

1 - Draft Agenda - December 12 - 13 2016 with Chair comments.docx

AGENDA

Pension Asset Expert Panel

December 12 - 13, 2016

Attendees

Patricia O'Malley – Chair
 Murray Gold
 Uros Karadzic
 Paul Martin

Nelson Tam – Project Manager
 Lucy Durocher - PWC Partner, Report Writer

Topic	Lead	
December 12 2016		
1. December 5 Meeting Minutes Approval, Other Matters as required	Nelson	8:45 – 9:00
2. Communications – Report Advice, Accessibility, Translation	Communications	9:00 – 9:30
3. Discussion on OPSEU, CAAT, HOOP	Chair	9:30 – 10:30
4. Break		10:30 – 10:45
5. Report Drafting	Chair/Lucy	10:45– 12:00
6. Lunch		12:00 – 1:00
7. Report Drafting	Chair/Lucy	1:00 – 3:00
8. Break		3:00 – 3:15
9. Report Drafting		3:15 – 5:00
December 13 2016		
10. Recap of AG Meeting Strategy	Chair	8:45 – 9:00
11. Report Drafting	Chair/Lucy	9:00 – 4:45
12. Timeline Confirmation	Chair	4:45 – 5:00
13. Breaks		10:30 – 10:45 3:00 – 3:15
14. Lunch		12:00 – 1:00

Commented [P01]: Not sure we want to spend much time on CAAT and HOOP if we are not going to include in main report? Perhaps leave to end of day 2 if we have time?

Commented [P02]: Needs more time, perhaps 45 minutes?

Commented [P03]: I think we need to discuss this earlier so we know what we are aiming to get finished by the end of the day. Then perhaps recap0/confirmation as wrap up where you have it now.

Next Meeting – ~~December 12 2016, 8:45 – 5:00~~TDB if necessary

Location - Frost Building South, 2nd floor, 7 Queen's Park Crescent, ADM Boardroom

1 - Draft Agenda - December 12 - 13 2016.docx

AGENDA

Pension Asset Expert Panel

December 12 - 13, 2016

Attendees

Patricia O'Malley – Chair

Nelson Tam – Project Manager

Murray Gold

Lucy Durocher - PWC Partner, Report Writer

Uros Karadzic

Paul Martin

Topic	Lead	
December 12 2016		
1. December 5 Meeting Minutes Approval, Other Matters as required	Nelson	8:45 – 9:00
2. Communications – Report Advice, Accessibility, Translation	Communications	9:00 – 9:30
3. Discussion on OPSEU, CATT, HOOP	Chair	9:30 – 10:30
4. Break		10:30 – 10:45
5. Report Drafting	Chair/Lucy	10:45– 12:00
6. Lunch		12:00 – 1:00
7. Report Drafting	Chair/Lucy	1:00 – 3:00
8. Break		3:00 – 3:15
9. Report Drafting		3:15 – 5:00
December 13 2016		
10. Recap of AG Meeting Strategy	Chair	8:45 – 9:00
11. Report Drafting	Chair/Lucy	9:00 – 4:45
12. Timeline Confirmation	Chair	4:45 – 5:00
13. Breaks		10:30 – 10:45 3:00 – 3:15
14. Lunch		12:00 – 1:00

Next Meeting – December 12 2016, 8:45 – 5:00

Location - Frost Building South, 2nd floor, 7 Queen's Park Crescent, ADM Boardroom

2 - Draft Agenda - December 12 - 13 2016.docx

AGENDA

Pension Asset Expert Panel

December 12 - 13, 2016

Attendees

Patricia O'Malley – Chair

Nelson Tam – Project Manager

Murray Gold

Lucy Durocher - PWC Partner, Report Writer

Uros Karadzic

Paul Martin

Topic	Lead	
December 12 2016		
1. December 5 Meeting Minutes Approval, Other Matters as required	Nelson	8:45 – 9:00
2. Communications – Report Advice, Accessibility, Translation	Communications	9:00 – 9:30
3. Discussion on OPSEU, CATT, HOOP	Chair	9:30 – 10:30
4. Break		10:30 – 10:45
5. Report Drafting	Chair/Lucy	10:45– 12:00
6. Lunch		12:00 – 1:00
7. Report Drafting	Chair/Lucy	1:00 – 3:00
8. Break		3:00 – 3:15
9. Report Drafting		3:15 – 5:00
December 13 2016		
10. Recap of AG Meeting Strategy	Chair	8:45 – 9:00
11. Report Drafting	Chair/Lucy	9:00 – 4:45
12. Timeline Confirmation	Chair	4:45 – 5:00
13. Breaks		10:30 – 10:45 3:00 – 3:15
14. Lunch		12:00 – 1:00

Next Meeting – December 12 2016, 8:45 – 5:00

Location - Frost Building South, 2nd floor, 7 Queen's Park Crescent, ADM Boardroom

2 - Draft Meeting Minutes - December 5 2016.docx

PENSION ASSET EXPERT ADVISORY PANEL

MEETING MINUTES

DECEMBER 5 2016

Attendees

Panel

Patricia O'Malley – Chair
Murray Gold
Uros Karadzic
Paul Martin

Supports

Nelson Tam – Project Manager
Lucy Durocher – Report Writer

Guests

Cindy Veinot – ADM & Provincial Controller
Nadine Petsche – Director

Introduction & Housekeeping

- Lucy Durocher, PWC Partner, introduced herself to the Panel.
- November 18th meeting minutes were approved.
- FOI – Freedom of Information – all information provided to the Panel is subject to a FOI requests. The requester submits a form and the Ministry determines, if any, the cost to fulfil the request. Potentially, the OTPP position paper may be released.

Auditor General Annual Report Debrief

- OPCD provided an overview of Chapter 2 & Chapter 4 of the annual report
- The comparative year financial statements were not re-stated to reflect the full asset valuation allowance which resulted in the qualification.
- The Auditor General's opinion is that legislated accounting was not required as the change made to the financial statement was in compliance with PSAS.
- Also, the Auditor General's opinion that legislating accounting was not appropriate because it may result in the financial statement not being presented fairly as per PSAS. In most instances, the legislating accounting required PSAS to be followed.
- A discussion relating to interest rates were discussed – IFRS and Part IV. Also, whether the asset and liability were calculated on the same basis.

- **UROS – a quick re-calculation to determine the liability and asset on the same basis – basically excluding the unamortized gains and losses and determine what affect it has on the pension asset (surplus).**

OPSEU, HOOP and CAAT

- OPCD provided an overview of the position paper relating to the OPSEU, HOOP and CAAT pension plans
- Discussion on the timeline (see next item for details)

Timeline

- Nelson reviewed the draft timeline with the Panel with follow-up discussion
- Based on the discussions, Lucy to draft bullet points for next meeting so the Panel can confirm/add to the report
- Lucy will provide a draft to the Panel by end of December
- The Panel will review the draft during the week of January 2nd and provide comments to Lucy
- Lucy will incorporate the comments during the week of January 9th and provide an updated draft for finalization.
- The Ministry will schedule briefings for the Chair with the Associate Deputy Minister and Deputy Minister for the week of January 9th.
- Minister briefings will be required after the above briefing which will be scheduled by the Ministry.
- Based on timeline, the report is expected to be released by end of January.
- The direction the Panel will be known by the week of January 2nd.
- The OPSEU, CAAT and HOOP were not considered in depth for the reporting deadline. Discussion that OPSEU and OTPP needs to be addressed in the report and the CAAT and HOOP can be issued as a supplemental after the January report release.

