

Re: please advise

From: "Petsche, Nadine (TBS)" <nadine.petsche@ontario.ca>
To: "Veinot, Cindy (TBS)" <cindy.veinot@ontario.ca>
Date: Sat, 17 Sep 2016 05:57:45 -0400

Ok

From: Veinot, Cindy (TBS)
Sent: Saturday, September 17, 2016 5:56 AM
To: Petsche, Nadine (TBS)
Subject: Re: please advise

I would ask Jim. He can follow up with Lydia.

Sent from my BlackBerry 10 smartphone on the Rogers network.

From: Petsche, Nadine (TBS)
Sent: Saturday, September 17, 2016 05:53
To: Veinot, Cindy (TBS)
Subject: Re: please advise

Do you want me to follow up with Jim and/or Lydia?

From: Veinot, Cindy (TBS)
Sent: Saturday, September 17, 2016 5:51 AM
To: Petsche, Nadine (TBS)
Subject: Re: please advise

Doesn't help. Question is the thought process re referencing a situation where employees manage a plan vs a joint sponsorship where there is risk sharing. So why didn't the footnote reference jointly sponsored pension plans (a defined term in 3250) or exclude the 3250 in the scope exemption section of government partnerships.

Sent from my BlackBerry 10 smartphone on the Rogers network.

From: Petsche, Nadine (TBS)
Sent: Friday, September 16, 2016 23:12
To: Veinot, Cindy (TBS)
Subject: Fw: please advise

Cindy,

Please see below. I think that Jim's response would support applicability of the standard to the OTPP situation? N

From: Jim Keates <jimkeates@hotmail.com>
Sent: Friday, September 16, 2016 10:45 PM
To: Petsche, Nadine (TBS)
Cc: Provis, Ian (TBS)
Subject: Re: please advise

Hi Nadine, I am not sure I am answering your question but footnote 3 referenced in paragraph 3060.06 (paragraph .06 is defining a government partnership) is indicating that the joint management for example of a pension plan by the government with its employees does not make the pension plan by itself a government partnership. The employees are not considered to be a partner for purposes of the government partnership definition and a government partnership is an arrangement between two or more partners.
Jim

From: Petsche, Nadine (TBS) <Nadine.Petsche@ontario.ca>
Sent: September 16, 2016 9:25:03 PM
To: Jim Keates (jimkeates@hotmail.com)
Cc: Provis, Ian (TBS)
Subject: please advise

Rush request...

Jim,
Can you please review the attached and advise w.r.t. your interpretation of this guidance on jointly sponsored pension plans. The footnote in reference to 3060.06 (#3) is what is in question. For the OTPP for example, where the OTF situation does not involve employees acting as management of the plan, we're not sure of the applicability of the footnote. Our assumption is that the guidance might be applicable to the situation of joint sponsored defined benefit plans, but would appreciate your thoughts.
Thanks in advance.
Nadine