

QUESTIONS & ANSWERS

Contents

<u>Pension Asset Accounting</u>	2
<u>AG Recommendation #1: Pension Asset Accounting</u>	2
<u>Advisory Panel Compensation</u>	2
<u>IESO Accounting</u>	3
<u>AG Recommendation #2: IESO Market Accounts</u>	3
<u>AG Recommendation #5: IESO Market Accounts & Rate-Regulated Accounting</u>	3
<u>AG Audit of 2017 Statements</u>	3
<u>Ontario's Fair Hydro Plan</u>	5
<u>AG Recommendation #3: OFHP Accounting</u>	5
<u>AG Recommendation #6: "Legislated Accounting"</u>	5
<u>AG Recommendation #9: Arm's Length Trusts</u>	6
<u>Records Disclosure/Process</u>	6
<u>Re-Design of Hydro Bills</u>	6
<u>Pre-Election Report</u>	7
<u>AG Recommendation #10: Pre-Election Report on Ontario's Finances</u>	7
<u>Province's Fiscal Situation</u>	8
<u>Recommendation #7: Province's Debt Burden</u>	8
<u>Financial Accountability Office Reports</u>	8
<u>Credit Ratings</u>	8
<u>Preparation of Public Accounts</u>	9
<u>AG Recommendation # 4: External Advisors</u>	9
<u>AG Recommendation #8: Timeline of Finalization of Financial Statements</u>	9
<u>Audit Opinion</u>	9
<u>Other</u>	10

PENSION ASSET ACCOUNTING

AG Recommendation #1: Pension Asset Accounting

For QAs related to:	See:
Pension Asset Accounting	Treasury Board Secretariat

Advisory Panel Compensation

For QAs related to:	See:
Pension Asset Accounting	Treasury Board Secretariat

IESO ACCOUNTING

AG Recommendation #2: IESO Market Accounts

- The Independent Electricity System Operator's (IESO) accounting changes are supported by IESO's audit committee, board of directors, its independent external auditor and by the Office of the Provincial Controller.
- The IESO prepares its financial statements in accordance with Canadian Public Sector Accounting Standards (PSAS), and received an unqualified, or clean, audit opinion on its 2016 financial statements.
- The change to include market account assets and liabilities in the financial statements provides increased transparency with respect to the value of transactions that flow through Ontario's electricity market.
- The government supports the enhanced reporting and greater transparency for the economic activities of IESO resulting from the recognition of the market accounts.

For QAs related to:	See:
Government decision to accept IESO market accounts	Treasury Board Secretariat

Q. Why did the IESO choose to recognize market accounts?

The Independent Electricity System Operator (IESO) began recognizing its market accounts' assets (amounts due from power distributors) and liabilities (amounts due to power producers) in their financial results. The IESO has chosen to recognize these markets accounts on its books for transparency reasons, as it better reflects its net financial position on behalf of IESO-administered markets at year-end.

This accounting change was accepted by IESO's independent external auditor, which issued an unqualified, or "clean," audit opinion. It also brought IESO in line with seven of the eight other independent system operators in North America. These accounting changes were highlighted in the IESO's 2016 annual report which was published online in March 2017.

This change has no impact to the province's annual deficit, net debt or accumulated deficit.

This accounting change has been supported by the professional accounting staff of the Office of the Provincial Controller.

We will continue to work with the Auditor General to build on the government's track record of responsible fiscal management and high quality financial reporting.

AG Recommendation #5: IESO Market Accounts & Rate-Regulated Accounting

- The Independent Electricity System Operator (IESO) management, IESO's Audit Committee, IESO's Board of Directors, IESO's external auditor and the Office of the Provincial Controller all support the use of rate-regulated accounting by IESO.
- In the development of Ontario's Fair Hydro Plan (OFHP), the government consulted with several third party advisors in the application of accounting standards. This is normal course of business governments take for complex transactions.

For QAs related to:	See:
Government decision to accept IESO market accounts & rate-regulated accounting	Treasury Board Secretariat

Q. Why did the IESO choose to adopt rate-regulated accounting?

The Independent Electricity System Operator (IESO) made the decision to adopt rate-regulated accounting because it better reflects the economic substance of certain types of expenses that may not be directly recovered through the normal revenue requirement model and instead are recovered through rate regulation.

The IESO, like Hydro One and Ontario Power Generation (OPG), is regulated by the Ontario Energy Board (OEB).

The IESO adopted rate-regulated accounting for its year ended December 31, 2016. The impact on the financial statements of the Province is a decrease of \$82 million in the 2016-17 opening accumulated deficit and an increase in the 2016-17 annual deficit by \$24 million.

This accounting change was accepted by IESO's independent external auditor, which issued an unqualified, or "clean," audit opinion. These accounting changes were highlighted in IESO's annual report which was published online in March 2017.

