

Energy
Regulated Price Plan (RPP) Review

JEP Committee Feb 18, 2014	Cabinet March 5, 2014	LRC and Cabinet tbd	Effective Date tbd
----------------------------------	--------------------------	------------------------	-----------------------

Summary of Proposal

The following proposal contains no variances from Cabinet direction.

Context for Decision:

This proposal follows Cabinet direction from the 2013 Long Term Energy Plan (LTEP).

Since April 2005, the Ontario Energy Board (OEB) has administered the Regulated Price Plan (RPP), an energy price plan that provides stable and predictable electricity pricing, encourages conservation and ensures the price consumers pay for electricity better reflects the price paid to electricity generators. About 4.9 million residential and low-volume business consumers are eligible for the RPP.

Smart meters have enabled time of use (TOU) pricing – this means that the price consumers pay for electricity now better reflects the cost of producing it at peak and off-peak times. There are three TOU pricing periods. Off-peak, when energy demand is low and less expensive sources of electricity are used. Mid-peak, when the cost of energy and demand are moderate. And on-peak, when demand is highest and more expensive forms of electricity production are used to meet demand. Today, almost all RPP-eligible consumers have smart meters and over 96 percent pay under the TOU structure. The remaining RPP-eligible consumers are billed under the RPP's tiered pricing structure or a separate retail contract.

Following the release of the 2013 Long-Term Energy Plan, the Ontario Energy Board (OEB) initiated a review of the Regulated Price Plan (RPP) to look at the effects of different time-of-use (TOU) pricing structures. The OEB then released its RPP Roadmap in November 2015, a five-point plan to redesign the RPP to respond to policy objectives, improve system efficiency and give consumers greater control. The OEB's RPP Guidance Document identifies a prioritized list of pricing options to be tested through the RPP pilots. The priority RPP pricing pilots fall into two broad categories:

1. **Critical peak pricing (CPP)** which is an application of prices for specific hours when the electricity system is stressed and/or hourly energy market prices are high.

Commented [MC1]: What is the eligibility criteria? Who is not eligible?

Commented [CM2]: The eligibility criteria are set out in Ontario Regulation 95/05, section 4, which includes low volume customers with a demand of less than 50 kilowatt hours.

Commented [MT3]: Yes, the pilots will only impact customers under the TOU structure

Commented [MC4]: Do the pilots impact only consumers under the TOU structure?

2. **TOU variations** which test different types of changes to the existing on-peak/mid-peak/off-peak structure or the price ratio between the different TOU periods.

The Proposal

The Ministry of Energy proposes flexible pilots to test different approaches to pricing structures. These pilots are intended to reduce system peak, support an efficient electricity system, and give consumers additional incentives and opportunities to better manage their electricity bills.

The OEB's responsibilities and authorities for setting RPP pricing are set out in legislation and regulation (Ontario Regulation 95/05 - (Classes of Consumers and Determination of Rates))(including the adjustments the OEB can make to the pricing scheme).

Commented [CM5]: Suggest deleting as this is the first mention of this. Need to explain 7-7 requirement

Ontario Regulation 95/05 currently requires that TOU prices set by the OEB under the RPP have an off-peak period that begins no later than 7pm and ends no earlier than 7am on weekdays and all day on weekends and holidays. There are currently no exceptions permitted to this requirement.

The proposed amendment to this regulation would make an exception to this requirement to support the delivery of pilot programs that test alternative pricing options that are aimed at achieving the OEB's RPP Roadmap objectives (i.e. pricing options outside of the 7pm-7am off-peak period requirement).

Without the amendment, the pricing pilots would need to be undertaken by using a "shadow billing" approach. Under shadow billing, pilot participants are given their standard bill on the existing TOU pricing plan, plus an additional hypothetical bill (i.e. the 'shadow' bill) that details what they would have been charged based on the prices being tested in the pilot pricing program.

Shadow billing can reduce the effectiveness of pricing pilots because participants continue to be billed based on standard TOU rates and therefore have less incentive to respond to the price signals being tested in the pilot program. The effect of the proposed amendment would be to allow for pilots with alternative options for pricing that bill customers with an off-peak period that differs from that currently specified in regulation, enabling more effective pilots.

Data gathered through the pricing pilots could inform future OEB decisions about potential changes to the current time-of-use (TOU) pricing structure and pricing periods.

Why does Ontario need these changes? Why now?

The OEB's RPP Roadmap commits to having pilots in market no later than May 2017. If the proposal is approved, the OEB and Local Distribution Companies (LDCs) need time to roll out the pilots before May 2017, including modifications to the billing system.

What are the key steps to deliver this proposal?

The OEB has received 6 applications from around 12 LDCs that are representative of Ontario's diversity including pilots that would be targeted at customers in the winter peaking northern region of the province and the summer peaking southern region of the province. In addition to ensuring geographical diversity, the OEB encouraged LDCs to include as part of their proposed pilot programs, samples of low-income customers and high usage customers.

