

FW: SDs comments

From: "Greenberg, Martha (CAB)" </o=govon/ou=exchange administrative group (fydibohf23spdlt)/cn=recipients/cn=greenberg, martha (cab)3ff">
To: "Hindmarch-Watson, Tim (CAB)" <tim.hindmarch-watson2@ontario.ca>, "Moore, Karen (CAB)" <karen.moore4@ontario.ca>
Date: Tue, 13 Jun 2017 09:54:52 -0400
Attachments: PD&G deck - SD comments.pdf (8.48 MB); PD+G Deck (2017-06-12) 540PM.PPTX (453.89 kB)

What do you think about the below for hydro risks? Edits welcome!

- Rate reductions are on average
- Constitutional risk remains (i.e., tax vs charge)
- The Global Adjustment refinancing structure is complex and untested
- Complexity and length of deferral/recovery increases risk
- Accounting is challenging and may result in impacts on the fiscal plan
- LTEP needs to prioritize reducing rates, GHG reductions and economic benefits

From: Greenberg, Martha (CAB)
Sent: June-13-17 9:49 AM
To: Brezer, David (CAB); Moore, Karen (CAB); Wittman, Nancy (CAB); Rankin, Christine (CAB); Downarowicz, Ewa (CAB); Hindmarch-Watson, Tim (CAB); Young, Samantha (CAB)
Subject: FW: SDs comments
Importance: High

Hi,

Steven has taken a close look at the bullets, especially in the risk column and in the PDF you'll see he has made some edits. Can you make these in the powerpoint? We need to take another run at the hydro ones, I chatted with him this morning. Tim, will send some further bullets on that in a moment.

On small business, just remove CIT. On transit, need to better articulate the costs, so be more clear, could even say some costs are built into fiscal plan (e.g.,) however additional actions are not (e.g., ...)

Can you make edits in the powerpoint version to these and flip to me for 1030?
Thanks!

From: Foster, Robert (CAB)
Sent: June-13-17 9:42 AM
To: Porter, Jay (CAB); Greenberg, Martha (CAB); Francis, Robert (CAB); Gilbert, Tamara (CAB)
Cc: Niyaz, Fatima (CAB); Johnston, John (CAB)
Subject: SDs comments

Here's his comments on the deck – JP/MG – he's talked to you about them

Rob/Tamara – just looking to make them a bit more concise/direct.

If we can turn them around for 11 will be great – otherwise, just flip whatever is current as of then.

Thanks,
Rob