

RE: Expert Panel Release

From: "Ostergard, Matt (TBS)" <matt.ostergard@ontario.ca>
To: "Tedesco, Lauren (TBS)" <lauren.tedesco@ontario.ca>, "Jancik, Mike (TBS)" <mike.jancik@ontario.ca>
Date: Wed, 09 Nov 2016 14:44:19 -0500
Attachments: Backgrounder - Pension Asset Expert Advisory Panel - 1NOV2016 (BS).doc (98.82 kB); NR - Expert Panel Appointed - 31OCT2016 (BS).doc (95.23 kB)

Just dropping the Minister to see if you're good with these revisions before I send them over to her.

Docs with tracked changes attached.

Matt

From: EJS (TBS)
Sent: Wednesday, November 09, 2016 1:57 PM
To: Ostergard, Matt (TBS)
Cc: Tedesco, Lauren (TBS); Jancik, Mike (TBS)
Subject: Expert Panel Release

I'm having trouble with the phrase "engaged in discussions" which is used in both the first paragraph of the backgrounder and the first sentence of Quick Facts.

I would prefer "were unable to reach agreement" or something similar. Seeing as all the media already know the story "discussions" seems like sugar coating.

My second concern is the third paragraph of the release. I will not be in the office from before Christmas til January 16th. The current wording implies the AG will get the report by end of year - ie before me. Instead say "the report is due to the Pres of TB by the end of the year. The report will be shared with the AG and will be made public. "

In the Backgrounder, tricia's bio, last sentence. End sentence after "waterloo". Honorary PhD's aren't "in" anything.

Thanks
Liz

Sent from my BlackBerry 10 smartphone on the Rogers network.