LDCs selected to run pilots will communicate details of the pilots to their customers, and provide ongoing communications throughout the pilots. Customers are expected to be billed under the TOU pilot pricing structure beginning in early 2017. XX. The OEB has not yet decided which LDCs will operate the pilots. Pilots are expected to be run simultaneously across several LDC service territories in different areas of the province and to include samples of low-income customers and high usage customers to broadly assess the pilot impacts.

LDCs will communicate the details of enrolment to their customers both before and during the pilots. Some pilots are expected to be delivered on an opt-out basis, meaning that customers will be automatically enrolled in the program but will have the ability to opt-out upon making a request to their LDC. Some pilots would be offered on an opt-in basis in order to reflect the manner in which some pricing structures may be offered to consumers in the future.

Participating LDCs will be responsible for conducting evaluations and publicly reporting the results of their pilots. The evaluations are expected to include an analysis on impacts on peak demand, total electricity use and consumer acceptance and retention. In addition, the pilots are expected to incorporate a variety of surveys to better understand the consumers participating from a socio-economic perspective, as well as to gauge their level of energy literacy over time.

The pricing pilots could inform future OEB decisions about potential changes to the current TOU pricing structure and pricing periods across the province.

What is the expected cost to government?

Commented [MT6]: This is a commitment in the RPP Roadmap to (pg 3 http://www.ontarioenergyboard.ca/oeb/_Documents/EB-2016-0201/RPP_Roadmap_Guideline_Pilot_Projects.pdf) . If the reg amendment is not approved, the pilots will need to be undertaken using shadow billing

Commented [MC7]: Is this commitment different than the shadow pilots that are already underway?

Commented [MC8]: Energy to provide – would customers see both bills and then pay the lower of the two? Would they just see the new bill? Is the data also gathered about what the previous bill would have been?

Commented [MT9]: We do not know the OEBs plans for collecting data of what the bill would have been.

Commented [MT10]: http://www.ontarioenergyboard.ca/oeb/_Documents/EB-2016-0201/RPP_Roadmap_Guideline_Pilot_Projects.pdf pg 5

Commented [MC11]: Will the evaluations be publicly available?

Commented [CM12]: Yes, pilot results will be made publicly available

Commented [CM13]: Not a cost to government. Moved this info to CO analysis section

The OEB has indicated that the pilot programs are expected to cost up to \$30 million over one year. The ministry is working with the IESO and the OEB to fund the pilots through the IESO's Conservation Fund. The IESO is expected to enter into contracts with the LDCs on the advice of the OEB, and the IESO would directly fund the LDC pilot program expenses approved by the OEB.

The ministry has indicated that even in the absence of this proposal, the pilot programs would occur (through the shadow billing method) which may result in higher costs as there are higher administrative costs to running pilots on a shadow billing basis.

How will the changes be communicated?

The Ministry of Energy proposes a low profile and reactive communication approach.

Terms you need to know

- **Regulated Price Plan (RPP)** -
 - **Ontario Energy Board (OEB)** – A Crown agency that regulates Ontario's electricity and natural gas sectors in the public interest (implements processes to allow stakeholders to comment on various applications needing approvals, including local distribution company rate applications)
 - **IESO** An agency of the Ministry of Energy responsible for ensuring there is enough power to meet the province's energy needs in real time while also planning and securing energy for the future. It is also responsible for overseeing the delivery of electricity conservation programs delivered by LDCs.
- Local Distribution Companies (LDCs)** – Local electricity utilities, responsible for distributing power from transmission lines to people's homes (e.g., Hydro Ottawa, Toronto Hydro, etc.)

Cabinet Office Analysis

The proposed amendment aligns with the 2013 Long-Term Energy Plan commitment to put conservation first. Through the September 2016 Throne Speech, Ontario introduced a broad Electricity Rate Mitigation Strategy for electricity consumers across the province. Through this proposal new pricing options and structures for energy consumers could be achieved, and serve as an additional tool for consumers to manage increasing electricity costs.

One way that consumers currently try to manage their electricity bills is by shifting their usage to off-peak price periods. Studies commissioned by the IESO and the OEB show that the current TOU peak-price periods (7 am to 7 pm) do not fully align with the system peak, with many periods occurring after 7 pm. The OEB has indicated that this misalignment is expected to grow, resulting in a reduced electricity system benefit and reduced cost savings. This proposal would enable pilots to test TOU price structures

Commented [CM14]: Suggest deleting as pilots are not being funded through OEB budget

Commented [CM15]: Funded through ratepayers. No hit to fiscal plan

Commented [MC16]: Energy to confirm

Commented [MC17]: Is this fully recovered, or any potential hit to the fiscal plan?