Report – Questions and Related Discussion

- The report will be in the order of the Terms of Reference except that the report will reverse first and second bullet points.
- Control was discussed in context with the Auditor General report – the AG report included the definition of an asset but did not discuss the definition of control. PSAB may need to consider – If you have joint control, can you recognize an asset for jointly sponsored plans? IAS19, IFRIC 14, Joint Ventures, Government Partnerships were part of the discussion.

- Discussion relating the order of determining the asset and valuation allowance – the entire plan should be considered for asset and allowance and then the sponsor taking their share. Or should the order be reversed which maybe the AG approach.
- Discussion on the reporting contents – Executive Summary is expected to be 3 – 4 pages with the conclusions and the “technical” paper will have all the analysis such as background (single, joint, and multi-employer), plan arrangements (roles and responsibilities, contrasting BC and NB plans), accounting literature and detailed analysis of the four bullet points in the Terms of Reference using the background, plan arrangements and accounting literature to form the analysis.
- In-depth discussions on what analysis should be included to support the Panel’s conclusion.
- Discussion on access to surplus – economic benefits, joint control (equal, agreed upon, mutual), OTPP funding agreement and Act
- Discussion on valuation – scenarios, minimum payments/contributions and effect on the different scenarios, provision of adverse deviations in the valuations
- **UROS – to review the Deloitte report and calculations in more detail.**

Other Items

- **Nelson**
 - **Invite Communications to discuss report, accessibility and translation – Done – email sent December 6**
 - **Email to AG regarding materials for Paul on December 16 – email sent to Christine Wu on December 6**
 - **Send HOOP and CAAT pension plan documents – email sent on December 6**
 - **Agenda – include time for OPSEU, HOOP & CAAT discussion - done**

2 - Email - December 6 2016 - Draft Agenda and Meeting Minutes for your review.pdf

From: [Tam, Nelson \(TBS\)](#)
To: [Patricia O'Malley \(plomalley@outlook.com\)](mailto:plomalley@outlook.com)
Subject: Draft Agenda and Meeting Minutes for your review
Date: December-06-16 2:29:00 PM
Attachments: [Draft Agenda - December 12 - 13 2016.docx](#)
[Draft Meeting Minutes - December 5 2016.docx](#)

Patricia

Attached is the draft Agenda for next week meeting. May need to adjust the Communications timing (waiting for confirmation) and if the Panel wants a briefing on the other plans as mentioned by Nadine. I included time on December 12th to discuss the other plans and the rest is basically the report so any additional briefings can be worked around the report discussions. Also, for December 13th, I added a bit of time to confirm the strategy discussion with the AG and confirmation of timelines. It goes until 5PM for both days, the Panel can decide when the day ends but we definitely want the 15 minutes to confirm timelines before everyone leaves on December 13th

The draft meeting minutes – considerably shorter as most was being captured by Lucy for the report. Please review before I sent out to the Panel.

I am thinking Friday morning for the Agenda and the Meeting minutes.

Let me know if you need anything else.

Thanks

Nelson
416-212-0514

Draft Agenda - December 12 - 13 2016.pdf

AGENDA

Pension Asset Expert Panel

December 12 - 13, 2016

Attendees

Patricia O'Malley – Chair

Nelson Tam – Project Manager

Murray Gold

Lucy Durocher - PWC Partner, Report Writer

Uros Karadzic

Paul Martin

Topic	Lead	
December 12 2016		
1. December 5 Meeting Minutes Approval, Other Matters as required	Nelson	8:45 – 9:00
2. Communications – Report Advice, Accessibility, Translation	Communications	9:00 – 9:30
3. Discussion on OPSEU, CATT, HOOP	Chair	9:30 – 10:30
4. Break		10:30 – 10:45
5. Report Drafting	Chair/Lucy	10:45– 12:00
6. Lunch		12:00 – 1:00
7. Report Drafting	Chair/Lucy	1:00 – 3:00
8. Break		3:00 – 3:15
9. Report Drafting		3:15 – 5:00
December 13 2016		
10. Recap of AG Meeting Strategy	Chair	8:45 – 9:00
11. Report Drafting	Chair/Lucy	9:00 – 4:45
12. Timeline Confirmation	Chair	4:45 – 5:00
13. Breaks		10:30 – 10:45 3:00 – 3:15
14. Lunch		12:00 – 1:00

Next Meeting – December 12 2016, 8:45 – 5:00

Location - Frost Building South, 2nd floor, 7 Queen's Park Crescent, ADM Boardroom

Draft Meeting Minutes - December 5 2016.pdf

PENSION ASSET EXPERT ADVISORY PANEL

MEETING MINUTES

DECEMBER 5 2016

Attendees

Panel

Patricia O'Malley – Chair
Murray Gold
Uros Karadzic
Paul Martin

Supports

Nelson Tam – Project Manager
Lucy Durocher – Report Writer

Guests

Cindy Veinot – ADM & Provincial Controller
Nadine Petsche – Director

Introduction & Housekeeping

- Lucy Durocher, PWC Partner, introduced herself to the Panel.
- November 18th meeting minutes were approved.
- FOI – Freedom of Information – all information provided to the Panel is subject to a FOI requests. The requester submits a form and the Ministry determines, if any, the cost to fulfil the request. Potentially, the OTPP position paper may be released.

Auditor General Annual Report Debrief

- OPCD provided an overview of Chapter 2 & Chapter 4 of the annual report
- The comparative year financial statements were not re-stated to reflect the full asset valuation allowance which resulted in the qualification.
- The Auditor General's opinion is that legislated accounting was not required as the change made to the financial statement was in compliance with PSAS.
- Also, the Auditor General's opinion that legislating accounting was not appropriate because it may result in the financial statement not being presented fairly as per PSAS. In most instances, the legislating accounting required PSAS to be followed.
- A discussion relating to interest rates were discussed – IFRS and Part IV. Also, whether the asset and liability were calculated on the same basis.

- **UROS – a quick re-calculation to determine the liability and asset on the same basis – basically excluding the unamortized gains and losses and determine what affect it has on the pension asset (surplus).**

OPSEU, HOOP and CAAT

- OPCD provided an overview of the position paper relating to the OPSEU, HOOP and CAAT pension plans
- Discussion on the timeline (see next item for details)

Timeline

- Nelson reviewed the draft timeline with the Panel with follow-up discussion
- Based on the discussions, Lucy to draft bullet points for next meeting so the Panel can confirm/add to the report
- Lucy will provide a draft to the Panel by end of December
- The Panel will review the draft during the week of January 2nd and provide comments to Lucy
- Lucy will incorporate the comments during the week of January 9th and provide an updated draft for finalization.
- The Ministry will schedule briefings for the Chair with the Associate Deputy Minister and Deputy Minister for the week of January 9th.
- Minister briefings will be required after the above briefing which will be scheduled by the Ministry.
- Based on timeline, the report is expected to be released by end of January.
- The direction the Panel will be known by the week of January 2nd.
- The OPSEU, CAAT and HOOP were not considered in depth for the reporting deadline. Discussion that OPSEU and OTPP needs to be addressed in the report and the CAAT and HOOP can be issued as a supplemental after the January report release.