Q. What was the financial impact of these accounting changes, on Ontario's electricity rate payers?

These changes in no way affect Independent Electricity System Operator's (IESO) costs, or have any financial impact on electricity ratepayers.

Ultimately, IESO made these changes because it will provide the users of its financial statements greater transparency into the market transactions it oversees, which amounted to \$17 billion last year, and better reflects the economic substance of IESO expenses that are recovered through rate-regulation.

AG Audit of 2017 Statements

- We will continue to work with the Auditor General to build on the government's track record of responsible fiscal management and high quality financial reporting.
- The Ministry of Energy, the Ministry of Finance and the Treasury Board Secretariat remain committed to assisting the Office of the Auditor General so that it can provide its independent views to the Legislative Assembly.
- With regards to the Auditor General's report on Ontario's Fair Hydro Plan (OFHP) – while the government does not agree with the assertions and conclusions expressed in the report, the government thanks the Office of the Auditor General for its report.
- While we expect professional accountants to agree on the vast majority of issues, we acknowledge that they may not agree on everything and when that occurs, and the difference is material to the financial statements, the Office of the Auditor General can choose to issue a qualified audit opinion.

For QAs related to:	See:
Relationship with Auditor General	Treasury Board Secretariat

Q. How will IESO respond to the Auditor General's decision to audit the 2017 consolidated financial statements?

The Independent Electricity System Operator (IESO) recognizes the Auditor General's statutory role and authority to perform an audit of IESO.

The IESO is cooperating with the Office of the Auditor General to provide information, including the 2017 financial statements prepared by management, and for access to management for assistance in understanding such information.

The IESO has likewise instructed its audit partner to cooperate with the Auditor General's audit.

Q. The Auditor General says that the Independent Electricity System Operator's decision to adopt rate-regulated accounting was a deviation from Public Sector Accounting Standards, and cautioned against its use in her Independent Auditor's Report. Why was this change made and was it appropriate?

Canadian Public Sector Accounting Standards (PSAS) are effectively silent on this issue of whether or not to adopt rate-regulated accounting as the preferred accounting method – they neither explicitly permit, nor prohibit, the recognition, measurement, presentation or disclosure of rate-regulated activities.

In the absence of a clear position on an issue, standard accounting practice dictates that an entity should consult other accounting standards for guidance.

Paragraph 11 of the *Introduction to Public Sector Accounting Standards* (“Introduction”) states: “When applying the CPA Canadian Public Sector Accounting (PSA) Handbook, where the standards are silent on an issue, public sector entities should apply Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP), Section PS 1150.”

In this case, the Independent Electricity System Operator (IESO) consulted U.S. Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP), the appropriate accounting framework in North America that provides guidance on rate-regulated operations. The IESO made the decision to adopt rate-regulated accounting because it better reflects the economic substance of certain types of expenses that may not be directly recovered through the normal revenue requirement model.

The IESO, like Hydro One and Ontario Power Generation (OPG), is regulated by the Ontario Energy Board (OEB). The IESO adopted rate-regulated accounting for its year ended December 31, 2016.

This accounting change was accepted by IESO’s independent external auditor, which issued an unqualified, or “clean,” audit opinion. These accounting changes were highlighted in IESO’s annual report which was published online in March 2017.

It is worth noting that currently 8 out of 9 electricity system operators in North American markets employ accounting for rate-regulated assets, including IESO.

Q. The Auditor General says that the use of rate-regulated accounting is inappropriate for the Independent Electricity System Operator (IESO) and the Ontario Energy Board (OEB) according to U.S. Generally Accepted Accounting Principles. How do you respond?

In commenting on the use of rate-regulated accounting and the role of the Ontario Energy Board (OEB), the Auditor General’s report makes reference to a requirement under U.S. accounting standards in connection with the use of rate-regulated accounting that “there must be an independent regulator.”

This reference is misleading as it does not provide the criteria in its entirety, which is as follows:

“The entity’s rates for regulated services or products provided to its customers are established by or are subject to approval by an independent, third-party regulator or by its own governing board empowered by statute or contract to establish rates that bind customers” [ASC 980-10-15-2].

The U.S. accounting standard was developed specifically to not preclude the application of rate-regulated accounting by government utilities where the rate regulator would not necessarily be viewed as independent (FAS 71, par. 64).

In addition, the position that rate-regulated accounting is precluded because the OEB is not considered independent of the government of Ontario is contradictory to accepting the use of rate-regulated accounting by Ontario Power Generation (OPG) and Hydro One – both of which are regulated by the OEB and whose results, using rate-regulated accounting, are and have been included in the consolidated financial statements of the Province.

IESO Response

Canadian Public Sector Accounting Standards (PSAS) are effectively silent on this issue – they neither explicitly permit, nor prohibit, the recognition, measurement, presentation, or disclosure of rate-regulated activities.

