

Maksymuik, Amanda (ENERGY)

Subject: 2 hours fair hydro

Start: Fri 04/14/2017 9:00 AM
End: Fri 04/14/2017 10:00 AM

Recurrence: (none)

Meeting Status: Meeting organizer

Organizer: Kacaba, Jennifer (ENERGY)

MOCC, IESO, ~~WAGP~~ March 14/2018

- want to develop country group.
 - literature project

IESO high level concerns:

1. agency of gov. not a vendor
want something to reflect
nature of this.
2. looking to bring out temporary
employees - then not use!
 - not that flexible,
 - so looking at timeline to figure,
trying
3. - under specific instruct. vendor
should not be impacted!
 - need to be clear about
this
 - know how to do
 - also limits financial
contributions
4. scope of work + silent about
MOCC obligat.

* What
are
working
group
documents?

- some tunnels associated with that
- LESO trying to build out infrastructure build

Direct

1. nature of agreement

- high expect. from FO.
- very clear direction - want clear deliverables
- working group - direction

2. timeframe

- deliverables from May 20
- & beyond
- continuing advice from LESO to launch program
- deliverable in 3 months

LESO incremental service providing building capability in marketplace.

- ~~separate~~ tracking account

Abbon requirements - bill - addn costs

MOCC - concern - way to reach
agent on costs from
the ratepayer.

3. providing info from MOCC
- what do you need from
MOCC right now.

- first week of May for program
design

- new entity own everything
pay for costs.

- can't let waste interfere
with primary waste

Q- May

- only existing programs to
be captured

- go to TB - May 3 - with a high level description of what entity will learn
- adoption by May
- want web presence
- one version into 24/7 by prog.
- prog. that fill gaps

~~Now~~ high profile items to report back in May

- finance approach
- lot 2 - grant program offering.

~~Now~~ tell us what current you need

- fluid arrangement

650 - other ideas thought on track

- workshop - weekly then on ad hoc

working group
will be
looking at
- over reached now
PO degraded com
CO degrading coms.
MOELL.

broader from colleges - all-
change change.

MOELL - further scope to end of
week
(3) will be future come forth
to it

MOELL - Consent. group size?

L 60-65

- additional work we have of doing.
all summer work

- looking to add capability

USO - concern - note all center boys
- consent.

- working group has accumulated

LEO - no financial ability to absorb,
- not for profit entity
- service providers' work
build this into price.

- working group - meeting with
MCEC - flesh through broadly how
use with

LEO - spend left budget

- Pass out visit by flying
through to consultants

LEO - work - up against - what is
problematic.

- working group security team
at 3pm

- Issues with consultants -
- WELL want summary of industrial proposals

Tracy - Logo.

- ↳ colour palette
- ↳ font recommended.
- ↳ LRL.

- brand guidelines - letterhead
brochure

- green.on.co
- no brand story -
- testing via VCR - on Apr. 3-
- final option solidified Apr. 10th -
- WELL to send creative brief & timeline.
- WELL - bidding as go along

PO - wants to understand ~~task~~ of
~~site~~
- last preferred date for input
& feedback

- A.3.1.A - reference to IO drafts

Call center - re-direct to call center
Call center

- Customer service survey
- (upt to PO / MO)

- other experience

- measuring that on the way



Healy & Mantua & Dr.

Quest. from deck.

1. including ORECA around.

- would want set RPP prices only
2 phases of people in RPP not in
ORECA.

first 5 yrs

- set to make higher price.

Differences between deck & don't
very

- RPA = supply costs

- prices set to recover RPA.

Q- whether instead of taking
in profits - tap to recover
- who board at relevant
time uses for purpose
of determining bill impact

who
calculates
75%

Part 1 - on the right
of the page
There will be
a lot of work

QEB counts on month before &
then

= month preceding count

2. whether QEB can actually
do what is set out in
E-3?

- who gets data, how
collected

- Some change in Ministry
how data

- QEB would take &
back out

- Do for QRECA proposes
report into the MA
MB - CSO gets settling
data (not more)

Do if QRECA is PPP plus - why
would need to set PPP
- going to adopt new
approach for setting water
that applies to different

- Ds. usual process to set RPP rates -
- new concept counterparty with a rate that would result in supply cost fully recovered over 12 months
 - rate allocated for closing
 - long term case law not change for RPP - will be enough for default.

MRB - why are we including this in RPP?

- Set RPP same how default has to be settled in ORECA contracts.

- Ds. set a rate that achieves bill outcome - applies to all ORECA consumers -
- actual rate that all appear on bills
 - default can't be what UKO default is
 - have to figure out what those consumers would have otherwise paid.

- say we expand class of RPP consumers.
- results in supply costs covered every 12 mths.

MS - estimate for what expand?
 - if ORCA are freed out of RPP - can kick in

DS - maybe necessary to allow consumers to opt out of deal
 - all people who get 8% in further reduce their bills

Contact Letter.

- purpose - to allow us to do respectable calculation they will buy everyone's rates down 25%

- in Nov reset prices so get benefit.
- don't want to engage with us having to figure out
- no basis on May 1 to set day