Report – Questions and Related Discussion

- The report will be in the order of the Terms of Reference except that the report will reverse first and second bullet points.
- Control was discussed in context with the Auditor General report – the AG report included the definition of an asset but did not discuss the definition of control. PSAB may need to consider – If you have joint control, can you recognize an asset for jointly sponsored plans? IAS19, IFRIC 14, Joint Ventures, Government Partnerships were part of the discussion.

- Discussion relating the order of determining the asset and valuation allowance – the entire plan should be considered for asset and allowance and then the sponsor taking their share. Or should the order be reversed which maybe the AG approach.
- Discussion on the reporting contents – Executive Summary is expected to be 3 – 4 pages with the conclusions and the “technical” paper will have all the analysis such as background (single, joint, and multi-employer), plan arrangements (roles and responsibilities, contrasting BC and NB plans), accounting literature and detailed analysis of the four bullet points in the Terms of Reference using the background, plan arrangements and accounting literature to form the analysis.
- In-depth discussions on what analysis should be included to support the Panel’s conclusion.
- Discussion on access to surplus – economic benefits, joint control (equal, agreed upon, mutual), OTPP funding agreement and Act
- Discussion on valuation – scenarios, minimum payments/contributions and effect on the different scenarios, provision of adverse deviations in the valuations
- **UROS – to review the Deloitte report and calculations in more detail.**

Other Items

- **Nelson**
 - **Invite Communications to discuss report, accessibility and translation – Done – email sent December 6**
 - **Email to AG regarding materials for Paul on December 16 – email sent to Christine Wu on December 6**
 - **Send HOOP and CAAT pension plan documents – email sent on December 6**
 - **Agenda – include time for OPSEU, HOOP & CAAT discussion - done**

2A - Draft Agenda - December 12 - 13 2016.docx

AGENDA

Pension Asset Expert Panel

December 12 - 13, 2016

Attendees

Patricia O'Malley – Chair
 Murray Gold
 Uros Karadzic
 Paul Martin

Nelson Tam – Project Manager
 Lucy Durocher - PWC Partner, Report Writer

Topic	Lead	
December 12 2016		
1. December 5 Meeting Minutes Approval, Other Matters as required	Nelson	8:45 – 9:00
2. Communications – Report Advice, Accessibility, Translation	Communications	9:00 – 9:30
3. Discussion on OPSEU, CAAT, HOOP	Chair	9:30 – 10:30
4. Break		10:30 – 10:45
5. Report Drafting	Chair/Lucy	10:45– 12:00
6. Lunch		12:00 – 1:00
7. Report Drafting	Chair/Lucy	1:00 – 3:00
8. Break		3:00 – 3:15
9. Report Drafting		3:15 – 5:00
December 13 2016		
10. Recap of AG Meeting Strategy	Chair	8:45 – 9:00
11. Report Drafting	Chair/Lucy	9:00 – 4:45
12. Timeline Confirmation	Chair	4:45 – 5:00
13. Breaks		10:30 – 10:45 3:00 – 3:15
14. Lunch		12:00 – 1:00

Commented [P01]: Not sure we want to spend much time on CAAT and HOOP if we are not going to include in main report? Perhaps leave to end of day 2 if we have time?

Commented [P02]: Needs more time, perhaps 45 minutes?

Commented [P03]: I think we need to discuss this earlier so we know what we are aiming to get finished by the end of the day. Then perhaps recap0/confirmation as wrap up where you have it now.

Next Meeting – ~~December 12 2016, 8:45 – 5:00~~TDB if necessary

Location - Frost Building South, 2nd floor, 7 Queen's Park Crescent, ADM Boardroom

2A - Draft Meeting Minutes - December 5 2016.docx

PENSION ASSET EXPERT ADVISORY PANEL

MEETING MINUTES

DECEMBER 5 2016

Attendees

Panel

Patricia O'Malley – Chair
Murray Gold
Uros Karadzic
Paul Martin

Supports

Nelson Tam – Project Manager
Lucy Durocher – Report Writer

Guests

Cindy Veinot – ADM & Provincial Controller
Nadine Petsche – Director

Introduction & Housekeeping

- Lucy Durocher, PWC Partner, introduced herself to the Panel.
- November 18th meeting minutes were approved.
- FOI – Freedom of Information – all information provided to the Panel is subject to a FOI requests. The requester submits a form and the Ministry determines, if any, the cost to fulfil the request. Potentially, the OPCD's OTPP position paper may be released.

Auditor General Annual Report Debrief

- OPCD provided an overview of Chapter 2 & Chapter 4 of the annual report
- The comparative year financial statements were not re-stated to reflect the full asset valuation allowance which resulted in the qualification.
- The Auditor General's opinion is that legislated accounting was not required as the change made to the financial statement was in compliance with PSAS.
- Also, the Auditor General's opinion that legislating accounting was not appropriate because it may result in the financial statements not being presented fairly as per PSAS. In most instances in which accounting requirements have been legislated, the legislated accounting required PSAS to be followed.
- ~~A discussion relating to it~~ Interest rates were discussed – IFRS and Part IV. Also, whether the asset and liability were calculated on the same basis.

- UROS – a quick re-calculation to determine the liability and asset on the same basis – basically excluding the unamortized gains and losses and determine what ~~ae~~ffect it has on the pension asset (surplus).

OPSEU, HOOP and CAAT

- OPCD provided an overview of ~~the-its~~ position papers relating to the OPSEU, HOOP and CAAT pension plans
- Discussion on the timeline (see next item for details)

Timeline

- Nelson reviewed the draft timeline with the Panel with follow-up discussion
- Based on the discussions, Lucy to draft the report outline in bullet points for next meeting so the Panel can confirm/add to the report
- Lucy will provide a second draft to the Panel with expanded bullet points early in week of 19~~by end of~~ December. Panel to provide any comments by end of that week.
- Lucy will provide full written draft of report by the end of December.
- The Panel will review the draft during the week of January 2nd and provide comments to Lucy.
- Lucy will incorporate the comments during the week of January 9th and provide an updated draft for finalization.
- The Ministry will schedule briefings ~~for~~by the Chair with the Associate Deputy Minister and Deputy Minister for the week of January 9th.
- Minister briefings will be required after the above briefing which will be scheduled by the Ministry.
- Based on timeline, the report is expected to be released by end of January.
- The direction of the Panel will be known by the week of January 2nd.
- The ~~OPSEU~~, CAATPP and HOOPP were not considered in depth for the reporting deadline. Discussion that OPSEU and OTPP needs to be addressed in the report and the CAATPP and HOOPP can be issued as a supplemental after the January report release as they are not anticipated to have material surpluses in the next two years.

Report – Questions and Related Discussion

- The report will ~~be address the issues~~ in the order of the Terms of Reference except that the report will reverse first and second bullet points.