In the absence of a clear position on an issue, standard accounting practice dictates that an entity should consult other accounting standards.

In this case, IESO consulted U.S. Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP), the appropriate accounting framework in North America that provides guidance on rate-regulated operations.

ONTARIO'S FAIR HYDRO PLAN

AG Recommendation #3: OFHP Accounting

- The province will continue to prepare its consolidated financial statements in accordance with Public Sector Accounting Standards (PSAS).
- The proposed accounting for the refinancing of the Global Adjustment (GA) aspect of Ontario Fair Hydro Plan (OFHP) reflects the economic substance of the transaction by differentiating costs borne by taxpayers versus those borne by ratepayers.
- PSAS is silent on a key accounting issue regarding the OFHP – the standards neither explicitly permit, nor prohibit, the recognition, measurement, presentation, or disclosure of rate-regulated activities.
- In the absence of a clear position on an issue, standard accounting practice dictates that an entity should consult other accounting standards for guidance.

For QAs related to:	See:
Decision to accept OFHP accounting	Treasury Board Secretariat

Q. Why did the government introduce the Ontario Fair Hydro Plan?

The government has been making important investments in a cleaner and more reliable electricity system, but these investments have led to higher bills. Since 2003, nearly \$70 billion¹ has been invested in the electricity system, including more than \$37² billion in electricity generation to ensure the system is clean and reliable.

Ontario's Fair Hydro Plan (OFHP) is delivering the single-largest reduction in electricity rates in the province's history. OFHP lowered electricity bills by 25 per cent on average for residential consumers and will hold increases to the rate of inflation for four years. As many as half a million small businesses and farms are also benefitting from a reduction.

To address the impact of our electricity system investments on consumers, Ontario's Fair Hydro Plan includes:

- Refinancing the Global Adjustment (GA) over a longer term period for Regulated Price Plan (RPP) eligible consumers, including families, small businesses and farms.
- Helping vulnerable electricity consumers by enhancing electricity support programs and shifting costs off the electricity bill. Eligible consumers will receive additional funding through assistance programs including:
 - Establishing a new Affordability Fund which will support Ontarians who are not eligible for the [Home Assistance Program](#) and who have difficulty paying their electricity bills.
 - Broadening Rural or Remote Rate Protection (RRRP) to provide distribution charge relief for the customers of the local distribution companies (LDC's) with the highest distribution charges.
 - Expanding the Ontario Electricity Support Program (OESP) to increase participation and provide further assistance to eligible participants.
 - Establishing a new On-Reserve First Nations Delivery Rate.
- Improving sector efficiency and modernizing Ontario's electricity market, working in collaboration with the Independent Electricity System Operator (IESO) and the Ontario Energy Board (OEB).
- Any rate increases over the next four years will be held to the rate of inflation.

¹ Ministry of Energy, 2017 Long-Term Energy Plan

² Ministry of Energy, 2017 Long-Term Energy Plan

In the development of Ontario Fair Hydro Plan, the government made the decision to provide rate relief to specific residential consumers through the introduction of new and enhanced electricity social programs, such as OESP and RRRP. At the same time, the government also made a policy decision that these new measures would be more appropriately funded from the tax-base, not the rate-base.

If pressed on the cost of these new and enhanced social programs:

On March 2, 2017, the government publicly announced the enhancement of the existing OESP and RRRP and the new Affordability Fund and on-reserve First Nations delivery credit. At the time, the government indicated that these new measures would cost the government up to \$2.5 billion over the next three years.

Refinancing the Global Adjustment

To reduce pressure on today's electricity consumers, a portion of Global Adjustment (GA) costs is being refinanced. In later years, the cost of financing will be recovered from consumers.

Refinancing the GA is providing significant and immediate rate relief by spreading the cost of electricity investments over the expected lifecycle of the infrastructure that has been built. The majority of the province's electricity generators have 20-year contracts. Many of these generators will be able to operate past their contract term, meaning that generating assets are expected to have ongoing useful life and will benefit future ratepayers by reducing the need to finance the development of new generating assets.

Electricity bills have been reduced for current ratepayers, and future ratepayers will in turn pay for their fair share of the significant investments that we've made to build a clean and reliable electricity system. This more fairly shares the cost of our investments across the generations of electricity ratepayers who will benefit from them.

Given that the policy objective of OFHP is to more fairly allocate the cost to ratepayers over the useful life, not the contractual term, of the electricity generating assets, it was a primary policy objective to ensure that the costs are borne by the beneficiaries of the electricity system – the rate-base – not the tax-base.

Based on this policy decision, it was decided that the debt obligation would be recognized as a regulatory asset that would be sold and securitized. This design appropriately aligns the costs and benefits within the group of eligible electricity consumers.

