

RE: Net Metering Cabinet Note

From: "Armstrong, Hillary (ENERGY)" <hillary.armstrong@ontario.ca>
To: "Coker, Meaghan (ENERGY)" <meaghan.coker@ontario.ca>
Cc: "Schlaepfer, Martin (ENERGY)" <martin.schlaepfer@ontario.ca>, "Kolodziej, Monika (ENERGY)" <monika.kolodziej@ontario.ca>, "Whittington, Matt (ENERGY)" <matt.whittington@ontario.ca>
Date: Fri, 06 Apr 2018 19:16:14 -0400
Attachments: REG-11997_ID52607_LRC_Committee_Note- Net Metering- Draftv2 (REFO) FINAL.docx (54.27 kB)

Thanks Meaghan.

We have an update on the siting regulation effective date as well.

Staff met with the OEB this afternoon to finalize when the siting regulation should take effect. After the conversation with the OEB, instead of an October 1, 2018 effective date, it is proposed that we move forward with a July 1, 2018 effective date. This would avoid a potential gap in siting requirements.

Based on this suggested change, we have revised the attached cabinet office note.

Let us know if you are ok with this approach and sharing the revised note with CO.

Thanks,
Hillary

Sent with BlackBerry Work
(www.blackberry.com)

From: Coker, Meaghan (ENERGY) <Meaghan.Coker@ontario.ca>
Date: Friday, Apr 06, 2018, 5:20 PM
To: Armstrong, Hillary (ENERGY) <Hillary.Armstrong@ontario.ca>
Cc: Schlaepfer, Martin (ENERGY) <Martin.Schlaepfer@ontario.ca>, Kolodziej, Monika (ENERGY) <Monika.Kolodziej@ontario.ca>, Whittington, Matt (ENERGY) <Matt.Whittington@ontario.ca>
Subject: Re: Net Metering Cabinet Note

Okay, proceed as is. Thanks!

From: ["Armstrong, Hillary (ENERGY)" <Hillary.Armstrong@ontario.ca>]
Sent: Apr 6, 2018 4:39 PM
To: ["Coker, Meaghan (ENERGY)" <Meaghan.Coker@ontario.ca>]
Subject: [RE: Net Metering Cabinet Note]
Cc: ["Schlaepfer, Martin (ENERGY)" <Martin.Schlaepfer@ontario.ca>, "Kolodziej, Monika (ENERGY)" <Monika.Kolodziej@ontario.ca>, "Whittington, Matt (ENERGY)" <Matt.Whittington@ontario.ca>]

Hi Meaghan,

Our preference would be to not include language on the impact of GreenON in the note for a couple reasons. It could end up leading to further questions since we wouldn't be able to say anything very specific. Since the GreenON program hasn't been announced yet, we would prefer not to link the programs in the note at this point. And finally, the impact on the economics wouldn't be that great.

Let me know if you still feel strongly about adding in the language, and we can see if there is something we would be comfortable adding.

Thanks,
Hillary

From: Coker, Meaghan (ENERGY)
Sent: April 6, 2018 2:27 PM
To: Armstrong, Hillary (ENERGY) <Hillary.Armstrong@ontario.ca>
Cc: Schlaepfer, Martin (ENERGY) <Martin.Schlaepfer@ontario.ca>; Kolodziej, Monika (ENERGY) <Monika.Kolodziej@ontario.ca>; Whittington, Matt (ENERGY) <Matt.Whittington@ontario.ca>
Subject: RE: Net Metering Cabinet Note

Thanks Hillary!

One comment, below response from CRED doesn't acknowledge that economics may change with the envisioned Green ON incentive. Just a note to acknowledge that the 70 MW capped incentive changes the economics/uptake is sufficient – and it's good to go.

CRED Response: While this would be possible, the risk remains consistent with the risk as it existed under the FIT and microFIT procurement programs that net metering replaces. Similarly, distributors perform connection assessments for net metering projects.

Generally speaking, there is less connection capacity to connect generation in the North vs the South due to lower amounts of load in the North than in the South.

Overall, the ministry does not anticipate there would be a high level of participation in the near term as the economics of net metering remain challenged.

From: Armstrong, Hillary (ENERGY)
Sent: April-06-18 2:12 PM
To: Coker, Meaghan (ENERGY)
Cc: Schlaepfer, Martin (ENERGY); Kolodziej, Monika (ENERGY); Whittington, Matt (ENERGY)
Subject: Net Metering Cabinet Note

Hi Meaghan,

Attached is the Cabinet Office note for the net metering LRC item, which we have reviewed.

Let us know if you have any comments. CO has asked for our feedback today.

Thanks,
Hillary