances_Post Director Sign Off_v3_0209

From: "Veinot, Cindy (TBS)" <"o=govon/ou=exchange administrative group (fydibohf23spdl)/cn=recipients/cn=veinot, cindy (tbs)d57">
To: "Petsche, Nadine (TBS)" <nadine.petsche@ontario.ca>
Cc: "Hosick, Sarah (TBS)" <sarah.hosick@ontario.ca>, "Fong, Pauline (TBS)" <pauline.fong@ontario.ca>
Date: Fri, 10 Feb 2017 10:11:52 -0500

Another thought – since we are not providing out year analysis in this document, HOOPP and CAATPP non reversal doesn't have an impact on 2016-17 – is that right Pauline?

From: Veinot, Cindy (TBS)
Sent: February-10-17 10:08 AM
To: Petsche, Nadine (TBS)
Cc: Hosick, Sarah (TBS); Fong, Pauline (TBS)
Subject: RE: Third Quarter Finances_Post Director Sign Off_v3_0209

My concern is that this sounds like we are back to budget, but we are not because of HOOPP and CATTPP – those assets are still written off in this document – correct?

From: Petsche, Nadine (TBS)
Sent: February-10-17 10:07 AM
To: Veinot, Cindy (TBS)
Cc: Hosick, Sarah (TBS); Fong, Pauline (TBS)
Subject: RE: Third Quarter Finances_Post Director Sign Off_v3_0209

Hi Cindy.

The para in question refers to the Panel's report that is focusing on the OTPP and the OPSEUPP; however, if you would like to clarify that the reference to historical treatment is also only referring to the two plans (not HOOPP and CAATPP), then I have inserted possible wording.

Also, copying Pauline on this item in case she has any additional comments/suggestions.

Thanks.

N

From: Veinot, Cindy (TBS)
Sent: February-10-17 9:59 AM
To: Petsche, Nadine (TBS)
Cc: Hosick, Sarah (TBS)
Subject: Third Quarter Finances_Post Director Sign Off_v3_0209

Nadine – can you take a look at my comment on page 1 re: HOOPP and CAATPP – thanks,