In developing the policy to refinance the GA, the government considered a range of implementation options and consulted with legal, accounting, financial and energy sector third-party experts to provide advice and ensure due diligence was completed.

OFHP operates under a financial and accounting framework that is appropriate for the intended purpose and in accordance with Public Sector Accounting Standards (PSAS) and ensures that the fairness goals underlying the program are achieved in a cost-effective manner.

Q. What is Global Adjustment (GA)?

Global Adjustment (GA) is a mechanism that recovers certain electricity system costs, such as capital costs incurred by generators. GA is calculated by the Independent Electricity System Operator (IESO) based on the difference between the market price for electricity, which represents the marginal cost of electricity production, and rates paid to regulated and contracted generators to ensure they can recover their fixed costs. It also recovers costs associated with running conservation programs in the province.

GA has been an important factor in providing stable prices while ensuring that sufficient generating capacity is in place to deliver a clean, reliable supply of electricity.

GA pays for the bulk of the recent investments in the electricity system. The majority of the province's renewable electricity generators operate under 20-year contracts. Third-party experts have confirmed that many of these generators will be able to continue to operate beyond the end of their contract. This means that renewable generating assets are expected to have ongoing useful life and benefit future ratepayers by reducing the need to finance the development of new generating assets. This is the basis for the spreading of the costs across present and future generations of rate-payers.

Refinancing the GA is providing significant and immediate rate relief by spreading the cost of electricity investments over the expected lifecycle of the infrastructure that has been built. This more fairly shares the cost of our investments across the generations of electricity ratepayers who will benefit from them.

Q. Who was involved in the policy and implementation process to meet the mandate?

Senior officials and staff from several departments and agencies, led by the Ministry of Energy, collaborated to plan a structure, identify risks, make decisions and take other actions to meet the mandate.

The senior officials and staff were from:

- Ministry of Energy;
- Ministry of Finance;
- Treasury Board Secretariat;
- Office of the Provincial Controller;
- Cabinet Office;

- Ontario Financing Authority (OFA);
- Independent Electricity System Operator (IESO); and
- Ontario Power Generation Inc. (OPG).

In development and implementation of Global Adjustment (GA) refinancing, the government considered a range of implementation options and consulted with internal and external legal, accounting, financial and energy sector experts, including third-party experts, to provide advice and ensure due diligence was completed.

Q. Has the Ministry of Energy's estimate for "peak debt" related to Ontario's Fair Hydro Plan (OFHP) changed? How much is the program expected to cost?

Ontario Power Generation (OPG) has begun the process of raising funds to finance the deferred costs, and while total borrowing costs will not be known until the program is complete, accumulated interest is projected to be considerably less than original estimates.

Current government estimates forecast that the peak debt over the thirty year life of OFHP will be approximately \$20 billion³. The preliminary estimate from March 2017 was about \$28 billion⁴.

A number of variables, including interest rates, electricity demand and electricity costs, will vary over the thirty-year life of OFHP and cost estimates will continue to be revised to reflect these changing conditions.

If pressed on the details of the revised peak debt number:

Peak debt for OFHP has been revised down substantially from March 2017, from about \$28 billion⁵ to approximately \$20 billion⁶. These estimates are consistent with 2017 Long-Term Energy Plan (LTEP) projections, include fees charged by OPG in its role as financial service manager (FSM) and assume a 5 per cent interest rate.

Q. Why is the government using Ontario Power Generation (OPG) as a borrowing agent for Ontario's Fair Hydro Plan? Would it be easier to use a traditional government borrowing agent like the Ontario Financing Authority (OFA)?

Ontario Power Generation (OPG) has the necessary financing and commercial expertise to assist with refinancing the Global Adjustment (GA).

³ Ministry of Energy

⁴ Ministry of Energy, submission to Financial Accountability Officer

⁵ Ministry of Energy

⁶ Ministry of Energy

OPG currently manages approximately \$20 billion⁷ in segregated nuclear funds to manage nuclear liabilities, and, is an electricity sector entity regulated by the Ontario Energy Board (OEB) with extensive experience with regulatory deferral and variance accounts.

OPG also has the breadth and demonstrated track record in the financial markets with its Corporate Debt platform, private placement of insurance linked bonds, participation in securitization programs and highly successful project debt issuances tied to new hydroelectric developments. These financing initiatives have been well received by investors at a reasonable cost to OPG. The innovative, cost saving and precedent setting aspects of these structures have resulted in international recognition.

OPG has developed solid investor relationships and has a large investor base in the structured finance space that would be the target market for financing Ontario's Fair Hydro Plan initiative. For example, Lower Mattagami project financing attracted a broad investor base of ~80 institutional investors⁸, including major investors in the Canadian power and utility bonds market.