- Control was discussed in context with the Auditor General report – the AG report included the definition of an asset but did not discuss the definition of control. PSAB may need to consider – If you have joint control, can you recognize an asset for jointly sponsored plans? IAS19, IFRIC 14, Joint Ventures, Government Partnerships were part of the discussion.
- Discussion relating the order of determining the asset and valuation allowance – the entire plan should be considered for asset and allowance and then the sponsor taking their share. Or should the order be reversed which maybe the AG approach.
- Discussion on the reporting contents – Executive Summary is expected to be 3 – 4 pages with the conclusions and the “technical” paper report will have all the analysis. The main analysis will follow the four bullet points in the Terms of Reference and provide background on the plan arrangements and the accounting literature to inform the analysis. However, it is intended that the main body of the report will be a relatively crisp, understandable discussion of the issues and conclusions. More in depth analysis of important topics such as background (single, joint, and multi-employer), plan arrangements (roles and responsibilities, contrasting BC and NB plans), accounting literature will be included in appendices. ~~and detailed analysis of the four bullet points in the Terms of Reference using the background, plan arrangements and accounting literature to form the analysis.~~
- In-depth discussions on what analysis should be included to support the Panel’s conclusion.
- Discussion on access to surplus – economic benefits, joint control (equal, agreed upon, mutual), OTPP funding agreement and Act
- Discussion on valuation – scenarios, minimum payments/contributions and effect on the different scenarios, provision of for adverse deviations in the valuations
- **UROS – to review the Deloitte report and calculations in more detail.**

Other Items

- **Nelson**
 - Invite Communications to discuss report, accessibility and translation – Done – email sent December 6
 - Email to AG regarding materials for Paul on December 16 – email sent to Christine Wu on December 6
 - Send HOOP and CAAT pension plan documents – email sent on December 6
 - Agenda – include time for OPSEU, HOOP & CAAT discussion - done

2A - Email - December 6 2016 - Re_ Draft Agenda and Meeting Minutes for your review.pdf

From: [Patricia O'Malley](#)
To: [Tam, Nelson \(TBS\)](#)
Subject: Re: Draft Agenda and Meeting Minutes for your review
Date: December-06-16 3:46:17 PM
Attachments: [Draft Agenda - December 12 - 13 2016.docx](#)
[Draft Meeting Minutes - December 5 2016.docx](#)

Some suggestions/questions in the agenda. Mainly drafting in the minutes but it might be a good idea to check with Lucy that she has the same recollection of the timing of the various drafts as I do. I thought we were going to see another outline before she actually started writing.

From: Tam, Nelson (TBS) <Nelson.Tam@ontario.ca>
Sent: December 6, 2016 14:29
To: Patricia O'Malley (plomalley@outlook.com)
Subject: Draft Agenda and Meeting Minutes for your review

Patricia

Attached is the draft Agenda for next week meeting. May need to adjust the Communications timing (waiting for confirmation) and if the Panel wants a briefing on the other plans as mentioned by Nadine. I included time on December 12th to discuss the other plans and the rest is basically the report so any additional briefings can be worked around the report discussions. Also, for December 13th, I added a bit of time to confirm the strategy discussion with the AG and confirmation of timelines. It goes until 5PM for both days, the Panel can decide when the day ends but we definitely want the 15 minutes to confirm timelines before everyone leaves on December 13th

The draft meeting minutes – considerably shorter as most was being captured by Lucy for the report. Please review before I sent out to the Panel.

I am thinking Friday morning for the Agenda and the Meeting minutes.

Let me know if you need anything else.

Thanks

Nelson
416-212-0514

Draft Agenda - December 12 - 13 2016_1.pdf

AGENDA

Pension Asset Expert Panel

December 12 - 13, 2016

Attendees

Patricia O'Malley – Chair
 Murray Gold
 Uros Karadzic
 Paul Martin

Nelson Tam – Project Manager
 Lucy Durocher - PWC Partner, Report Writer

Topic	Lead	
December 12 2016		
1. December 5 Meeting Minutes Approval, Other Matters as required	Nelson	8:45 – 9:00
2. Communications – Report Advice, Accessibility, Translation	Communications	9:00 – 9:30
3. Discussion on OPSEU, CAAT, HOOP	Chair	9:30 – 10:30
4. Break		10:30 – 10:45
5. Report Drafting	Chair/Lucy	10:45– 12:00
6. Lunch		12:00 – 1:00
7. Report Drafting	Chair/Lucy	1:00 – 3:00
8. Break		3:00 – 3:15
9. Report Drafting		3:15 – 5:00
December 13 2016		
10. Recap of AG Meeting Strategy	Chair	8:45 – 9:00
11. Report Drafting	Chair/Lucy	9:00 – 4:45
12. Timeline Confirmation	Chair	4:45 – 5:00
13. Breaks		10:30 – 10:45 3:00 – 3:15
14. Lunch		12:00 – 1:00

Commented [P01]: Not sure we want to spend much time on CAAT and HOOP if we are not going to include in main report? Perhaps leave to end of day 2 if we have time?

Commented [P02]: Needs more time, perhaps 45 minutes?

Commented [P03]: I think we need to discuss this earlier so we know what we are aiming to get finished by the end of the day. Then perhaps recap0/confirmation as wrap up where you have it now.

Next Meeting – ~~December 12 2016, 8:45 – 5:00~~TDB if necessary

Location - Frost Building South, 2nd floor, 7 Queen's Park Crescent, ADM Boardroom

Draft Meeting Minutes - December 5 2016_1.pdf

PENSION ASSET EXPERT ADVISORY PANEL

MEETING MINUTES

DECEMBER 5 2016

Attendees

Panel

Patricia O'Malley – Chair
Murray Gold
Uros Karadzic
Paul Martin

Supports

Nelson Tam – Project Manager
Lucy Durocher – Report Writer

Guests

Cindy Veinot – ADM & Provincial Controller
Nadine Petsche – Director

Introduction & Housekeeping

- Lucy Durocher, PWC Partner, introduced herself to the Panel.
- November 18th meeting minutes were approved.
- FOI – Freedom of Information – all information provided to the Panel is subject to a FOI requests. The requester submits a form and the Ministry determines, if any, the cost to fulfil the request. Potentially, the OPCD's OTPP position paper may be released.

Auditor General Annual Report Debrief

- OPCD provided an overview of Chapter 2 & Chapter 4 of the annual report
- The comparative year financial statements were not re-stated to reflect the full asset valuation allowance which resulted in the qualification.
- The Auditor General's opinion is that legislated accounting was not required as the change made to the financial statement was in compliance with PSAS.
- Also, the Auditor General's opinion that legislating accounting was not appropriate because it may result in the financial statements not being presented fairly as per PSAS. In most instances in which accounting requirements have been legislated, the legislated accounting required PSAS to be followed.
- ~~A discussion relating to it~~ Interest rates were discussed – IFRS and Part IV. Also, whether the asset and liability were calculated on the same basis.

- UROS – a quick re-calculation to determine the liability and asset on the same basis – basically excluding the unamortized gains and losses and determine what ~~ae~~ffect it has on the pension asset (surplus).

OPSEU, HOOP and CAAT

- OPCD provided an overview of ~~the-its~~ position papers relating to the OPSEU, HOOP and CAAT pension plans
- Discussion on the timeline (see next item for details)

Timeline

- Nelson reviewed the draft timeline with the Panel with follow-up discussion
- Based on the discussions, Lucy to draft the report outline in bullet points for next meeting so the Panel can confirm/add to the report
- Lucy will provide a second draft to the Panel with expanded bullet points early in week of 19~~by end of~~ December. Panel to provide any comments by end of that week.
- Lucy will provide full written draft of report by the end of December.
- The Panel will review the draft during the week of January 2nd and provide comments to Lucy.
- Lucy will incorporate the comments during the week of January 9th and provide an updated draft for finalization.
- The Ministry will schedule briefings ~~for~~by the Chair with the Associate Deputy Minister and Deputy Minister for the week of January 9th.
- Minister briefings will be required after the above briefing which will be scheduled by the Ministry.
- Based on timeline, the report is expected to be released by end of January.
- The direction of the Panel will be known by the week of January 2nd.
- The ~~OPSEU~~, CAATPP and HOOPP were not considered in depth for the reporting deadline. Discussion that OPSEU and OTPP needs to be addressed in the report and the CAATPP and HOOPP can be issued as a supplemental after the January report release as they are not anticipated to have material surpluses in the next two years.

