

Re: 18-month outlook

From: "Ruttan, Craig (ENERGY)" <craig.ruttan@ontario.ca>
To: "Whittington, Matt (ENERGY)" <matt.whittington@ontario.ca>, "Esdaile, Trevor (ENERGY)" <trevor.esdaile@ontario.ca>
Date: Thu, 15 Jun 2017 09:42:04 -0400

Since I accidentally brought my copy home with me, here are my edits/comments/questions:

General q: are they anticipating any demand growth stemming from the FHP rate reduction?

Pg iii, top: change "planned outage plans" to "planned outages"

Pg iv: Eglinton Crosstown, not Eglinton LRT project

Pg v, question: should we be briefed on IESO regulation rfp?

Pg 6: under May, the phrase "all-time low" seems hyperbolic. Suggest sticking with language of "since market opening."

Pg 7: under Econ Outlook, last sentence, suggest deleting everything after "long run".

Page 10: NRWF is missing an estimated effective date. If it's super near-term, is it even necessary to include in the table?

Page 23: is Figure 6.1 a standing feature of these reports? Can't recall. Wondering if it is necessary to include. At the very least, suggest "high volume of curtailment" should be reworded as "higher volume".

Sent from my BlackBerry 10 smartphone on the Rogers network.

From: Schlaepfer, Martin (ENERGY)
Sent: Wednesday, June 14, 2017 10:58 AM
To: Teliszewsky, Andrew (ENERGY)
Cc: Imbrogno, Serge (ENERGY); Whittington, Matt (ENERGY); Esdaile, Trevor (ENERGY); Coker, Meaghan (ENERGY); Ruttan, Craig (ENERGY); Berry, James (ENERGY); Martin, Eric (ENERGY); Pagel, Alexa (ENERGY); Chatterjee, Jeet (ENERGY); Berg, Denna (ENERGY); Baker, Carys (ENERGY)
Subject: 18-month outlook

Hi Andrew,

Please find attached the IESO's presentation and draft 18-month outlook for tomorrow's briefing. The IESO plans to post the outlook on June 20.

Thanks,
Martin