In terms of administration, OPG is currently managing its own Corporate public debt program and three project financing programs totalling more than \$2 billion⁹ and two commercial paper programs.

Finally, OPG's Treasury functions are well positioned given their management of existing multiple debt programs.

Q. The Auditor General and the Financial Accountability Office both suggest that the cost of borrowing will be more expensive than traditional government borrowing? Is this true?

In the development of Ontario's Fair Hydro Plan (OFHP), the government made the policy decision that deferred electricity system costs should be borne by the rate-base and not the tax-base.

Based on this policy decision, it was decided that the debt obligation would be recognized as a regulatory asset that would be sold and securitized. This design appropriately aligns the costs and benefits within the group of eligible consumers.

Ontario Power Generation (OPG) was identified as the Financial Services Manager responsible for the financing plans and the financing entity (i.e., Fair Hydro Plan Trust) that will manage the debt obligations. OPG has the necessary financing and commercial expertise to assist with refinancing the Global Adjustment (GA) and will ensure that it is done in an efficient and cost-effective manner.

⁷ Ontario Financing Authority

⁸ Ontario Power Generation

⁹ Ontario Power Generation

OPG has a demonstrated track record in the financial markets with its Corporate Debt platform, private placement of insurance linked bonds, participation in securitization programs and highly successful project debt issuances tied to new hydroelectric developments. The innovative, cost saving and precedent setting aspects of these structures have resulted in international recognition.

With respect to the \$4 billion¹⁰ figure referenced by the Financial Accountability Office (FAO) in its May 2017 report, it should be noted that the FAO's report was based on initial Ministry of Energy estimates from March 2017. Subsequent analysis conducted by the Ministry of Energy refined a number of variables, including the cost of borrowing and electricity system cost forecasts.

Total borrowing estimates over the thirty year life of OFHP have been revised down substantially by the government since the FAO released its report in May 2017, and borrowing costs are now forecast to be substantially lower than original estimates. Current government estimates forecast that the peak debt over the thirty year life of OFHP will be approximately \$20 billion¹¹. The preliminary estimate from March 2017 was about \$28 billion¹².

A number of variables, including interest rates, electricity demand and electricity costs, will vary over the thirty year life of OFHP and cost estimates will continue to be revised to reflect these changing conditions.

The government will continue to identify and implement initiatives to take costs out of the electricity system, which would reduce the cost of the GA refinancing program.

If pressed on the details of the revised peak debt number:

Peak debt for OFHP has been revised down substantially from March 2017, from about \$ 28 billion¹³ to approximately \$20 billion¹⁴. The higher March 2017 estimate was used by the FAO in its May 2017 report.

Updated estimates are consistent with 2017 Long-Term Energy Plan (LTEP) projections, include fees charged by OPG in its role as financial service manager (FSM) and assume a 5 per cent interest rate.

If pressed on interest costs:

¹⁰ Financial Accountability Officer, *An Assessment of the Fiscal Impact of the Province's Fair Hydro Plan*

¹¹ Ministry of Energy

¹² Ministry of Energy, submission to Financial Accountability Officer

¹³ Ministry of Energy

¹⁴ Ministry of Energy

The government estimates of total interest cost also decreased from \$25 billion¹⁵ to less than \$19 billion¹⁶.

Q. How does the 3.357% price of the Ontario Power Generation's Fair Hydro Trust's first issuance of senior notes compare with the Ministry of Energy's Fair Allocation Amount (FAA) assumptions?

A number of inputs will vary over the thirty-year life of the Global Adjustment (GA) refinancing program and will continue to be revised to reflect changing conditions (e.g., changes in interest rates).

The Ministry analysis underpinning the *2017 Fair Allocation Amount* (2017 FAA) included a 5.0% average interest rate over the life of the GA refinancing program (i.e., 30 years). Note: Navigant's modelling of GA refinancing assumed an interest rate of 4.5%. In the development of the 2017 FAA the interest rate assumptions were revised to 5% based on consultations with OPG.

On February 6, 2017, OPG announced that it was able to secure an interest rate of 3.357% for the senior debt on its first issuance that has a 15 year term and a value of \$500 million.

OPG advises that the remainder of the debt is split between "subordinated" and "junior subordinated" debt. The effective interest rate will be the weighted average of these three series of debt (i.e., senior, subordinated and junior subordinated). OPG is expected to finalize the pricing for the subordinated and junior subordinated debt within the next 48 hours. The pricing will be based in part on the pricing of the senior series.

Q. Some say you are unfairly placing the burden of debt on future generations through things like Ontario's Fair Hydro Plan, how do you respond?

The OFHP is designed to ensure that future generations will be paying their fair share for a system they will be benefiting from in their lifetime.

Over the last decade Ontario has rebuilt our transmission and distribution grid, invested in clean generation, and closed the last coal-fired power plant. Since 2003, nearly \$70 billion has been invested in the electricity system, including more than \$37 billion in electricity generation to ensure the system is clean and reliable.