Report – Questions and Related Discussion

- The report will ~~be address~~ the issues in the order of the Terms of Reference except that the report will reverse first and second bullet points.

- Control was discussed in context with the Auditor General report – the AG report included the definition of an asset but did not discuss the definition of control. PSAB may need to consider – If you have joint control, can you recognize an asset for jointly sponsored plans? IAS19, IFRIC 14, Joint Ventures, Government Partnerships were part of the discussion.
- Discussion relating the order of determining the asset and valuation allowance – the entire plan should be considered for asset and allowance and then the sponsor taking their share. Or should the order be reversed which maybe the AG approach.
- Discussion on the reporting contents – Executive Summary is expected to be 3 – 4 pages with the conclusions and the “technical” paper report will have all the analysis. The main analysis will follow the four bullet points in the Terms of Reference and provide background on the plan arrangements and the accounting literature to inform the analysis. However, it is intended that the main body of the report will be a relatively crisp, understandable discussion of the issues and conclusions. More in depth analysis of important topics such as background (single, joint, and multi-employer), plan arrangements (roles and responsibilities, contrasting BC and NB plans), accounting literature will be included in appendices. ~~and detailed analysis of the four bullet points in the Terms of Reference using the background, plan arrangements and accounting literature to form the analysis.~~
- In-depth discussions on what analysis should be included to support the Panel’s conclusion.
- Discussion on access to surplus – economic benefits, joint control (equal, agreed upon, mutual), OTPP funding agreement and Act
- Discussion on valuation – scenarios, minimum payments/contributions and effect on the different scenarios, provision of for adverse deviations in the valuations
- **UROS – to review the Deloitte report and calculations in more detail.**

Other Items

- **Nelson**
 - Invite Communications to discuss report, accessibility and translation – Done – email sent December 6
 - Email to AG regarding materials for Paul on December 16 – email sent to Christine Wu on December 6
 - Send HOOP and CAAT pension plan documents – email sent on December 6
 - Agenda – include time for OPSEU, HOOP & CAAT discussion - done

3 - Draft Meeting Minutes - December 5 2016 v2 with Lucy comments.docx

PENSION ASSET EXPERT ADVISORY PANEL

MEETING MINUTES

DECEMBER 5 2016

Attendees

Panel

Patricia O'Malley – Chair
Murray Gold
Uros Karadzic
Paul Martin

Supports

Nelson Tam – Project Manager
Lucy Durocher – Report Writer

Guests

Cindy Veinot – ADM & Provincial Controller
Nadine Petsche – Director

Introduction & Housekeeping

- Lucy Durocher, PWC Partner, introduced herself to the Panel.
- November 18th meeting minutes were approved.
- FOI – Freedom of Information – all information provided to the Panel is subject to FOI requests. The requester submits a form and the Ministry determines, if any, the cost to fulfil the request. Potentially, the OPCD's OTPP position paper may be released.

Auditor General Annual Report Debrief

- OPCD provided an overview of Chapter 2 & Chapter 4 of the annual report
- The comparative year financial statements were not re-stated to reflect the full asset valuation allowance which resulted in the qualification.
- The Auditor General's opinion is that legislated accounting was not required as the change made to the financial statement was in compliance with PSAS.
- Also, the Auditor General's opinion that legislating accounting was not appropriate because it may result in the financial statements not being presented fairly as per PSAS. In most instances in which accounting requirements have been legislated, the legislated accounting required PSAS to be followed.
- Interest rates were discussed – IFRS and Part IV. Also, whether the asset and liability were calculated on the same basis.

- **UROS – a quick re-calculation to determine the liability and asset on the same basis – basically excluding the unamortized gains and losses and determine what effect it has on the pension asset (surplus).**

OPSEU, HOOP and CAAT

- OPCD provided an overview of its position papers relating to the OPSEU, HOOP and CAAT pension plans
- Discussion on the timeline (see next item for details)

Timeline

- Nelson reviewed the draft timeline with the Panel with follow-up discussion
- Based on the discussions, Lucy to draft the report outline in bullet points for next meeting so the Panel can confirm/add to the report
- Lucy will provide a second draft to the Panel with expanded bullet points early in week of 19 December. Panel to provide any comments by end of that week.
- Lucy will provide full written draft of report by the end of December.
- The Panel will review the draft during the week of January 2nd and provide comments to Lucy.
- Lucy will incorporate the comments during the week of January 9th and provide an updated draft for finalization.
- The Ministry will schedule briefings by the Chair with the Associate Deputy Minister and Deputy Minister for the week of January 9th.
- Minister briefings will be required after the above briefing which will be scheduled by the Ministry.
- Based on timeline, the report is expected to be released by end of January.
- The direction of the Panel will be known in by the week of January 2nd to 9th.
- The CAATPP and HOOPP were not considered in depth for the reporting deadline. Discussion that OPSEU and OTPP need to be addressed in the report and the CAATPP and HOOPP can be issued as a supplemental after the January report release as they are not anticipated to have material surpluses in the next two years and the OPCD's initial position is that full valuation allowances would be provided against any surplus.

Commented [LD1]: I didn't take notes of the projected numbers but I thought that these were projected to grow in the 2-3 year time scale. However it was less of a concern because OPCD's initial position was that full valuation allowance would be applied.

Report – Questions and Related Discussion

- The report will address the issues in the order of the Terms of Reference except that the report will reverse first and second bullet points.

- Control was discussed in context with the Auditor General report – the AG report included the definition of an asset but did not discuss the definition of control. PSAB may need to consider – If you have joint control, can you recognize an asset for jointly sponsored plans? IAS19, IFRIC 14, Joint Ventures, Government Partnerships were part of the discussion.
- Discussion relating the order of determining the asset and valuation allowance – the entire plan should be considered for asset and allowance and then the sponsor taking their share. Or should the order be reversed which maybe the AG approach.
- Discussion on the reporting contents – Executive Summary is expected to be 3 – 4 pages with the conclusions and the report will have all the analysis. The main analysis will follow ~~the four~~ the four bullet points in the Terms of Reference and provide background on the plan arrangements and the accounting literature to inform the analysis. However, it is intended that the main body of the report will be a relatively crisp, understandable discussion of the issues and conclusions. More in depth analysis of important topics such as background (single, joint, and multi-employer), plan arrangements (roles and responsibilities, contrasting BC and NB plans), accounting literature will be included in appendices.
- In-depth discussions on what analysis should be included to support the Panel's conclusion.
- Discussion on access to surplus – economic benefits, joint control (equal, agreed upon, mutual), OTPP funding agreement and Act
- Discussion on valuation – scenarios, minimum payments/contributions and effect on the different scenarios, provision for adverse deviations in the valuations
- **UROS – to review the Deloitte report and calculations in more detail.**

Other Items

- **Nelson**
 - **Invite Communications to discuss report, accessibility and translation – Done – email sent December 6**
 - **Email to AG regarding materials for Paul on December 16 – email sent to Christine Wu on December 6**
 - **Send HOOP and CAAT pension plan documents – email sent on December 6**
 - **Agenda – include time for OPSEU, HOOP & CAAT discussion - done**

3 - Draft Meeting Minutes - December 5 2016 v2.docx

PENSION ASSET EXPERT ADVISORY PANEL

MEETING MINUTES

DECEMBER 5 2016

Attendees

Panel

Patricia O'Malley – Chair
Murray Gold
Uros Karadzic
Paul Martin

Supports

Nelson Tam – Project Manager
Lucy Durocher – Report Writer

Guests

Cindy Veinot – ADM & Provincial Controller
Nadine Petsche – Director

Introduction & Housekeeping

- Lucy Durocher, PWC Partner, introduced herself to the Panel.
- November 18th meeting minutes were approved.
- FOI – Freedom of Information – all information provided to the Panel is subject to FOI requests. The requester submits a form and the Ministry determines, if any, the cost to fulfil the request. Potentially, the OPCD's OTPP position paper may be released.