Commented [MC18]: What is the alternative if this does not happen?

Commented [CM19]: The Ministry is planning to issue a Minister's direction to enable this

Commented [MC20]: Energy to confirm. The key messages in the proposal – what are they intended for?

Commented [MT21]: The key messages are intended to act as a response if the Ministry is questioned on the pilots

Commented [CM22]: I don't think increasing energy prices can be linked to conservation alone.

that better align with current peak periods. The proposed amendment is open ended in that it allows the OEB to set different off-peak periods for pilot projects that it specifies.

Even in the absence of this proposal, the pilot programs would occur (through the shadow billing method) which may result in higher costs as there are higher administrative costs to running pilots on a shadow billing basis. The cost of the pilots is expected to result in a marginal increase on electricity bills for one year. The expected rate impact for a typical residential household is about \$2 for one year. The cost of the pilots will not be shown as a separate line item on bills, as they are expected to be included in the Global Adjustment.

The intended outcome of the pilots is that in the future, RPP customers could have the option of choosing between alternative pricing structures depending on their consumption patterns. This could reduce the need to make costly energy infrastructure investments such as new natural gas peaking generation facilities and allow customers to better manage their electricity costs.

Other Options Considered:

There are current pricing pilots that do not maintain the 7pm to 7am off-peak requirement. This is achieved using a “shadow billing” approach where pilot participants are given their standard bill on the existing pricing plan, plus a hypothetical bill (i.e. the ‘shadow’ bill) that shows what they would have been charged based on the prices being tested in the pilot pricing program. TOU pilots currently in place by Powerstream and Hydro One use this approach. Participants in these pilots pay whichever bill is lower of the two. The OEB has indicated that the requirement for shadow billing increases administrative costs and distorts customer response to the pilot because they are not billed based on the pilot design. As a result, the OEB is looking to implement up to 6 pilots conducted on an actual bill basis.

Linkages:

- What are other jurisdictions doing in this area? Is Ontario leading/behind?

Stakeholder response:

- Households in pilot areas are expected to respond to price signals by shifting demand away from the higher priced periods. XX
- The general public is unlikely to respond as the pilots will be targeted to specific customers in LDC service areas. XX
- The OEB established a working group to inform the development of RPP pilots, the working group met three times, on February 18th, March 10th and April 12th 2016.. The working group consists of six LDCs (PowerStream, Newmarket Hydro, Thunder Bay Hydro, Energyplus, Horizon Utilities and Hydro One), the Consumers Council of Canada, the Low-Income Energy Network, Toronto Community Housing Corporation, the IESO and the Ministry of Energy. This group provided advice to the OEB in the development of an RPP Guidance Document which assisted LDCs in

Commented [MT23]: The LDCs will publicly share the results of their pilots. The OEB could be use the information collected to develop alternative price structures that help consumers shift demand away from peaks.

Commented [MC24]: Will the OEB collect the data, or share with energy?

Commented [MC25]: How many of these pilots are currently in place? How many more pilots are expected through this proposal (to cost \$30M)?

Commented [CM26]: Pilots not currently in place – they are expected to be in place by May 2017

Commented [MT27]: The OEB is proposing to test different approaches to pricing that have been tested in other jurisdictions noted in the LRC approval form. There is not one specific jurisdiction that can be highlighted as being closest to the Ontario pilot approach.

Commented [MC28]: Question for energy – of the jurisdiction scan in the LRC form, which is the closest to Ontario's approach?

Commented [CM29]: Suggest deleting as this has not yet been determined to be included in LTEP. This is an OEB led initiative

Commented [CM30]: Suggest deleting as this is not directly related to this initiative

Commented [MC31]: Are other ministries aware? MOF?

Commented [CM32]: ENERGY has not engaged MOF or other ministries as this is an OEB led initiative

Commented [MC33]: Energy to provide details

Commented [MC34]: Energy to provide

Commented [MC35]: Over what time period?

selecting, designing, proposing and developing implementation plans for the RPP pilots.

- The Ministry of Energy posted proposed regulatory amendment to the Environmental Registry and the Regulatory Registry for 45 days from September 15 to October 30, 2016. Four comments were received and were generally supportive.

Risks:

- Timing and capacity of LDCs to deliver – LDCs that offer pilots have made voluntary applications to the OEB, and are working with the OEB to develop implementation plans. Further, the LDCs will have until May 2017 to bring their pilots to market, giving them flexibility in order to manage other changes, such as the HST rebate and proceeds recycling.
- Flexibility of the proposal – the proposed amendment is very flexible and allows the OEB to set different off-peak periods for pilot projects that it specifies
- Perception - consumers may be concerned about the number of changes to energy bills and introducing a narrower window for off-peak pricing. This can be mitigated by explaining that the pilot projects will test pricing options to help provide consumers with optimal pricing

Commented [MC36]: Question for energy