To address the impact of our electricity system investments on consumers, Ontario's Fair Hydro Plan includes:

¹⁵ Ministry of Energy

¹⁶ Ministry of Energy

- Refinancing the Global Adjustment (GA) over a longer term period for Regulated Price Plan (RPP) eligible consumers, including families, small businesses and farms.
- Helping vulnerable electricity consumers by enhancing electricity support programs and shifting costs off the electricity bill. Eligible consumers will receive additional funding through assistance programs including:
 - Establishing a new Affordability Fund which will support Ontarians who are not eligible for the [Home Assistance Program](#) and who have difficulty paying their electricity bills.
 - Broadening Rural or Remote Rate Protection (RRRP) to provide distribution charge relief for the customers of the local distribution companies (LDC's) with the highest distribution charges.
 - Expanding the Ontario Electricity Support Program (OESP) to increase participation and provide further assistance to eligible participants.
 - Establishing a new On-Reserve First Nations Delivery Rate.
- Improving sector efficiency and modernizing Ontario's electricity market, working in collaboration with the Independent Electricity System Operator (IESO) and the Ontario Energy Board (OEB).
- Any rate increases over the next four years will be held to the rate of inflation.

In the development of Ontario Fair Hydro Plan, the government made the decision to provide rate relief to specific residential consumers through the introduction of new and enhanced electricity social programs, such as OESP and RRRP. At the same time, the government also made a policy decision that these new measures would be more appropriately funded from the tax-base, not the rate-base.

If pressed on the cost of these new and enhanced social programs:

On March 2, 2017, the government publicly announced the enhancement of the existing OESP and RRRP and the new Affordability Fund and on-reserve First Nations delivery credit. At the time, the government indicated that these new measures would cost the government up to \$2.5 billion over the next three years.

Refinancing the Global Adjustment

To reduce pressure on today's electricity consumers, a portion of Global Adjustment (GA) costs is being refinanced. In later years, the cost of financing will be recovered from consumers.

Refinancing the GA is providing significant and immediate rate relief by spreading the cost of electricity investments over the expected lifecycle of the infrastructure that has been built. The majority of the province's electricity generators have 20-year contracts. Many of these generators will be able to operate past their contract term, meaning that generating assets are expected to have ongoing useful life and will benefit future ratepayers by reducing the need to finance the development of new generating assets.

Electricity bills have been reduced for current ratepayers, and future ratepayers will in turn pay for their fair share of the significant investments that we've made to build a clean and reliable electricity system. This more fairly shares the cost of our investments across the generations of electricity ratepayers who will benefit from them.

Given that the policy objective of OFHP is to more fairly allocate the cost to ratepayers over the useful life, not the contractual term, of the electricity generating assets, it was a primary policy objective to ensure that the costs are borne by the beneficiaries of the electricity system – the rate-base – not the tax-base. We believe it is appropriate for ratepayers to pay for the financing of electricity assets.

In addition, the rate-base has a different risk profile than the tax-base, which is reflected in different financing costs. Having the rate-base pay for financing of electricity system assets is not a new policy; for example Hydro One has borrowed in the capital markets since the early 2000s.

Based on this policy decision, it was decided that the debt obligation would be recognized as a regulatory asset that would be sold and securitized. This design appropriately aligns the costs and benefits within the group of eligible electricity consumers.

Moving forward, Ontario's 2017 Long-Term Energy Plan (2017 LTEP) focuses on continuing to put downward pressure on electricity bills over the long term. Our robust electricity supply puts us in a good position to improve efficiency, increase transparency and enhance opportunities for innovation and competition to further reduce system costs. That is why Ontario's Fair Hydro Plan includes a commitment to improving sector efficiency and modernizing Ontario's electricity market, building on the collaboration of the Independent Electricity System Operator (IESO) and the Ontario Energy Board (OEB).

For example, IESO's Market Renewal initiative will result in a more efficient marketplace with competitive and transparent mechanisms that meet future system needs at the lowest cost. The initiative is expected to save up to \$5.2 billion¹⁷ over a 10-year period and forms a key component of Ontario's plan to ensure the affordability of electricity in the longer term.

¹⁷ Independent Electricity System Operator, *Assessment of the Benefits of Market Renewal* (Brattle Group)

Q. In the development of Ontario's Fair Hydro Plan, did the government set up the financing structure intentionally to keep it off government books?

No. GA refinancing is designed so that deferred costs are borne by the rate-base, as the beneficiaries of the electricity system, and not the tax-base.

GA refinancing operates under a financial and accounting framework that is appropriate for the intended purpose and in accordance with Public Sector Accounting Standards (PSAS) and ensures that the fairness goals underlying the program are achieved in a cost-effective manner.