Auditor General Annual Report Debrief

- OPCD provided an overview of Chapter 2 & Chapter 4 of the annual report
- The comparative year financial statements were not re-stated to reflect the full asset valuation allowance which resulted in the qualification.
- The Auditor General's opinion is that legislated accounting was not required as the change made to the financial statement was in compliance with PSAS.
- Also, the Auditor General's opinion that legislating accounting was not appropriate because it may result in the financial statements not being presented fairly as per PSAS. In most instances in which accounting requirements have been legislated, the legislated accounting required PSAS to be followed.
- Interest rates were discussed – IFRS and Part IV. Also, whether the asset and liability were calculated on the same basis.

- **UROS – a quick re-calculation to determine the liability and asset on the same basis – basically excluding the unamortized gains and losses and determine what effect it has on the pension asset (surplus).**

OPSEU, HOOP and CAAT

- OPCD provided an overview of its position papers relating to the OPSEU, HOOP and CAAT pension plans
- Discussion on the timeline (see next item for details)

Timeline

- Nelson reviewed the draft timeline with the Panel with follow-up discussion
- Based on the discussions, Lucy to draft the report outline in bullet points for next meeting so the Panel can confirm/add to the report
- Lucy will provide a second draft to the Panel with expanded bullet points early in week of 19 December. Panel to provide any comments by end of that week.
- Lucy will provide full written draft of report by the end of December.
- The Panel will review the draft during the week of January 2nd and provide comments to Lucy.
- Lucy will incorporate the comments during the week of January 9th and provide an updated draft for finalization.
- The Ministry will schedule briefings by the Chair with the Associate Deputy Minister and Deputy Minister for the week of January 9th.
- Minister briefings will be required after the above briefing which will be scheduled by the Ministry.
- Based on timeline, the report is expected to be released by end of January.
- The direction of the Panel will be known by the week of January 2nd.
- The CAATPP and HOOPP were not considered in depth for the reporting deadline. Discussion that OPSEU and OTPP need to be addressed in the report and the CAATPP and HOOPP can be issued as a supplemental after the January report release as they are not anticipated to have material surpluses in the next two years.

Report – Questions and Related Discussion

- The report will address the issues in the order of the Terms of Reference except that the report will reverse first and second bullet points.
- Control was discussed in context with the Auditor General report – the AG report included the definition of an asset but did not discuss the definition of control. PSAB may need to consider – If you have joint control, can you recognize an asset for

jointly sponsored plans? IAS19, IFRIC 14, Joint Ventures, Government Partnerships were part of the discussion.

- Discussion relating the order of determining the asset and valuation allowance – the entire plan should be considered for asset and allowance and then the sponsor taking their share. Or should the order be reversed which maybe the AG approach.
- Discussion on the reporting contents – Executive Summary is expected to be 3 – 4 pages with the conclusions and the report will have all the analysis. The main analysis will follow ~~the four~~ [the four](#) bullet points in the Terms of Reference and provide background on the plan arrangements and the accounting literature to inform the analysis. However, it is intended that the main body of the report will be a relatively crisp, understandable discussion of the issues and conclusions. More in depth analysis of important topics such as background (single, joint, and multi-employer), plan arrangements (roles and responsibilities, contrasting BC and NB plans), accounting literature will be included in appendices.
- In-depth discussions on what analysis should be included to support the Panel's conclusion.
- Discussion on access to surplus – economic benefits, joint control (equal, agreed upon, mutual), OTPP funding agreement and Act
- Discussion on valuation – scenarios, minimum payments/contributions and effect on the different scenarios, provision for adverse deviations in the valuations
- **UROS – to review the Deloitte report and calculations in more detail.**

Other Items

- **Nelson**
 - **Invite Communications to discuss report, accessibility and translation – Done – email sent December 6**
 - **Email to AG regarding materials for Paul on December 16 – email sent to Christine Wu on December 6**
 - **Send HOOP and CAAT pension plan documents – email sent on December 6**
 - **Agenda – include time for OPSEU, HOOP & CAAT discussion - done**

3 - Email - December 8 2016 - Re_ [Not Virus Scanned] Expert Panel - Draft Minutes.pdf

From: lucy.durocher@ca.pwc.com
To: [Tam, Nelson \(TBS\)](#)
Subject: Re: [Not Virus Scanned] Expert Panel - Draft Minutes
Date: December-08-16 2:43:58 PM
Attachments: [Draft Meeting Minutes - December 5 2016 v2.docx](#)

Thanks Nelson,

Please see attached. One change and one proposed edit based on my understanding, but I'm not 100% of numbers that were discussed.

Lucy

Lucy Durocher

PwC | Partner, National Accounting Consulting Services
T: +1 416 869 2311
Email: lucy.durocher@ca.pwc.com
Assistant: Tracey Whelan | T: +1 416 941 8383 ext. 14223
PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP
PwC Tower, 18 York Street, Suite 2600, Toronto ON M5J 0B2
<http://www.pwc.com/ca>

From: "Tam, Nelson (TBS)" <Nelson.Tam@ontario.ca>
To: Lucy Durocher/CA/ABAS/PwC@Americas-CA
Date: 12/08/2016 08:44 AM
Subject: [Not Virus Scanned] Expert Panel - Draft Minutes

Lucy

Attached is the subject document. Please review and provide track change edits specifically related to the timeline section. The Chair and I tried to capture the timing but was unsure if got it right. The document is password protected.

Thanks

Nelson

Nelson Tam, CPA, CA, CIA
Project Manager, Pension Asset Evaluation
Treasury Board Secretariat, Office of Provincial Controller
Frost Building South
7 Queen's Park Crescent, Floor 2
Toronto, Ontario

M7A 1Y7

Telephone – 416-212-0514

Email – nelson.tam@ontario.ca

[attachment "Draft Meeting Minutes - December 5 2016 v2.docx" deleted by Lucy Durocher/CA/ABAS/PwC]

This e-mail is intended only for the person to whom it is addressed (the "addressee") and may contain confidential and/or privileged material. Any review, retransmission, dissemination or other use that a person other than the addressee makes of this communication is prohibited and any reliance or decisions made based on it, are the responsibility of such person. We accept no responsibility for any loss or damages suffered by any person other than the addressee as a result of decisions made or actions taken based on this communication or otherwise. If you received this in error, please contact the sender and destroy all copies of this e-mail.

