

AG press conference - Remarks attached

From: "Kwamena, Boafoa (CAB)" <boafoa.kwamena@ontario.ca>

To: "Orsini, Steve (CAB)" <steve.orsini@ontario.ca>, "Angus, Helen (TBS)" <helen.angus@ontario.ca>

Cc: "Betzner, Lynn (CAB)" <lynn.betzner@ontario.ca>, "Skoberne, Vivienne (TBS)" <vivienne.skoberne@ontario.ca>, "Bromm, William (CAB)" <william.bromm2@ontario.ca>, "Davidson, Steven (CAB)" <steven.davidson@ontario.ca>

Date: Wed, 25 Apr 2018 12:09:37 -0400

Good afternoon,

Please find attached a copy of the AG's remarks (Check against delivery).

Media in the room:

Reeves - QP Today

McGrath - TVO

Morris - Newstalk

Morissette - TFO

Smith Cross - QP Briefing

Artuso - Sun

Blackwell - Post

Benzie - Star

1 TV camera operator

1 unidentified reporter

Hello everyone. I'm Bonnie Lysyk, Auditor General of Ontario.

I want to thank you for the opportunity to present the *2018 Pre-Election Report on Ontario's Fiscal Transparency and Accountability*.

I'd like to begin by explaining *why* we're here today.

The *Fiscal Transparency and Accountability Act* requires that the government must provide a report on the state of the province's finances.

This Pre-Election Report must include projections for the next five years following the next provincial election.

The same Act requires my Office, an independent body, to review the government's report to determine whether it meets the requirements of the Act.

Today we fulfil this legislative requirement.

After a thorough analysis, we have determined the presentation of Ontario's finances.

We say this because it dramatically under

These lower estimates lead to the province
billions of dollars for the current budget y

We have determined that after adjusting for
should be forecast as **\$11.7 billion**, or 75%
government.

In **2019/20**, it should be forecast as **\$12.2**
and in **2020/21**, **\$12.5 billion**, or almost d

The consequences of these major differences in
likely actual deficits are significant.

For example, they create the perception that
it actually does.

This could lead decision-makers to allocate
is in fact needed to pay for expenses that v

These understated numbers also suggest that
fact, the burden of net debt is actually rising.
Election Report

I referred earlier to two major items that

The first is that the government did not consider the financial impact of the electricity rate

The Plan gives ratepayers a discount on electricity from power generators for the reduced revenue

That "someone" is the government, and

However, the government did not include the interest on the money borrowed to pay

The second major item relates to two jobs

The government forecasts pension revenue and forecasts insufficient pension expense under the Pension Plan.

This has reduced, on paper, the government's

However, the government should not have

its share of any plan surpluses without joint sponsors.

To date, it has not announced any such approximately \$2.0 billion annually to

By excluding expenses that result from provide Ontarians with a clear picture of

The *Fiscal Transparency and Accountability* be based on cautious assumptions.

With the exception of the two items just estimates of revenues, program expenses assumptions, as required by the Act.

It should be noted that financial results estimates ... not just because of the uncertainty and can have unpredictable impacts

Now I'd be happy to answer your questions