AG Recommendation #6: "Legislated Accounting"

- The *Ontario Fair Hydro Plan Act, 2017* and its related regulations do not create accounting rules or legislate the accounting.
- It is important to distinguish legislating the accounting from legislating the right of the government to recover amounts from specified ratepayers in the future, which in the absence of legislation, would have been collected from specified ratepayers as the costs were incurred.
- The province, as always, will prepare its consolidated financial statements in accordance with PSAS, including the transactions resulting from Ontario's Fair Hydro Plan.

For QAs related to:	See:
Issue of OFHP "legislated" accounting	Treasury Board Secretariat

AG Recommendation #9: Arm's Length Trusts

- A Trust structure was selected to implement the Affordability Fund in order to provide an effective solution to distributing funds to electricity distributors. With approximately 65 distributors across Ontario, it was not feasible for the Province to enter into agreements with each distributor.
- The Trust structure establishes an independent entity with expertise from the electricity distributor and community sectors.
- There are report-back requirements on the use of funds transferred as well as the ability for the government to conduct an audit to gain assurance that the funds have been used for the purposes intended.
- The government will continue to assess the most appropriate vehicle for meeting its policy objectives, which include appropriate oversight of how transfer payments are spent.

For QAs related to:	See:
----------------------------	-------------

Accounting for Trust

Treasury Board Secretariat

Q. Why is there a need for an Affordability Fund?

From 2015 to early 2017, electricity distributors and other stakeholders indicated to the government that there was an immediate need to help customers struggling with their electricity bills, such as those in bill arrears and facing service disconnection.

Electricity distributors reported to the Ontario Energy Board (OEB) that around 56,500 customers were disconnected in 2015 and over 58,000 customers were disconnected in 2016. Electricity distributors also reported about 400,000 residential electricity accounts in arrears in 2016.

In January 2017, Hydro One submitted to the Ministry a report, “Addressing Affordability” which outlined a number of recommendations on electricity rate mitigation, including a recommendation to establish an Affordability Fund to assist struggling customers who do not qualify for low-income conservation programs.

Q. Who is eligible to benefit through the Affordability Fund and what measures will the average household receive?

Affordability Fund was established to provide energy efficiency measures to help those Ontarians not eligible for the Save on Energy Home Assistance Program, and who need support to improve the energy efficiency of their homes.

There are three levels of support available. The level each home qualifies for depends on net household income and average electricity bill. Measures offered may vary by participating electricity distributors:

- Level 1: households receive a Home Energy Kit with energy-saving products they can install themselves (e.g. LEDs, power bars, hot water pipe insulation).
- Level 2: Households may receive an in-home visit from a professional Home Energy Advisor, a Home Energy Plan and upgrades that may include ENERGY STAR appliances (e.g. efficient air conditioners, refrigerators, and dehumidifiers).
- Level 3: For electrically-heated homes only, households that qualify for this level may receive an in-home visit from a professional Home Energy Advisor, a Home Energy Plan and upgrades that may include insulation and/or an ENERGYSTAR heat pump.

Q. How many households are expected to benefit from the Affordability Fund?

Number of households that the \$100 million investment in the Affordability Fund will support will depend on the types of measures installed per household. Customers may be eligible to receive varying levels of support based on their total household income and average electricity bill.

As of January 12, 2018, 2,522 applications had been received by the Affordability Fund Trust, of which 1,794 have been delivered to 47 active LDCs. Eligible customers are expected to start receiving benefits through the Affordability Fund in early 2018. **Note:** Numbers are not static and should be updated (closer to Public Accounts) as they become available.

Records Disclosure/Process

- The Ministry conducted a search consistent with the 40 plus search terms provided by the Auditor General.
- The Ministry of Energy has selected McCarthys/Wortzmans to assist with processing and analysis of approximately 2.2 million records that resulted from the search of 81 custodians.
- The Ministry has been regularly providing the Auditor General batches of responsive documents.

For QAs related to:	See:
Records - TBS	Treasury Board Secretariat
Records - MOF	

Q. Why has the Ministry of Energy not provided all e-mails requested by the Auditor General?

As of October 26, 2017, the Ministry has provided all responsive records (more than 73,000 emails) to the AG.

Q. How much money did the Ministry spend on lawyers?

Although the retainer agreement includes a cap of up to \$500,000, the Ministry of Energy spent approximately \$150,000 on the retainer.

Q. What was the Ministry of Energy's process for providing the emails requested by the Auditor General?

The Ministry conducted a search consistent with the 40 plus search terms provided to the AG. In order to manage the approximately 2.2 million records resulted from the

search of 81 custodians, the Ministry of Energy selected McCarthys/Wortzmans to assist with processing and analysis of the documents.