Ce courriel est strictement réservé à l'usage de la personne à qui il est adressé (le destinataire). Il peut contenir de l'information privilégiée et confidentielle. L'examen, la réexpédition et la diffusion de ce message par une personne autre que son destinataire sont interdits. Nous déclinons toute responsabilité à l'égard des pertes ou des dommages subis par une personne autre que le destinataire par suite de décisions ou de mesures fondées sur le contenu de cette communication ou autrement. Si vous avez reçu ce courriel par erreur, veuillez communiquer avec son expéditeur et en détruire toutes les copies.

Draft Meeting Minutes - December 5 2016 v2.pdf

PENSION ASSET EXPERT ADVISORY PANEL

MEETING MINUTES

DECEMBER 5 2016

Attendees

Panel

Patricia O'Malley – Chair
Murray Gold
Uros Karadzic
Paul Martin

Supports

Nelson Tam – Project Manager
Lucy Durocher – Report Writer

Guests

Cindy Veinot – ADM & Provincial Controller
Nadine Petsche – Director

Introduction & Housekeeping

- Lucy Durocher, PWC Partner, introduced herself to the Panel.
- November 18th meeting minutes were approved.
- FOI – Freedom of Information – all information provided to the Panel is subject to FOI requests. The requester submits a form and the Ministry determines, if any, the cost to fulfil the request. Potentially, the OPCD's OTPP position paper may be released.

Auditor General Annual Report Debrief

- OPCD provided an overview of Chapter 2 & Chapter 4 of the annual report
- The comparative year financial statements were not re-stated to reflect the full asset valuation allowance which resulted in the qualification.
- The Auditor General's opinion is that legislated accounting was not required as the change made to the financial statement was in compliance with PSAS.
- Also, the Auditor General's opinion that legislating accounting was not appropriate because it may result in the financial statements not being presented fairly as per PSAS. In most instances in which accounting requirements have been legislated, the legislated accounting required PSAS to be followed.
- Interest rates were discussed – IFRS and Part IV. Also, whether the asset and liability were calculated on the same basis.

- **UROS – a quick re-calculation to determine the liability and asset on the same basis – basically excluding the unamortized gains and losses and determine what effect it has on the pension asset (surplus).**

OPSEU, HOOP and CAAT

- OPCD provided an overview of its position papers relating to the OPSEU, HOOP and CAAT pension plans
- Discussion on the timeline (see next item for details)

Timeline

- Nelson reviewed the draft timeline with the Panel with follow-up discussion
- Based on the discussions, Lucy to draft the report outline in bullet points for next meeting so the Panel can confirm/add to the report
- Lucy will provide a second draft to the Panel with expanded bullet points early in week of 19 December. Panel to provide any comments by end of that week.
- Lucy will provide full written draft of report by the end of December.
- The Panel will review the draft during the week of January 2nd and provide comments to Lucy.
- Lucy will incorporate the comments during the week of January 9th and provide an updated draft for finalization.
- The Ministry will schedule briefings by the Chair with the Associate Deputy Minister and Deputy Minister for the week of January 9th.
- Minister briefings will be required after the above briefing which will be scheduled by the Ministry.
- Based on timeline, the report is expected to be released by end of January.
- The direction of the Panel will be known in by the week of January 2nd to 9th.
- The CAATPP and HOOPP were not considered in depth for the reporting deadline. Discussion that OPSEU and OTPP need to be addressed in the report and the CAATPP and HOOPP can be issued as a supplemental after the January report release as they are not anticipated to have material surpluses in the next two years and the OPCD's initial position is that full valuation allowances would be provided against any surplus.

Commented [LD1]: I didn't take notes of the projected numbers but I thought that these were projected to grow in the 2-3 year time scale. However it was less of a concern because OPCD's initial position was that full valuation allowance would be applied.

Report – Questions and Related Discussion

- The report will address the issues in the order of the Terms of Reference except that the report will reverse first and second bullet points.

- Control was discussed in context with the Auditor General report – the AG report included the definition of an asset but did not discuss the definition of control. PSAB may need to consider – If you have joint control, can you recognize an asset for jointly sponsored plans? IAS19, IFRIC 14, Joint Ventures, Government Partnerships were part of the discussion.
- Discussion relating the order of determining the asset and valuation allowance – the entire plan should be considered for asset and allowance and then the sponsor taking their share. Or should the order be reversed which maybe the AG approach.
- Discussion on the reporting contents – Executive Summary is expected to be 3 – 4 pages with the conclusions and the report will have all the analysis. The main analysis will follow ~~the four~~ the four bullet points in the Terms of Reference and provide background on the plan arrangements and the accounting literature to inform the analysis. However, it is intended that the main body of the report will be a relatively crisp, understandable discussion of the issues and conclusions. More in depth analysis of important topics such as background (single, joint, and multi-employer), plan arrangements (roles and responsibilities, contrasting BC and NB plans), accounting literature will be included in appendices.
- In-depth discussions on what analysis should be included to support the Panel's conclusion.
- Discussion on access to surplus – economic benefits, joint control (equal, agreed upon, mutual), OTPP funding agreement and Act
- Discussion on valuation – scenarios, minimum payments/contributions and effect on the different scenarios, provision for adverse deviations in the valuations
- **UROS – to review the Deloitte report and calculations in more detail.**

Other Items

- **Nelson**
 - **Invite Communications to discuss report, accessibility and translation – Done – email sent December 6**
 - **Email to AG regarding materials for Paul on December 16 – email sent to Christine Wu on December 6**
 - **Send HOOP and CAAT pension plan documents – email sent on December 6**
 - **Agenda – include time for OPSEU, HOOP & CAAT discussion - done**

4 - Email - December 8 2016 - Expert Panel December 12 & 13 Meeting Agenda.pdf

From: Tam, Nelson (TBS)
To: [Patricia O'Malley \(plomalley@outlook.com\)](mailto:plomalley@outlook.com); [Uros Karadzic \(uros.karadzic@ca.ey.com\)](mailto:uros.karadzic@ca.ey.com); [Murray Gold \(mgold@kmlaw.ca\)](mailto:mgold@kmlaw.ca); [Martin, Paul \(FIN\) \(Paul.Martin@gnb.ca\)](mailto:Paul.Martin@gnb.ca); lucy.durocher@ca.pwc.com
Subject: Expert Panel December 12 & 13 Meeting Agenda
Date: December-08-16 4:10:00 PM
Attachments: [Final Agenda - December 12 - 13 2016.docx](#)

Patricia, Uros, Murray, Paul, Lucy

Attached is the December 12 & 13 Agenda (password protected). The December 5th meeting minutes are embedded. Please review and note some action items in bold and we will approve on Monday if no changes.

The majority of the time is focussed on the report.

Thanks

Nelson
416-212-0514

Final Agenda - December 12 - 13 2016.pdf

AGENDA

Pension Asset Expert Panel

December 12 - 13, 2016

Attendees

Patricia O'Malley – Chair

Nelson Tam – Project Manager

Murray Gold

Lucy Durocher - PWC Partner, Report Writer

Uros Karadzic

Paul Martin

Topic	Lead	
December 12 2016		
1. December 5 Meeting Minutes Approval, Other Matters as required	Nelson	8:45 – 9:00
2. Communications – Report Advice, Accessibility, Translation	Communications	9:00 – 9:30
3. Discussion on OPSEU	Chair	9:30 – 10:30
4. Break		10:30 – 10:45
5. Report Drafting	Chair/Lucy	10:45– 12:00
6. Lunch		12:00 – 1:00
7. Report Drafting	Chair/Lucy	1:00 – 3:00
8. Break		3:00 – 3:15
9. Report Drafting	Chair/Lucy	3:15 – 5:00
December 13 2016		
10. Recap of AG Meeting Strategy	Chair	8:45 – 9:30
11. Review Timelines	Chair	9:30 – 10:00
12. Break		10:00 – 10:15
13. Report Drafting	Chair/Lucy	10:15 – 12:00
14. Lunch		12:00 – 1:00
15. Report Drafting	Chair/Lucy	1:00 – 3:00
16. Break		3:00 – 3:15
17. Report Drafting	Chair/Lucy	3:15 – 4:30
18. Recap of Next Steps, Timing, CAAT, HOOP	Chair	4:30 – 5:00



Draft Meeting
Minutes - December 5

Next Meeting – TDB if necessary

**Location - Frost Building South, 2nd floor, 7 Queen's Park Crescent, ADM
Boardroom**

Draft Meeting Minutes - December 5 2016 v3.docx

PENSION ASSET EXPERT ADVISORY PANEL

MEETING MINUTES

DECEMBER 5 2016

Attendees

Panel

Patricia O'Malley – Chair
Murray Gold
Uros Karadzic
Paul Martin

Supports

Nelson Tam – Project Manager
Lucy Durocher – Report Writer

Guests

Cindy Veinot – ADM & Provincial Controller
Nadine Petsche – Director

Introduction & Housekeeping

- Lucy Durocher, PWC Partner, introduced herself to the Panel.
- November 18th meeting minutes were approved.
- FOI – Freedom of Information – all information provided to the Panel is subject to FOI requests. The requester submits a form and the Ministry determines, if any, the cost to fulfil the request. Potentially, the OPCD's OTPP position paper may be released.

Auditor General Annual Report Debrief

- OPCD provided an overview of Chapter 2 & Chapter 4 of the annual report
- The comparative year financial statements were not re-stated to reflect the full asset valuation allowance which resulted in the qualification.
- The Auditor General's opinion is that legislated accounting was not required as the change made to the financial statement was in compliance with PSAS.
- Also, the Auditor General's opinion that legislating accounting was not appropriate because it may result in the financial statements not being presented fairly as per PSAS. In most instances in which accounting requirements have been legislated, the legislated accounting required PSAS to be followed.
- Interest rates were discussed – IFRS and Part IV. Also, whether the asset and liability were calculated on the same basis.

- **UROS – a quick re-calculation to determine the liability and asset on the same basis – basically excluding the unamortized gains and losses and determine what effect it has on the pension asset (surplus).**

OPSEU, HOOP and CAAT

- OPCD provided an overview of its position papers relating to the OPSEU, HOOP and CAAT pension plans
- Discussion on the timeline (see next item for details)

Timeline

- Nelson reviewed the draft timeline with the Panel with follow-up discussion
- Based on the discussions, Lucy to draft the report outline in bullet points for next meeting so the Panel can confirm/add to the report
- Lucy will provide a second draft to the Panel with expanded bullet points early in week of 19 December. Panel to provide any comments by end of that week.
- Lucy will provide full written draft of report by the end of December.
- The Panel will review the draft during the week of January 2nd and provide comments to Lucy.
- Lucy will incorporate the comments during the week of January 9th and provide an updated draft for finalization.
- The Ministry will schedule briefings by the Chair with the Associate Deputy Minister and Deputy Minister for the week of January 9th.
- Minister briefings will be required after the above briefing which will be scheduled by the Ministry.
- Based on timeline, the report is expected to be released by end of January.
- The direction of the Panel will be known in the week of January 9th.
- The CAATPP and HOOPP were not considered in depth for the reporting deadline. Discussion that OPSEU and OTPP need to be addressed in the report and the CAATPP and HOOPP can be issued as a supplemental after the January report release as they are not anticipated to have material surpluses in the next year and the OPCD's initial position is that full valuation allowances would be provided against any surplus.

Report – Questions and Related Discussion

- The report will address the issues in the order of the Terms of Reference except that the report will reverse first and second bullet points.

- Control was discussed in context with the Auditor General report – the AG report included the definition of an asset but did not discuss the definition of control. PSAB may need to consider – If you have joint control, can you recognize an asset for jointly sponsored plans? IAS19, IFRIC 14, Joint Ventures, Government Partnerships were part of the discussion.
- Discussion relating the order of determining the asset and valuation allowance – the entire plan should be considered for asset and allowance and then the sponsor taking their share. Or should the order be reversed which maybe the AG approach.
- Discussion on the reporting contents – Executive Summary is expected to be 3 – 4 pages with the conclusions and the report will have all the analysis. The main analysis will follow the four bullet points in the Terms of Reference and provide background on the plan arrangements and the accounting literature to inform the analysis. However, it is intended that the main body of the report will be a relatively crisp, understandable discussion of the issues and conclusions. More in depth analysis of important topics such as background (single, joint, and multi-employer), plan arrangements (roles and responsibilities, contrasting BC and NB plans), accounting literature will be included in appendices.
- In-depth discussions on what analysis should be included to support the Panel's conclusion.
- Discussion on access to surplus – economic benefits, joint control (equal, agreed upon, mutual), OTPP funding agreement and Act
- Discussion on valuation – scenarios, minimum payments/contributions and effect on the different scenarios, provision for adverse deviations in the valuations
- **UROS – to review the Deloitte report and calculations in more detail.**

Other Items

- **Nelson**
 - **Invite Communications to discuss report, accessibility and translation – Done – email sent December 6**
 - **Email to AG regarding materials for Paul on December 16 – email sent to Christine Wu on December 6**
 - **Send HOOP and CAAT pension plan documents – email sent on December 6**
 - **Agenda – include time for OPSEU, HOOP & CAAT discussion - done**

Final Agenda - December 12 - 13 2016_1.docx

AGENDA

Pension Asset Expert Panel

December 12 - 13, 2016

Attendees

Patricia O'Malley – Chair

Nelson Tam – Project Manager

Murray Gold

Lucy Durocher - PWC Partner, Report Writer

Uros Karadzic

Paul Martin

Topic	Lead	
December 12 2016		
1. December 5 Meeting Minutes Approval, Other Matters as required	Nelson	8:45 – 9:00
2. Communications – Report Advice, Accessibility, Translation	Communications	9:00 – 9:30
3. Discussion on OPSEU	Chair	9:30 – 10:30
4. Break		10:30 – 10:45
5. Report Drafting	Chair/Lucy	10:45– 12:00
6. Lunch		12:00 – 1:00
7. Report Drafting	Chair/Lucy	1:00 – 3:00
8. Break		3:00 – 3:15
9. Report Drafting	Chair/Lucy	3:15 – 5:00
December 13 2016		
10. Recap of AG Meeting Strategy	Chair	8:45 – 9:30
11. Review Timelines	Chair	9:30 – 10:00
12. Break		10:00 – 10:15
13. Report Drafting	Chair/Lucy	10:15 – 12:00
14. Lunch		12:00 – 1:00
15. Report Drafting	Chair/Lucy	1:00 – 3:00
16. Break		3:00 – 3:15
17. Report Drafting	Chair/Lucy	3:15 – 4:30
18. Recap of Next Steps, Timing, CAAT, HOOP	Chair	4:30 – 5:00



Draft Meeting
Minutes - December 5

Next Meeting – TDB if necessary

**Location - Frost Building South, 2nd floor, 7 Queen's Park Crescent, ADM
Boardroom**