No legal advice on relevancy of documents was provided by Wortzmans. The Ministry completed its response to the AG on October 26, 2017.

Re-Design of Hydro Bills

- The objective of the bill redesign initiative is to make bills easier for customers to understand and ensure that they get the most useful information out of their bills.
- Comparisons of costs from previous billing periods will continue to be possible.
- Electricity bills are a customer's main window into the electricity system. Through the LTEP consultation process, the government heard that customers found their bills confusing and inaccessible.
- Hydro One has been a leader in consumer research on ways to improve the appearance and comprehension of its bill. Hydro One enlisted the services of a behavioural research firm and engaged with thousands of customers to develop a new bill design which has been observed to increase customer satisfaction and comprehension.
- Building on this research, the Ministry launched the Bill Redesign Action Plan with the aim of revising the regulatory framework on invoicing to improve the value of electricity bills for consumers. The Ministry continues to engage with industry and consumers to better understand consumer concerns and preferences with respect to the bill to ensure that any proposed changes to the bill's design will meet consumer's needs.

For QAs related to:	See:
N/A	N/A

Q. Isn't the problem the amount on people's bills, not the design?

The government recognizes that the much-needed investments in our electricity system have led to higher electricity prices. That's why the government developed Ontario's Fair Hydro Plan (OFHP) – to relieve the cost pressures caused by these system improvements.

Through the 2017 Long Term Energy Plan (2017 LTEP) consultation process, the government heard that consumers find their current bills confusing and inaccessible, as part of 2017 LTEP, the Ministry committed to continue to work with the Ontario Energy Board (OEB), industry and consumers to explore opportunities to redesign electricity bills to make them easier for customers to understand.

Q. How can Ontarians know redesigning bills isn't just a way to hide increases?

The objective of the bill redesign initiative is to make bills easier for customers to understand and ensure that they get the most useful information out of their bills. Comparisons of costs from previous billing periods will continue to be possible.

Q. What is the overall cost of the bill redesign?

Each LDC will have different costs to implement a re-designed bill depending on current billing and customer information systems. Changes to billing systems are a part of an LDC's cost of doing business.

Q. Are all customers in Ontario getting redesigned bills, or just in some areas?

All LDCs will be required to comply with any new requirements implemented as part of a bill-redesign initiative. By March 31, 2018, all LDCs will come into compliance with the new requirement to provide customer-specific OFHP savings on invoices.

Q. How do the new regulatory amendments to require that LDCs provide customer-specific savings associated with Ontario's Fair Hydro Plan on invoices fit in to the broader redesign action plan?

The requirement for dynamic messaging or customer-specific Fair Hydro savings on invoices is the first step in the broader, longer term bill re-design project. Providing customer specific savings promotes greater understanding of the associated bill impacts of Ontario's Fair Hydro Plan (OFHP) for consumers.

The new regulatory amendments are being discussed with industry stakeholders as part of the bill redesign working group.

The Ministry of Energy is continuing to work with stakeholders to examine opportunities to redesign electricity bills to make them more understandable and to give consumers the information that they want on the bill.

PRE-ELECTION REPORT**AG Recommendation #10: Pre-Election Report on Ontario's Finances**

For QAs related to:	See:
Pre-Election Report on Ontario's Finances	Treasury Board Secretariat Ministry of Finance

PROVINCE'S FISCAL SITUATION**Recommendation #7: Province's Debt Burden**

For QAs related to:	See:
Ontario's Debt Burden	Ministry of Finance

Financial Accountability Office Reports

For QAs related to:	See:
FAO Reports	Ministry of Finance

Credit Ratings

For QAs related to:	See:
Credit Ratings	Ministry of Finance

PREPARATION OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTS**AG Recommendation # 4: External Advisors**

For QAs related to:	See:
External Advisors	Treasury Board Secretariat

Q. Who was involved in the policy and implementation process to meet the mandate?

Senior officials and staff from several departments and agencies, led by the Ministry of Energy, collaborated to plan a structure, identify risks, make decisions and take other actions to meet the mandate.

The senior officials and staff were from:

- Ministry of Energy;
- Ministry of Finance;
- Treasury Board Secretariat;
- Office of the Provincial Controller;
- Cabinet Office;
- Ontario Financing Authority (OFA);
- Independent Electricity System Operator (IESO); and
- Ontario Power Generation Inc. (OPG).

In development and implementation of Global Adjustment (GA) refinancing, the government considered a range of implementation options and consulted with legal, accounting, financial and energy sector third-party experts to provide advice and ensure due diligence was completed.

AG Recommendation #8: Timeline of Finalization of Financial Statements

For QAs related to:	See:
Finalization of Financial Statements	Treasury Board Secretariat Ministry of Finance

Audit Opinion

For QAs related to:	See:
Audit Opinions	Treasury Board Secretariat

DRAFT